INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF MINEOLA BOARD OF ZONING AND APPEALS

MINEOLA, NEW YORK 11501, Applicant.

BUILDING DEPT.

L1 :21 C 8 - NUC 8102

May 24, 2018 7:30 o'clock p.m.

Before:

VOM-BZA #18-335

HIGH POINT ENGINEERING 91 JERICHO TURNPIKE

JAMES SHERRY,
CARL MARCHESE,
PETER SMITH
JANINE SARTORI,

Chairman,

Commissioners.

PETER SMITH, CLERK BUILDING DEPARTMENT PETER TRENTACOSTE, ESQ. COUNSEL TO BOARD

PATRICIA A. TAUBER, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. We have one application this evening. We do have some new members of the Board. I'll take a moment to acknowledge them.

First we have senior member Carl Marchese, an

1.4

First we have senior member Carl Marchese, and he is joined by new member Peter Smith, not to be confused with Peter Smith from the Build Department, and also Janine Sartori, who is to my right, and also counsel Peter Trentacoste is here.

Application #18-335. The subject parcel is 91 Jericho Turnpike, High Point Engineering.

BZA Application #18-335, High Point Engineering, 91 Jericho Turnpike, Mineola, New York 11501.

Application to demolish existing kiosk and removal of all UST's and construct a new 1,360 square foot convenience store, modification to existing canopy, new UST's and pump islands. Application violated the following sections of the Zoning Law:

- a) Article VI, Section 550-23(4)(a)(1): Minimum required lot area is 15,000 square feet, where 8,882 square feet is proposed;
- b) Section 550-23(4)(a)(2): Minimum required frontage is
 150 feet on one street and 100 feet on one street, where
 100 feet and 88.59 feet are proposed;

1	c) Section 550-23(4)(b)(3): Minimum distance required
2	between gasoline pumps and any street property line
3	sideline, or rear property, is 25 feet, where 16.5 feet
4	is proposed;
5	d) Section 550-23(4)(c)(4): Maximum permitted distance
6	between two pumps on an island is 10 feet, where 15.4
7	feet is proposed;
8	e) Section 550-23(4)(b)(1): Minimum required setback to
9	all structures is 40 feet from all street property lines,
10	where 5 foot 4 inches to canopy is proposed;
11	f) Section 550-23(4)(b)(2): Minimum required side yard
12	setback is 10 feet, where 4.9 feet is proposed;
13	g) Section 550-23(4)(b)(2): Minimum required rear yard
14	setback is 10 feet, where 5.3 feet is proposed;
15	h) Schedule II Use Regulations: Minimum required
16	off-street parking spaces is 6, where 2 are proposed;
17	i) Section 550-40(A)(2): Maximum permissible sign height
18	is 3 feet, where 3 feet 4 inches vertical height is
1.9	proposed; and
20	j) Section 550-40(b)(2): permissible sign area
21	is 30 square feet, where approximately 70 square feet is
22	proposed.
23	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Who is here on behalf of the
24	applicant?
25	Please state your name for the record and spell

1 your last name for the court reporter, sir.

б

MR. FARRELL: For the applicant, John C. Farrell, with the firm of Sahn, Ward, Coschignano, 333 Earle

Ovington Boulevard, Suite 601, Uniondale, New York.

With me tonight are Christopher Tartaglia from
High Point Engineering, and Adam Leon and his daughter
Nicole Leon, they are the owners of the subject property.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Welcome.

MR. FARRELL: The subject property is located on the northwest corner of Jericho Turnpike and Maple

Place. It's identified on the Nassau County Land and Tax

Map as Section 9, Block 41, Lots 244 to 247.

The property has 100 feet of frontage on

Jericho Turnpike and 88.59 feet frontage along Maple

Place. The total lot area is 8,882 square feet. It is

zoned B-1 and is presently improved with a gasoline

service station with a small kiosk that sells convenience

store type items. This use has existed for more than 60

years at this location. It's been a service station that

long.

We're here tonight seeking variances in connection with an application to renovate the site, add a larger convenience store and replace the underground storage tanks with new double wall tanks that are designed to prevent gasoline from leaking into the

groundwater in the event that there's a breach in the tank. There are a number of variances we seek on this application, as the Board can see, however, most of them are already an existing condition.

So for example, the lot area that's required for gas stations is 15,000 square feet. This lot has operated for 60 years with this size lot. It may have been slightly larger before. I'm not sure when Jericho Turnpike was widened at that location but, at most, they only took probably 10 or 12 feet to widen it out there. So it's always been a substandard lot for the current code in terms of gasoline service stations.

The second variance on the call is to reduce the lot frontage from 150 feet on one street and 100 feet on another to 100 feet and 88.59 feet. Again, these are existing conditions.

The next variance we seek is to diminish the distance from the gasoline pumps to Jericho Turnpike.

The requirement there is 25 feet. We're at 16-and-a-half feet. We're not moving that pump island, but somehow we ended up .4 inches closer to Jericho. I don't know if the dimensions on the pump itself changed, but presently that setback is 16.9 feet. So that's only a .4 difference.

The max distance between the pumps on an

island: At the island closest to the convenience store the distance between those pumps is 15.4 feet. The code only requires 10. I'll have Mr. Tartaglia speak to that when he comes up here to discuss the site issues.

The setback from the canopy: The canopy that we have at the site now is staying. We're cutting it back 8 feet from the south property line. So the front yard setback at 5.4 is not changing. That is an existing condition.

The others that are existing are the sign height and the sign area. Those are existing signs.

Those signs are just being refaced, but they're staying the same dimensions.

The new variances that we seek are related to the convenience store. One is to reduce the side yard setback on the east side from 10 feet to 4.9 feet. This is the side of the property that is adjacent to the two-story building that's owned by the construction company which is adjacent to the railroad tracks there.

And then to reduce the rear yard setback from 10 feet to 5.3 feet. Again, that's adjacent to the property to the south which currently has a telecommunications tower on it.

So in total six of the ten variances that we're proposing are exactly the same or substantially similar

to what is already existing at the site. So this is a small lot. It's a very difficult site to deal with and, you know, we're trying to make the best out of it.

б

So in terms of the new variances that we're seeking with respect to the setbacks on the building, I think it's important to note that if this were a stand-alone convenience store it wouldn't be required to have a side yard or a rear yard setback. In B-1 you're allowed to go down to zero. In this case we're actually, you know, further off the property line, and it's consistent with other relief that the Board has granted in the past.

I believe the Bolla Market at 449 Jericho
Turnpike received a rear yard variance of 5 feet, and
that's actually closer to the residential properties in
the neighborhood than this site is. Also, you know, it
should also be noted that the property to the south, with
the telecommunications tower, has a shed on the property,
a utility shed, that is less than 5 feet from the
property line, and the building to the east, which is our
other adjoining neighbor, is directly on the property
line. They have no setback on that side. So we're the
only property that's really providing any significant
buffer or landscaping, really, in the area.

The surrounding community is pretty -- I think

the Board is familiar with it. The property is located on Jericho Turnpike. There are a variety of commercial uses. There are many gas stations, convenience stores, office buildings. Basically anything you can name is there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This site has been used, as I said before, as a qasoline service station for more than 60 years and we don't believe that the proposed change, which is adding that new convenience store, is going to have any substantial impact on the character of this community. And the reason we believe that is because if you look at the site along the south of the property -- I don't want to say the property line because it's actually 4 feet in from the property line -- there's a 4 foot high retaining wall with a substantial amount of mature landscaping on There's arborvitaes. I believe there's a Maple tree And so the property actually sits down grade from that property and it's going to be shielded by the telecommunications tower, the utility shed and all that equipment. So it's going to be well-shielded from the residential community to the south. In fact, you know, I drove by the site today and you can't even see anything from our property on either Maple Place or Washington You really have to get up on it, and I think that was part of the reason we designed it this way.

know, we set it back in this corner so that it had the least amount of impact on the couple of residences that are over here and the residences that are on the street behind the telecommunications tower. We wanted to keep it screened as well as possible.

б

On the east, that building is a substantial building. It covers, I would say, 85 to 90 percent of the lot. It's two stories high, you know, and it's right next to railroad tracks. So, you know, this area is pretty heavily trafficked. There's a lot of noise in the area. We don't think that this is going to have any additional impact than what it already has. We're already selling convenience items. This is just going to make it, you know, a little bit nicer.

We have a rendering of the building you can see that we're going to put up there. It's much nicer than what's there now. Mr. Leon takes excellent care of his properties, maintains the landscaping, and we think this is going to be a benefit to the community.

At this point I would like to have

Mr. Tartaglia come up and talk about the various site

features that were put in, as well as the reasoning for
the distance between the pumps.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Thank you, Mr. Farrell.
MR. FARRELL: Thank you.

MR. TARTAGLIA: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For the record, my name is Chris Tartaglia, with the firm High Point Engineering, located at 521 Conklin Street, Farmingdale, New York, 11735. Appearing tonight on behalf of the applicant.

Mr. Farrell did a great job discussing a lot of the items that are really a part of this application, but there's a few items that I just want to stress or provide a little bit more detail on.

What I put over my shoulder here to my right is an aerial photograph of the vicinity of the property and the surrounding structures. We just want to emphasize the fact that just south of our site we do have the transmission tower there and as you see right along that yellow line, which is our property boundary, we do have this utility shed that's appurtenant to that transmission tower facility. It's interesting because it actually is about the same size as the building that we're putting up in terms of the length, although it is skewed a little bit more towards Maple. So in effect what that will do with our building -- and again, as Mr. Farrell mentioned -- is completely obscure it from view. So folks that live on Maple, travel on Maple, live on Washington, or travel on Washington, they're not going to

have any difference in viewpoints from their roadway, or their windows, or their properties, whatsoever. However, we'll pull up the site rendering to describe a couple of more details.

So one of the other components at this property is the gasoline canopy which exists over the four pump islands that are there now. What we're doing is we're decreasing the length, or the depth, of that canopy from the rear of the property by about 8 feet. And the reason why we're doing that is when we install the building to the south side of that canopy, and those pump islands, we're creating an access lane so that vehicles can get to both sides of that pump island and still fit well within the area between the proposed convenience store and the pumps. So that's actually going to be a reduction in terms of the roof area and facial and signage area on the site with the reduction of the length of that canopy.

The green area you see to the south is essentially an existing landscaped border that's there now. We're putting the convenience store in just in front of an existing retaining wall that's there now so as not to have to disturb that structure and have any impact on the adjoining properties.

We are installing two 12,000 gallon double-wall fiberglass underground storage tanks. Just as a point of

reference, the two 12,000 gallon tanks total 24,000 gallons. There's actually three 8,000 gallon tanks that are there now. So we're not increasing the storage volume, but what we're doing is modernizing those existing single-wall tanks and putting in state-of-the-art double-wall tanks with full leak detection capability. They're electronic systems that will literally shut down the pumping of this facility in the event of any intrusion of gasoline into the protected secondary space of those tanks and that piping. So the systems that are available today versus 30 years ago, or 30-plus years ago, when these tanks went in are night and day in terms of environmental responsibility.

With regard to site access, we're not changing anything. Essentially there's not a lot changing. As Mr. Farrell indicated, we're keeping the pump islands on the Jericho Turnpike side where they are. As I said earlier, we're relocating the other set of pump islands a little bit further north to make room for the building, but we're not increasing the number of fueling positions there are. We're keeping the two curb cuts on Jericho Turnpike as is. We're keeping the one curb cut on Maple as is. And we're creating parking stalls just to the west side of that building.

Now one of the variances -- I'm not sure if

1.3

Mr. Farrell mentioned it -- is also for parking, required parking. Just by the numbers, for this 1,600 -- I'm sorry -- 1,364 square foot building we are required to have a total of 6 stalls. That's one stall per 250 square feet. We are providing 2. So while that might sound like a substantial deficit, there's a couple of mitigating factors here that bear mentioning.

With regard to the other competition in the area: Mr. Farrell mentioned the Bolla site. That building is twice the size of this one. The property is also larger. That might be considered more of a destination type use. That might be a convenience store where someone is only going to the property to purchase a convenience item.

A 1,300 square foot store is not that destination type use. Our target customer is the customer, really, that we have now. It's someone that we already have on the property that's purchasing gasoline. They're going to leave their car at the pump, run inside, grab something quick, and then come back out. This is not a facility that generates a lot of need for parking for convenience store purchases only. The building is not big enough. There's just not going to be enough goods and services within that building to really warrant that kind of customer. We're just going to sell the

1 basics within this building.

That said, so we'd like to think that, with the four dispensers, that we actually have 8 parking stalls under the canopy, plus the 2 that we're providing adjacent to the building. So, really, this property is going to act and feel and operate as if it has 10 parking stalls. Again, only 6 are required by code. One of those parking stalls is a fully ADA handicap accessible parking stall right next to the building, to the west of it.

We are providing an area for a dumpster enclosure. I don't believe there's a facility like that on site now. So just to the east side of the property, against the building, we're going to provide an opaque chain-link fence with slats in it for a roll-out dumpster so that can be hidden from view. That probably cleans up the aesthetics of the property.

And again, as Mr. Farrell mentioned, the signage is not changing. It's essentially refacing, putting new lenses and interesting framing. So there's no increase or changes in signage.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Can you just be specific about where the dumpster is going? I'm not seeing --

MR. TARTAGLIA: Sure can.

So this area right here, just to the north of

the building, in the existing curbed area. So again, it's a small one. It will only accommodate a small roll-out type dumpster but, again, a small facility like this is not going to generate a lot of trash, and not significantly more than it does today, which is minimal.

That's really the substance of my application.

I'm sure the Board may have questions. I'm certainly
here to answer any of them as may be needed.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: 60 continuous years, or has there been any time when this has not been operated or shut down for any reason?

MR. FARRELL: Not from what I can tell. Not from my review of the records. I can't see any cessation of use.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: And with the improvements that are taking place with the tank and the overall property that you propose, will that include generator capacity in the event of power outage?

MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes. So what this will have is, instead of an actual permanent generator, this site would qualify for what's called a transfer switch, which is actually something that's mandated by the state, such that it would be generator-ready, and that transfer switch will be located on the side of the building. It's about the size of a small college refrigerator. In terms

of area, about two by three. And essentially what it allows is a hookup of a generator to keep the facility operating.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Thank you.

Currently on the property are there any parking stalls per se? Are there any, other than the parking that occurs at the tanks?

MR. TARTAGLIA: I don't believe there's any delineated. Yeah, there aren't any. There certainly is some room for it on the south side, but there really is no reason to park there. People are there getting gas, and very minimal sales out of the kiosk.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: What will change about the nature and quantity or types of items that will be sold in the larger convenience store?

MR. TARTAGLIA: I think the major change that they're going to have is they'll allow the customers to be able to walk in. Right now they're doing their purchases through the exterior of the doorway. It's a little befuddling, frankly.

So within the building they'll be able to have a minimal amount of just household goods, emergency items, aspirin, maybe one type of diaper. They're not going to have 15 different types of everything. They'll certainly have beverages there. There will be an

eight-door cooler in the building for various beverages,
and they'll probably have maybe a fountain drink,
certainly a coffee offering. Everybody is looking for
coffee nowadays. Things of that nature.

I'm sure the Board is familiar, those of you

I'm sure the Board is familiar, those of you who frequent convenience stores, a convenience store of this nature will have the basics but certainly not expanding items. I don't believe we're looking at any prepared food or served food of any kind.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Actually, Mr. Farrell had said that you, Mr. Tartaglia, would talk us through, a little bit, this difference in the permitted distance between the pumps.

MR. TARTAGLIA: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: So I'm not sure that you got to that in your earlier presentation.

MR. TARTAGLIA: I didn't. Thank you for the reminder.

So this is -- I've done several gas stations applications in this Village, and this one always sort of confused me and I never really got an answer as to exactly what it is. But what I truly believe it to be -- just so we're clear, this is Section 550-23(4)(c)(4) of the code, and it has a maximum distance between pumps or dispensers where you get your fuel of 10 feet. I'm

presuming that this law went into effect back in the old days when each grade of gasoline was dispensed out of one pump. And as the Board I'm sure is aware if you drive, those days are long over. Now we get all of our different grades of gasoline and even, when we want it, diesel from one pump. Press the button, you get the grade of gasoline you want depending upon what your vehicle requires.

So we're providing 15 feet in between the pumps. Now the reason for that is quite simple. Back in the old days we only wanted the pumps 10 feet apart was because when the car parked at its dispenser, the dispenser, they could only choose from one brand of gasoline that they needed, or one brand of fuel. So it didn't matter that those pumps were too close to fuel 2 vehicles at once. Now that you have these multi-product dispensers, you have to space them apart simply so that you can get one car pulling in behind another, and two vehicles can use one of each of the pumps.

So we have 15 feet distance between the pumps.

That actually provides about 20 feet center to center.

If you think of a vehicle nowadays, they run anywhere

from a very small vehicle of 12 feet to a very large

vehicle of 18 feet. We have 20 feet in between so that

one vehicle can park right behind the other and they can

1	fuel without contacting each other or being too close for
2	comfort.
3	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Thank you.
4	MR. TARTAGLIA: You're welcome.
5	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Fellow Board members, any
6	questions?
7	MR. MARCHESE: I have one, Mr. Chairman, if I
8	may.
9	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Of course.
10	MR. MARCHESE: I'm sensitive to the
11	handicapped.
12	The building that's going to be there, is it
13	going to be at ground level?
14	MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes, sir.
15	MR. MARCHESE: I won't have to worry about
16	someone who has a handicap has to go up a step or
17	anything like that?
18	MR. TARTAGLIA: Well we have a ramp, and that
19	ramp will be located right adjacent to that parking stall
20	there. The ramp will be fully ADA compliant, no more
21	than a 6-inch rise and fully, you know, meets every ADA
22	criteria. The walkway in front of the building will be
23	at least 5 feet wide. Again, fully ADA compliant.
24	Plenty of room for maneuvering, turning, etcetera.
25	MR. MARCHESE: The customer who comes in who

1	doesn't carry credit cards, wants to pay by cash, is a
2	cashier going to be in that building?
3	MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes.
4	MR. MARCHESE: Like it was with the old kiosk?
5	MR. TARTAGLIA: That's correct.
6	MR. MARCHESE: And the old kiosk, I remember,
7	had a minimal amount of stuff, you know, simple candy
8	bars and whatever, or coffee, whatever. But I'm not
9	going to go in there and expect a hamburger. I can't do
10	that.
11	MR. TARTAGLIA: No prepared food. None.
12	MR. MARCHESE: OK. That's all I have,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Thank you.
15	Any other Board members have any questions?
16	MR. SMITH: Follow-up question on the pumps,
17	please.
18	Do you have any sense of how far the existing
19	pumps are from each other?
20	MR. TARTAGLIA: Well actually, the pumps that
21	are facing Jericho Turnpike are actually this distance
22	today. They're 15.4 feet apart. They exist in that
23	capacity. Again, because we have multi-product
24	dispensers today, we don't have the old style single
25	product numbs. So it's an existing condition to remain

That's actually the point I should have made.

MR. SMITH: And you mentioned the landscaping. I see it on the site plan represented.

Can you elaborate a little bit on your plans for irrigation?

MR. TARTAGLIA: Absolutely. I'm not certain if the existing facility has an irrigation system, but for certain there will be for the landscaped area located along Jericho Turnpike. There's one around the existing sign at the corner, in between the sidewalk and the pump islands along Jericho, and then along the periphery of the site. That's all going to be replanted. We haven't submitted a landscape plan yet. We haven't gotten that far. But certainly an irrigation system will be a part of this program.

You know, most of the site will be excavated in order to put these tanks in. We'll have excavation. We'll have all new paving. So then we'll have the opportunity to run all of the piping and irrigation lines to create the irrigation system.

A system like this would still be considered somewhat on the small end. We probably could get away with just some misters and some drip lines. There won't be any (indicating sounds) type of sprinklers out there. They're just not needed. There's no massive lawn area.

1 But yes, short answer, we'll absolutely have irrigation 2 in all of the landscaped areas. 3 MR. SMITH: One more question. Just out of curiosity, is there a design 4 5 rationale for where you're locating the vacuum and the air stations? 6 7 MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes. 8 MR. SMITH: Can you explain that a little bit? 9 MR. TARTAGLIA: So that's the side of the site 10 that's really going to see the least amount of traffic and certainly, from an aesthetic perspective, we didn't 11 12 want to put it on the roadway side. So we're putting it 13 to the side of the site that's going to be the quietest, if you will. There's always the tanks, so there will be 14 tanker deliveries, but they're going to happen one to two 15 times a week. So that is the spot where, if a vehicle 16 did pull up and stop, there's still plenty of room for 17 cars to get in and out of the pump islands and to 18 19 navigate the site. Thank you. 20 MR. SMITH: MR. TARTAGLIA: You're welcome. 21 MR. MARCHESE: If I may, this may be apropos to 22 what you were just saying. I envision someone with a 23 Ford Expedition, like me --24 MR. TARTAGLIA: 17-footer. 25

MR. MARCHESE: It's a big one. 1 -- and I'm behind a Toyota Camry and they're 2 pumping as if they're getting ready to leave the world. 3 I want to get out of there. You know. Am I going to have enough room to pull around 5 and get out around them, or am I going to worry about б 7 traffic backing up behind me? MR. TARTAGLIA: To answer the question: 8 lanes that are present between the islands, both on the 9 10 north side of the islands between Jericho Turnpike and 11 the pumps, between the two pump islands, and then between 12 the pump islands and the building, there will be a total of four fueling lanes. So if you were in that station 13 when every pump island was being utilized, then indeed 14 15 you would have to wait to get out if you didn't want to, 16 quote, back up and maneuver. MR. MARCHESE: So I wouldn't have room to come 17 around the Toyota in front of me. 18 19 MR. TARTAGLIA: But you would actually have the room if -- I'll point to the board behind me, if I can, 20 21 maybe it will be a little easier. 22 MR. MARCHESE: You know where I'm going with this, because I'm just concerned traffic is going to back 23 up behind me and create a hazard out there. 24 25 MR. TARTAGLIA: Right. So I think I can

1.1.

hopefully allay your fears because, while we don't have -- like I said, if every fueling position on the inside island, let's just say, is being used -- in other words four vehicles would be here -- then you would indeed have to wait until the vehicle in front of you pulls out. Now if it's a Toyota Camry, it has a much smaller fuel tank than your Ford Expedition. I'm assuming, he's going to be out of there much quicker than you are because the pumps all run the same speed.

But assuming it's the opposite -- you're driving the Toyota, he's driving the Expedition -- when we do these new tanks we're going to be installing two horsepower submersible pumps that will cut the filling time of these tanks literally in half of what you have today. So your wait -- even if you're an impatient driver, your wait will not be more than a couple of minutes.

MR. MARCHESE: Yes.

MR. FARRELL: But you're also talking about a scenario that doesn't happen all that frequently, where all four pumps are filled on both sides. You know, you're talking about having a significant number of vehicles in there at one time and it's --

MR. MARCHESE: I'm a retired accountant. I'm trained to look at a half empty glass of water and I'm

1	trained to look at worst case scenario. That's all. It
2	may never happen but, I figured one time it does happen,
3	I don't want someone to get rear-ended in the back. You
4	know.
5	MR. TARTAGLIA: Certainly can't control bad
6	behavior but, if past performance is any indicator of
7	what we're expecting here, this is a good site. It's a
8	good corner. But it doesn't see these sort of massive
9	volumes of customers and traffic. Certainly we're
10	looking for it to continue to be successful, but I don't
11	know that we're seeing 8 cars at once at this site.
12	MR. MARCHESE: OK. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: I did have one additional
14	question.
15	Could you just tell us what the current hours
16	are and what the proposed hours are?
17	MR. FARRELL: So currently they operate a
18	24-hour operation at the site. We've had, as far as I
19	can tell, no complaints. We have no issues with police
20	or any of that type of thing.
21	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: So currently 24-hours, both
22	the gas operation and the kiosk operation.
23	MR. FARRELL: Correct.
24	MR. TRENTACOSTE: If I can just add,
25	Mr Chairman one piece of housekeeping to this

application.

CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Please do.

MR. TRENTACOSTE: And forgive me.

This matter was before the Nassau County

Planning Commission and we only received this -- I don't

know if counsel has seen a copy of it yet. We only got

it back, I think, late yesterday afternoon.

The Planning Commission did leave this as a local determination but did express -- I have a copy for you too, counsel -- concerns relative to site access and traffic safety.

The site plan showed two full-movement curb cuts on Jericho Turnpike. The westbound left turn movement into the site from Jericho Turnpike may be problematic and potentially dangerous as the westbound left turn lane does not align with the site's westerly curb cut. In addition, there is no westbound left turn lane serving the site's easterly curb cut but, rather, an eastbound left turn lane serving Pennsylvania Avenue. The NYS DOT may consider installing a dual east and westbound left turn lane re-striping that would serve the subject property as well as Maple Place and Pennsylvania Avenue. Consideration may also be given to prohibiting left turns into the site from Jericho Turnpike.

Motorists would be directed onto Maple Place, which is

1 one-way enter only, and then turn left into the site. 2 And I'll give you a copy, counselor. 3 MR. FARRELL: I have it. We just got it from Mr. Smith. 4 5 MR. TRENTACOSTE: OK. So I mean certainly, if you're just receiving this tonight, it might be something 6 7 that you want to look at. 8 MR. FARRELL: We'll take a look at it but, I 9 mean, my initial response to it is it's been operating as 10 a gas station at the same location for 60 years. addition of the convenience store, while we would love it 11 12 to double and triple the amount of traffic, it's just not 13 realistic. I mean, there's too much competition on Jericho Turnpike, you know, for this to be -- you know, 14 15 this isn't a gas station that's the only game in town, where everybody is going to come to this site to go to 16 the convenience store if they need something late night. 17 There are other options. 18 19 So that would be my initial response, and I think Mr. Tartaglia may have some comments as well. 20 MR. TARTAGLIA: I would echo what counselor 21 The only thing I guess I would add, though, is 22 23 that I think that they might have some of the facts a 24 little bit skewed because, as you look at the aerial 25 photo, there is a left turn lane that would serve the

1	westerly curb cut that, in fact, I used tonight to visit
. 2	the facility. It also serves Maple Place. So that,
3	honestly, I think does well to serve the site.
4	I think maybe what they're talking about is the
5	easterly curb cut doesn't have access via that turning
6	lane, and they're talking about maybe making
7	modifications to that turning lane.
8	I mean, certainly we can take it under
9	consideration. I'm just sort of reacting to it now.
10	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: I think we wanted to make
11	sure you had the letter.
12	MR. TARTAGLIA: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: I'm not sure it's an accurate
14	capture of current conditions.
15	MR. TARTAGLIA: Understood.
16	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: If there's anything you
17	wanted to elaborate on or respond to, that would be fine.
18	MR. TARTAGLIA: Thanks.
19	I guess the one thing I would say is really
20	none of the access, really any of the issues discussed
21	here, affect any of the variances that are before you
22	tonight.
23	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Yes.
24	Anything else on behalf of the applicant?
25	MR. FARRELL: I think I would just sum up by

1 saying, you know, this a very challenging site. 8,800 square feet. To redevelop it as something other 2 than a gas station would result in significant expense 3 and hardship to the applicant and then the additional 4 problem would be finding somebody to go into a space that 5 was that size. A building that would fit on that site, 6 7 provide all the required parking and landscaping and buffers, finding a tenant in this day and age to go into 8 9 a space that small would be very challenging. I think this is the best possible use. 10 11 continuation of this use is the best possible scenario for the site, and I think that the benefit to the 12 applicant in granting all of the variances outweighs any 13 possible detriment to the community. 14 15 CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Thank you, Mr. Farrell. 16 MR. FARRELL: Thank you. 17 Is there anyone else here CHAIRMAN SHERRY: this evening who wishes to be heard on this application? 18 19 Seeing no one, I'll entertain a motion OK. 20 that this be determined to be a Type II Action under 21 SEORA. 22 MR. MARCHESE: So moved, Mr. Chairman. 23 CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Second? 24 MR. SMITH: Second. 25 CHAIRMAN SHERRY: All in favor?

1	MR. MARCHESE: Aye.
2	MR. SMITH: Aye.
3	MS. SARTORI: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Aye.
5	And for the benefit of those who don't fully
6	understand the SEQRA process, a Type II Action does not
7	require any additional discussion.
8	I think we're going to reserve decision on this
9	this evening so you have the opportunity to respond to
10	that letter and submit any additional information.
11	MR. FARRELL: Thank you very much,
12	Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the Board's time.
13	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Anything else, counsel?
14	MR. TRENTACOSTE: That's it. Thank you,
15	Mr. Chairman.
16	THE COURT: OK. Motion for adjournment?
17	MR. MARCHESE: So moved.
18	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Second?
19	MR. SMITH: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: All in favor?
21	MR. MARCHESE: Aye.
22	(Transcript continued on next page.)
23	
24	
25	

1.	MR. SMITH: Aye.
2	MS. SARTORI: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Aye.
4	MR. FARRELL: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN SHERRY: Have a good evening.
6	* * *
7	This is to certify that the within and foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the stenographic notes as
8	recorded by the undersigned Official Court Reporter. * * *
9	Q_{-} Q_{-} Q_{-} Q_{-}
10	PATRICIA A. TAUBER, RPR
11	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
12	
13	
14	•
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	