## INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF MINEOLA

HEARING OF THE

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

December 9, 2015 6:30 o'clock p.m.

Before:

SCOTT P. STRAUSS,

Mayor

PAUL A. PEREIRA, Deputy Mayor

PAUL S. CUSATO,

GEORGE R. DURHAM,

DENNIS J. WALSH,

Trustees.

JOHN P. GIBBONS, JR., ESQ. Village Attorney

DANIEL WHALEN
Superintendent of Buildings

JOSEPH R. SCALERO Village Clerk

PATRICIA A. TAUBER, RPR Official Court Reporter

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |

24

25

MR. SCALERO: Ladies and gentlemen, please silence all pagers and cell phones. In the event of an emergency there are exits located in the rear of the room.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. Scalero, the floor is yours.

MR. SCALERO: "Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated Village of Mineola.

"Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, December 9, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. at the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive public comment upon the following:

"Application of Mineola Properties, LLC to amend a Special Permit for a mixed use (commercial/residential) of the building located at 250 Old Country Road, Mineola, New York, known and designated on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map as Section 9, Block 675, Lots 2A-2B to provide for the relocation of Citibank to the building.

"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate on the basis of handicapped status in administration or

access to or employment in its projects and activities.

Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate

compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the

Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.

"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees.

"At the aforesaid time and place all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

"By Order of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero, Village Clerk.

"Dated November 5, 2015."

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, the format for this evening's hearing: The applicant will make their presentation, we'll take any questions from the Board, and then we'll open it up to public comment.

I ask anybody coming up to the podium to speak this evening: Please state your name, address, speak slowly and clearly for the reporter so your comments can be appropriately documented.

Sir?

MR. K. WALSH: Good evening, Mayor.

Can I submit to Mr. Whalen one more mailing

|   | 1 |
|---|---|
|   | 2 |
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | О |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
|   |   |
| 1 |   |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 9 |

24

25

that came today?

MAYOR STRAUSS: Sure. I apologize.

Mr. Whalen, anything on the mailings.

MR. WHALEN: Yes, Mayor. We have the Affidavit of Mailing. There were 20 mailed, 13 returned and five went unclaimed.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Mr. Gibbons, anything?

MR. GIBBONS: No, Mayor.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Mr. Walsh? Sorry.

MR. K. WALSH: Good evening, Mayor and Members of the Board. Kevin Walsh, 229 Seventh Street, Garden City, New York, for the applicant.

I'm here tonight with Kevin Lalezarian. Kevin is the principal of Mineola Properties, LLC. He has appeared before you on a number of occasions with respect to this project, as well as the Village Green.

At the outset I will give you status on this building. This is 250 Old Country Road. We refer to it as 1 Third Avenue. Because of integrating this back into the Village and centering this building more towards the Village, that will be it's new address. So even though I make reference to and some of our submissions make reference to 1 Third Avenue, that is really the same

1 address as this application.

or are establishing, possibly, a capital reserve for water tonight. I want the Board to know that as part of the successful development we made our community host payment today of \$268,864, which was our responsibility, and we are very willing and ready to make our 620,000 phase C of O payment when we are able to get our C of O for the building. We're ready to do that, and we're moving as fast as we can towards that. Obviously this is an integral part of that in your review of this, and your approval of this will be a critical element in that.

The application tonight is for you to consider amending, or allowing us to amend, the Special Permit that you granted back in December of 2012, almost three years ago. That permit also gave us site plan review and some architectural review of the building that is now almost finished on Old Country Road, or Third Avenue, in the Village.

The specifics of this application: If you remember back when we made the application for the Village Green, it was always the intent, and discussed as such, to relocate the existing Citibank branch on Second Street, which will be removed or moved out when the Village Green gets built, relocate them to the building

at 1 Third Avenue. We like the concept of a mixed use there also.

We would seek to balance the commercial component of that with a small, 1,600 square foot, retail -- possibly a coffee shop or something -- that would enhance the use of the building for the residents and provide a little bit of commercial life, slight commercial life, in a residential building. It works very, very well.

So the plan here was to, as we discussed, was to seek an amendment of the permit to be able to do that. In so doing, in doing the drawings and everything, the specifics of this are, because in relocating a commercial building, we had to eliminate four residential apartments. So we are down to 311 residential apartments. Those were two one bedroom apartments, a two bedroom apartment and a three bedroom apartment. So seven bedrooms will be out of that building.

In addition to that, approximately 4,600 square feet of floor area will be gone as a result of the fact that the commercial retail spots don't have a second floor. They're elevated ceilings that cover and spanned two floors.

We also -- we know the look of the building. We technically need an approval for the change in the

aesthetics of the building. We selected -- Kevin -- the building was going so well and he was so happy with it, they selected a much more expensive solid cast stone, and we're hoping you like it. And if you have questions about that we can describe it, but they're slightly different than what was on the original plan.

And we have to modify the area around Old Country Road to give access to the parking lot on Old Country Road for the use of the bank.

The total work involved with this, in eliminating the apartments, bringing in the retail components, results in a net loss as it relates on the existing parking that was presented to you in the past of 25 spaces as a result of the fact that the commercial units are going to be on grade and the parking was going to be on grade. So we lost some spaces as a result of that. So I'll discuss parking at the end of this.

But as it relates to the application, we did study and submit it to you a study from Cameron

Engineering, that also went to Nassau County, the impact of traffic associated with the inclusion of the Citibank location there. And I think that the summary in the report basically says that there will be negligible, if any, effects as it relates to the traffic in the area.

That's as a result, basically, of the fact that this type

1

of bank is not really heavily trafficked vehicle-wise. think we all know that from the fact that I do my banking at Chase right on Old Country Road, a few feet away from this building. There's always tons of parking there. go there. We do a lot of banking. We go there a couple of times a month. But whenever you go there the parking lot is virtually open and there are very few cars there because, as the report says, this is not the type of bank, without a drive-through, that there's a lot of vehicles. Nonetheless we have provided, in our analysis, parking for the commercial use.

By the way, the retail use is a permitted use in the B-3 district. So the use of the retail is the mix, and the amendment of the site plan, that is what calls us before you tonight.

So the overall parking, as I said, we lost 25 of the existing spaces. In addition to that, we had to account for the new spaces that would be the result of your parking requirements for retail space at one per 200 square feet. We have two fronts going in. One, the bank, would be about 3,700 square feet, and the other would be about 1,600 square feet. So we had additional one per 200 for those.

So what we did, and what I presented in the submission, was a calculation of the parking in the

building, which I'll summarize for you as follows: We are required -- your code or your agreement, your approvals, require for the residential units, which are now at 311, one-and-a-half spaces, for 467 spaces. The bank use is one per 200, which would be 19. The other retail space, another 8 spaces. So the total required parking for the building as it sits now would be 494 spaces based on that calculation. We are providing -- if you add up the spaces that we're able to provide, we're providing 453. The net result is 41 less spaces, and 25 of it are, as I described, the balance of that is because the parking counts for retail are greater than the parking count for the residential use.

So we studied this. This, by the way, was not a concern and is not a concern to the Lalezarians, who have discussed with you from the first stages of this application that we believe we're well over-parked for this building. Nevertheless, we studied it because we have to present you with an analysis of that. So we commissioned and had a report done by our traffic expert at VHB, Pat Lenihan, and he discussed this from a number of ways, a number of discussions.

So first, if we start, we have 426 parking spaces that we can devote to residential use. We have accounted for all the parking required for the retail

22

23

24

25

That's per code, per your code. But we have left 426 spaces to apply to 311 units. The first thing we're going to do is we're going to assign one parking space to each of the leases. So each of those units will be required to get one parking space. It comes with the lease. It's included in their rent. And that will never change. By the way, that goes for the Village Green also, whether we discussed that with you before, that's the way that the Lalezarians do business and they believe that that's essential here. So that leaves us with 115 parking spaces to apply to whoever needs more than one space. So we don't necessarily believe that enough people are going to need 115 spaces, but we have 115 spaces to apply in that way.

We also then asked VHB to look at other buildings, similar buildings, to decide, you know, what really is the parking that should be allocated to this type of development. And the report that you have that was dated November 30, 2015, to summarize it, indicates that VHB studied three types of issues. The first was, they said: Let's take a similar TOD, transit oriented development, down in Rockville Centre. And they studied an Avalon project down there which, by the way, is actually further away from the train station, but it's within the range that they call it a TOD. And in their

analysis there, in terms of parking demand, they
determined that at maximum peak in the hours studied,
which were peak hours, 1.2 parking spaces were needed for
the apartments in that building. With the reduction of
41 spaces, we're at 1.37. And I know you know this
number well, you require 1.5. With the 41 spaces we're
at 1.37. AvalonBay has a demand of 1.2.

They also looked at another analysis of Great Neck, which was not a TOD, which would generate probably a little more requirement for parking. And in that report they actually studied two other locations and they determined that the combination of those locations would require 1.31. And we would expect that to be a little higher demand in not being associated with a train station.

Then finally they used the ITE trip generation, which is the standard that you hear at many hearings about what the experts supposedly in the industry tell you you should expect in parking demand for this type of building, and they concluded that it would be 1.23.

So under any of those analyses, on the most conservative one we are 52 spaces over-parked still at a highest peak demand. But you have to remember, and we have to all remember, that parking here is important to you, but it is as important to the Lalezarians. They



know fully well how important it is. So they are comfortable with that analysis and they are comfortable with that.

But this building, the actual distribution of parking in this building is that it's heavily dominated by one bedroom apartments. Just taking you back to the time when we did the original application, we discussed it. I believe -- excuse me. I had the numbers. I'm sorry. It is -- I'm sorry. I had the numbers when I came in.

I'll tell you what. You can hold it when you find it, Kevin. Let me proceed to the next point.

Notwithstanding, you're going to hear that we are heavily dominated by one bedroom units, which would necessarily not generate as much parking demand. The Lalezarians -- in their commitment and in their love or the strong like to invest in this Village -- have actually an affiliated company and purchased a building right on Old Country Road, one of the office buildings, 330 Old Country Road. And you can see in my report that building has 500 parking spaces. It's a 100,000 square foot office building, 500 parking spaces.

We asked VHB to study that building as a possibility of available parking in the event we by some reason needed additional parking. And first they



determined that -- based on the possibility that we might need some type of parking -- even it's location a few blocks away would be sufficient for it. But Kevin has studied that building since they've been involved in ownership of it. And that building is high 90 percent leased, and it has available parking at all times.

Approximately 100 parking spaces that are just never used. I think that is consistent with a stand-alone office building that you might find these days. But they, in studying it since they've owned it, have determined that there are always -- at night there's 500 parking spaces, but at peak hours there's always at least spaces.

So if anybody came to this building and we didn't have enough parking spaces to give them a second spot, or whatever, a third, his effort would be to sign them to go down and park in his building, an affiliated building, on 330 Old Country Road. The goal, his goal, is his people are not going to be parking on the streets of Mineola. That doesn't do him any good and it certainly, I know, doesn't do anyone any good here. There will be parking on site somewhere for those that want to park and are willing to park. And most people are going to want to put their car in a secure place, and they're going to have that opportunity with his plan.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

The numbers for this building are: Out of the 311 units, 220 of them are one bedroom, 82 are two bedroom and nine are three. So with that analysis you're going to see very few people that need, that actually need, a second space, and those that do are going to have And many people will not even need a first, because one of the attractions of this building is you can literally live there and not need a car with what's going on in the downtown.

MR. D. WALSH: I'm sorry. I missed it. many one bedrooms?

> MR. K. WALSH: 220.

MR. D. WALSH: Thank you.

MR. K. WALSH: And 82 two.

I would point out, in way of comparing -because the Lalezarians do study what they're doing -and the Village Green they have a different distribution of units. They have more two bedrooms. So the same argument they wouldn't be making there. They have extra parking there because, in their world, we want to make sure we have enough parking for those in our building. We want them to be able to park in our building on our site, not take your shot anywhere in a municipal lot, or in the street, or anywhere else.

So I think, under the circumstances, a strong

case is made for asking the Board to approve the Amended Site Plan, Special Permit and Architectural Plan associated with this.

That's the presentation, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

So you're banking on the parking spots at 330 Old Country Road to make up the difference should there be additional parking needs, other than what you're asking for now.

MR. K. WALSH: Strongly. We don't think they need it but, yes, they would be the backup. In the event that for some reason we needed more spaces, we have them available and that's where they would be.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Is there a plan should 330 Old Country Road be sold?

MR. K. WALSH: I can ask Kevin that, but what I'll start by saying is: As he presented to you the first application with this building, they are acquirers, not sellers. But I think it's a question he should answer.

Well, let me answer this, and then he can add to it. It is not the only place -- not to complicate this, but he is -- this family that's investing here knows full well the importance of parking in the downtown. So in the event that that building was for



some reason not available, there would be another parking space or another spot another place available to park the people in our building. And that is a commitment he would make.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Pereira?

MR. PEREIRA: Thank you.

Good evening, Mr. Walsh, Mr. Lalezarian.

Just a couple of questions. I just very quickly did this. The number that I like, by telling us that there's 82 two bedrooms and nine three bedrooms, that's 91 additional units, which is still covered by the 115 extra spots. I mean, it's common sense. And I just did quick math. If we gave each of the three bedrooms and each of the two bedrooms two spots each, and gave the one bedrooms 1 spot each, that's still under the 426.

MR. K. WALSH: It is. You're absolutely right. If you did that analysis, yes.

MR. PEREIRA: So the numbers seem to work. For me the question that -- I have three concerns. If you could tell us a little bit about the modifications to Old Country Road, and go into greater detail about that and how that impacts that traffic light there, and what your plans are. Because although we have those plans, the public does not have access to those.

MR. K. WALSH: OK. So the easiest way I think

22

23

24

25

to look at it: If you were driving down West Street, as many people who are here have at times done, and you were facing this building, you would be facing the center square on the building pretty much where West Street -if you continue through West Street, that actually will be the ingress and egress for the bank. So that light -worked with the county -- will be synchronized to allow for ingress and egress and for people to safely get their cars in and out. They'll actually have a light. report that was done by Cameron Engineering describes this. And it also says that, if one thing is going to improve as a result of this process, it is that the people on West Street will probably get a few more lights green because there will be sometimes people tripping that light coming from the other direction. So I would not read a significant improvement in that, but to the extent that there is any improvement, or any affect, it's going to be a little bit more times that West Street light will be green approaching Old Country Road. And that will be one entranceway with two lanes in it to ingress and to egress.

MR. PEREIRA: And that would be only for the bank's customers, not for the residents.

MR. K. WALSH: That's correct.

Our lease, as committed to Citibank, would be

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |

that's their parking.

MR. PEREIRA: Right.

So the residents would still enter the building from the rear -- well, not the rear, from the north side.

MR. K. WALSH: That is correct.

MR. PEREIRA: OK. Correct.

And if we go back to the original presentation, the building was actually facing Old Country Road and originally there was a circular driveway there. So essentially we're going back to that, except without two curb cuts. We're only going to have one curb cut --

MR. K. WALSH: That's right.

MR. PEREIRA: -- in the center of the building.

MR. K. WALSH: It's the same spot.

MR. PEREIRA: Is the traffic light going to -I know it's a county issue, but is the traffic light
going to have to be moved?

MR. K. WALSH: No. It's the same traffic light and it will just be slightly synchronized to provide for the additional potential of a little bit of traffic coming out of the parking lot.

MR. PEREIRA: OK. Now in keeping with that, the parking for the bank and for the retail use, does that include the parking of the employees of the bank and the retail use? Will they park in those eight and

20-something spots, or whatever it is?

MR. K. WALSH: So the parking that's going to be available with that bank entrance is about 16 spaces. Under your calculations we have to provide 19. So three or four spaces are not going to be in that lot. They're going to be in the building. And we'll probably discuss with them employee parking in the lower level in the building.

But obviously what we think is going to happen is: It's not going to matter, because no one is going to be using those spaces. But yes, that can be certainly something that's discussed, because we have to give them enough parking per code, per your code, and we plan on doing it in that way.

MR. PEREIRA: Where will the eight spots for the retail space be?

MR. K. WALSH: Another area that -- we don't know that there's going to be many people parking in there, but they would be within the building, right? Do you have an area where you would know where they will be now? Would they be close, you know, the most advantageous location in there for them?

MR. LALEZARIAN: Good evening. My name is Kevin Lalezarian.

Those spots would be closest to that other

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

small retail at the corner of Third Avenue and Third Street.

And just one further point. The entrance driveway that we're speaking of on Old Country Road would be exclusive for the bank, and there would be a demising wall. So even if a car were to enter that driveway-garage-area for the bank, it would be impossible for them to get to the general residential garage area. So there wouldn't be an opportunity for someone to start out using the Old Country Road driveway to use it for the residential access. That driveway and that traffic light --

Which would be in the same location, instead of being a T traffic light would be a plus sign traffic light. So four-way, as opposed to three-way.

-- would only serve the garage area and that garage area has a cinder block demising wall. So there would be no opportunity for a car to go from the bank parking lot to the residential parking lot.

MR. PEREIRA: And the same would be true for the 8 spots with the --

MR. LALEZARIAN: No. We're not proposing a separate driveway entrance for the retail. For the 1,500 square foot coffee retail --

MR. PEREIRA: Right.

24

| 1  | MR. LALEZARIAN: those spots would be in the              |
|----|--|
| 2  | general building parking area.                           |
| 3  | MR. PEREIRA: I wouldn't anticipate that a lot            |
| 4  | of people would go out of their way to drive there to go |
| 5  | to this coffee shop. This will probably be people from   |
| 6  | the building, or walk-ins.                               |
| 7  | MR. LALEZARIAN: That's our idea. Our idea is             |
| 8  | to make that more of a coffee lounge that doesn't have   |
| 9  | cooking. More a lounge where people are using the WiFi   |
| 10 | and kind of hanging out, and there's coffee and pastries |
| 11 | and that kind of stuff. Not really a destination where   |
| 12 | people are going to be driving to it to buy a cup of     |
| 13 | coffee.  |
| 14 | MR. PEREIRA: All right. Thank you.                       |
| 15 | And I think that's all my questions for now.             |
| 16 | Thank you.   |
| 17 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.                                |
| 18 | Mr. Cusato?  |
| 19 | MR. CUSATO: Thank you, Mayor.                            |
| 20 | Good evening, gentlemen.                                 |
| 21 | Is that bank on its own? Can residents walk              |
| 22 | into according to this it seems that residents would     |
| 23 | have to walk around the block to get into the bank. Do   |
| 24 | they walk into the bank from inside the building?        |
| 25 | MR. LALEZARIAN: No. That was discussed with              |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Citibank and they could not because of security reasons. There will be two entrances to the bank, one from the indoor garage area and another one, garage door, excuse me, store entry door at the corner of Old Country Road and Third Avenue. And from the main entrance on Old Country Road and Third Avenue there would actually be a vestibule that you walk in. There would be ATM machines there that the bank intends on leaving open for longer hours than the bank is open. And then from that vestibule at the corner of Old Country Road and Third Avenue another door into the main branch area. residents would have to go through the porte-cochère, the driveway area on Third Avenue, along the sidewalk of Third Avenue, into the main entrance.

MR. CUSATO: OK. Fine.

Now, Mr. Walsh, you're talking about the double height retail space.

MR. K. WALSH: Yes.

MR. CUSATO: So are you eliminating, like, the second floor and making those ceilings higher?

MR. K. WALSH: The ceilings will be higher. The ceilings will be higher.

MR. CUSATO: In those areas.

MR. LALEZARIAN: So the four apartments that have been eliminated, three of them are above the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Citibank retail space. One is above the coffee retail space. So there's no second floor in those two parts of the building.

> MR. CUSATO: Just a higher ceiling, right? MR. LALEZARIAN: Correct.

MR. CUSATO: OK.

MR. K. WALSH: The first floor was parking, which is why we're losing some parking.

> MR. CUSATO: OK. That's my questions.

Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Mr. Cusato.

Mr. Durham?

MR. DURHAM: You said -- so would the parking spots that are for retail, is that going to inhibit in any way the -- because I know you had stated when you were doing visitor parking that you were going to set up spots on the main floor for visitors of the apartment building. So are the visitor parking spots being impacted anyhow?

MR. LALEZARIAN: No. We still intend on having visitor spots on the first floor of the building that you access through the residential garage entrance, and they'd be closest to the residential entrance from the garage. So there's no reduction of the visitor spots.

MR. DURHAM: OK. So the visitor spots remain

the same. And it will be just the possibility of the long -- the residential spots, whatever, the multi-residential, more than one spot, or whatever, possibly being down the road.

MR. LALEZARIAN: Correct. And also, in our calculations we didn't do any reduction for the retail spaces being used by residents when the retail is not using them. We treated them as two exclusive calculations. So we didn't double-dip, so-to-speak, to say that that same spot would be used during the day for commercial use and during the night for residential. We kept it separate and distinct.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  DURHAM: OK. If approved, approximate time frame for the moving of the bank and . . .

MR. LALEZARIAN: It's my understanding that they'd like to start their fit-out just after the new year, and it would probably take them three to four months to do their interior fit-out and at that point relocate the branch. So probably start their construction -- pending approvals and permits -- at the beginning of the year, and do their relocation about three to four months after that time.

MR. DURHAM: OK. No further questions.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Mr. Walsh?



| 1  | MR. D. WALSH: Yes. Thank you, Mayor.                      |
|----|---|
| 2  | Hello. Good evening. Just a few questions.                |
| 3  | The total number of spaces that are going to be           |
| 4  | down in 330 Old Country Road, what is that number?        |
| 5  | MR. K. WALSH: The total number in the building            |
| 6  | is 500 parking  |
| 7  | MR. D. WALSH: No. No. The total number of                 |
| 8  | spaces that you will have to replace there.               |
| 9  | MR. K. WALSH: Conceivably we would have to                |
| 10 | replace the 41 spaces.                                    |
| 11 | MR. D. WALSH: 41 spaces. That's the numbers I             |
| 12 | have. 494 to 453. So in theory the only people that       |
| 13 | will be affected by this at all are the people that have  |
| 14 | to walk from 250 Old Country Road about three blocks west |
| 15 | to retrieve their car.                                    |
| 16 | MR. K. WALSH: Yes. Those people that want a               |
| 17 | second vehicle, a second car, and want to keep it more    |
| 18 | likely they would be keeping it for a week and picking it |
| 19 | up on a weekend, or something like that.                  |
| 20 | MR. D. WALSH: Well whether they would or not,             |
| 21 | the only people if this affects anyone, it will affect    |
| 22 | 41 people at the most.                                    |
| 23 | MR. K. WALSH: That's correct.                             |
| 24 | MR. D. WALSH: And that would be foot traffic.             |
| 25 | MR. K. WALSH: That's correct.                             |



MR. D. WALSH: OK. And as far as the entrance and exit to the bank, I see where that's going to be.

And I'm glad that it's right across from West Street, so the light doesn't have to be changed.

You're going to have in one side and out the other, and people exiting will be able to go right or left; is that correct?

MR. K. WALSH: Because it's lighted I don't think there's a prohibition of making a left out there.

MR. D. WALSH: In other words, when you exit the bank I can make a left or a right.

MR. LALEZARIAN: That's correct. It will be a signalized intersection. That same light that you're referring to --

MR. D. WALSH: Yes.

MR. LALEZARIAN: -- will have a fourth side, now, to it facing our building.

MR. D. WALSH: OK. Right. Which it doesn't have now.

Just one question about something you spoke about before. You spoke about a building in Rockville Centre, an Avalon building, and you were making a parking comparison to this building.

How many units are in that building in Rockville Centre? You didn't say that.





| 1  | MR. K. WALSH: I didn't say it because it's not            |
|----|---|
| 2  | in his report. All he has oh. I'm sorry.                  |
| 3  | MR. D. WALSH: I mean, is it an apples to                  |
| 4  | apples?   |
| 5  | MR. K. WALSH: No. That's not right. That's                |
| 6  | the comparison. No. I do not know how many units are in   |
| 7  | that. I'm sorry.  |
| 8  | Hang on a second.   |
| 9  | I'm sorry. It is 349 apartments.                          |
| 10 | MR. D. WALSH: 349. So it's an even                        |
| 11 | comparison.   |
| 12 | MR. K. WALSH: It is an even comparison.                   |
| 13 | MR. D. WALSH: If it was 100 units it would be,            |
| 14 | you know, something that we wouldn't count.               |
| 15 | MR. K. WALSH: Thank you.                                  |
| 16 | MR. D. WALSH: And the 1,600 square foot second            |
| 17 | store: People, if they want to park there, will go        |
| 18 | through the normal parking area where the tenants go in   |
| 19 | and then they'll pull up to that area, or there will be a |
| 20 | designated area for them inside? Where will that be?      |
| 21 | MR. LALEZARIAN: We have not yet leased that               |
| 22 | 1,600 foot space. So that space hasn't been as            |
| 23 | developed. But the idea is that they would come in and    |
| 24 | park, if we expect most of the patrons to be by foot.     |
| 25 | But if they are by car and they need a spot to park, they |

can come into the general residential parking area. We would have some spots dedicated closest to the back of that store, because the rear of this same store is parking area, and have a rear entrance into the store from the garage area for them to enter into.

MR. D. WALSH: So you intend to have two entrances into this coffee shop, one from the parking lot, just like the bank, and one from the street.

MR. LALEZARIAN: Correct. Again, that space hasn't been designed, as the Citibank has been. But that's -- the concept is for them to come off that parking area and go directly into the store. They wouldn't have to come park in and then walk all the way around to the corner again.

MR. D. WALSH: OK.

MR. LALEZARIAN: Correct.

MR. D. WALSH: OK. I think I agree with you. I think there's ample amount of parking inside that building. And I think that if anyone has to walk a few blocks to park their car for a second space, it won't be affecting the neighborhood in any way. It will be people, a small number of people, walking down Old Country Road about three blocks. That's how I see it.

OK. Thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |

24

25

Anything else?

MR. K. WALSH: No, sir.

MR. DURHAM: Just one more. If we approve, is it also approved, the store, the coffee shop?

MAYOR STRAUSS: This is just for parking.

MR. DURHAM: But I'm saying: For the coffee shop, they would have to come back for special use?

MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.

MR. PEREIRA: Mayor, I don't have a question, but I do have a comment, and this is independent of the hearing tonight and whether or not it gets approved.

But, you know, we've had other applicants here who contend that the business model only works if you charge people for the additional spot. And I want to commend Mr. Lalezarian because it's this Board's wishes that we want the people who live in those buildings parking in those buildings. And I'm glad to hear that the business model obviously works where you can lease a an apartment to someone and include this spot and not have an additional cost, which would then give them the option of taking it, or not taking it and parking in our commuter lots for, say, \$55 a year.

So I want to commend Mr. Lalezarian for realizing that, you know, parking is at a premium down there. And everybody wins if people have a place to park

| 1   | because the shops have more customers. If the shops have  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | more customers, that just attracts more people down       |
| 3   | there. So I think that that is something that we are      |
| 4   | comfortable with, and we appreciate that it is included   |
| 5   | in the lease, and that everyone has a designated spot and |
| 6   | that they don't have the option of purchasing or not      |
| 7   | purchasing.   |
| 8   | Now the additional spot, obviously, they would            |
| 9   | have to pay, which is understandable.                     |
| -0  | That's all, Mayor.  |
| .1  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.                                 |
| 12  | Any other comments from the Board?                        |
| 13  | Mr. Walsh, Mr. Lalezarian, I ask you to have a            |
| L4  | seat. We'll open up to public comment. If there are any   |
| L5  | questions that are made, please record them and then we   |
| 16  | will bring you up at the end to answer the questions.     |
| L 7 | Anybody in the third row? Fourth row?                     |
| 18  | Yes, ma'am?   |
| L9  | You need to approach the podium, please.                  |
| 0.0 | And again, I ask anybody speaking this evening            |
| 21  | to please state their name, their address, and state      |
| 22  | whatever they need to state.                              |
| 23  | MS. DONNELLY: My name is Patricia Donnelly. I             |
| 24  | live at 121 15th Street, Garden City, which is Cherry     |
| 25  | Valley co-ops.  |
|     |   |

Now as it stands today, without that building across the street at 250 Old Country Road being occupied, it is total chaos. Garden City has the jurisdiction on the west side of the street. They park in an angular fashion. So myself and several of the people here -- there are two entrances or exits onto West Street. It's almost impossible now to go out there. You have to inch out because the cars from Mr. Mangano's office across the street are parked on a diagonal, so that you can't even see going out. You have to inch your way out.

I have gone to Mr. Mangano's office. I happen to personally like the man. I've gone to see his secretary. And I have written about seven letters to him saying: Please do not have your employees park here so that they can just walk across the street to their building. It is not right. There's a huge parking lot one block away. They continue to park there. Garden City, Commissioner Jackson, does not ticket them. The sign says "three hour parking". They park there all day long.

So as you can see, we already have a big problem. How no one has been injured -- because it's mostly an older population, Cherry Valley co-ops. I would say at least 60 percent are over the age of 65, from myself on through. So we already have a big issue



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on West Street. If any of you gentlemen went and saw how narrow West Street is, with the diagonal parking, with all the employees in Mr. Mangano's office across the street. And they're allowed to park there. Besides, that street belongs -- I don't really know. Our side belongs to Garden City.

So already it is a danger, is what I'm saying. Already it is a danger. How are we ever going to manage it when we have now that building across the street and Citibank. I happen to be -- I happen to like Citibank. I've been using them for 25 years. I mean, it would be great for me, right across the street. But all of these buildings? We have about 43 people who park off West About 43. And so it is now difficult for us to get out. How are we ever going to manage without a catastrophe occurring. How is it going to be with that huge building and Citibank directly across the street from us. And as it is now, there's a -- you can turn right on red. You don't need a signal. So they go from Old Country Road, from the west they make that turn so quickly as we're trying to get out, if you can understand what I'm trying to say. So already it's a danger.

I'm in Mr. Mangano's office, I'd say, at least three times a year. I keep all my letters, though. I keep all my letters because if and when that catastrophe



occurs there, and some of these older people from Cherry Valley co-ops are injured, believe me, I have all my evidence because I have all the letters of all the times I've seen him.

So what I'm saying to you gentlemen is: This is lovely. I don't mind. My son said to me: Mom, people have to have a place to live. It is true. But how are you going to manage that? How are you going to manage people coming out of that building directly across onto Wrest Street? And it is narrow. If you all went --before you make a decision, if you all went any morning after 8:30 and looked at West Street, I think you'd have a different opinion as to how to handle it.

First of all, it's like a highway now. They call it Old Country Road. It really is like a highway.

They go along there 60 miles an hour. And now we're going to have the building across the street. And now Mr. Walsh, I think he said, or that other gentleman, that they're going to be able to go down West Street? Well, you know, there will be an accident weekly, if not daily.

So before you make a decision on especially -especially on how the traffic is going to flow, I wish
all of you would just show up there at 8:30 any morning,
Monday to Friday, and see how congested that street is.
And then, of course, we have county vehicles. So they

double park on the other -- the street is narrow. They have that diagonal parking. I can never convince them to do away with the diagonal parking because people don't want to walk one block to that huge parking lot. So they have diagonal parking. They double-park on Mangano's side of the street. So it's so narrow as it is. And now we're saying that you're going to have an egress from Citibank and all and go down that street? Well you're going to have a catastrophe.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Ma'am, we're not saying they're going to go down West Street. They're going to exit, whoever goes to that bank will come out onto Old Country Road and go east, west. We can't control where they go or not go.

MS. DONNELLY: Yes. Of course not. But they can go down, if they want to just go into Garden City.

MAYOR STRAUSS: I guess they can.

MS. DONNELLY: Yes. So what I'm saying: The road is so narrow. It is so difficult as it is now.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Right. Well, do you have any questions about the parking, the number of spots or the parking issue that we're addressing this evening?

It sounds like you have parking issues with the county and --

MS. DONNELLY: On West Street.

| 1  | MAYOR STRAUSS: where the county employees                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | and the staff   |
| 3  | MS. DONNELLY: On West Street.                             |
| 4  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Right. Do you have anything                |
| 5  | that has to do with this building, the parking with this  |
| 6  | building?   |
| 7  | MS. DONNELLY: Oh, yes. Because we have that               |
| 8  | new building going up, there's going to be many more cars |
| 9  | going down West Street. If they want to go into Garden    |
| 10 | City to shop, of course the best way would be to go down  |
| 11 | West Street. So I think it's a danger. That's a very      |
| 12 | big issue.  |
| 13 | Again, I have to bring it up. I'll follow this            |
| 14 | up with a letter so I have this in my files.              |
| 15 | And then second issue is: Mr. Walsh is a                  |
| 16 | lovely gentleman, but for many years his law firm handles |
| 17 | all of our concerns in Cherry Valley. So is it not a      |
| 18 | conflict of interest that he's handling the apartment     |
| 19 | building across the street when many of us are very       |
| 20 | unhappy with that apartment building because of           |
| 21 | congestion? Is that not a conflict of interest?           |
| 22 | MAYOR STRAUSS: It's not for me to decide that.            |
| 23 | MS. DONNELLY: Well who would decide it?                   |
| 24 | Mr. Mangano? Do you see him about that?                   |
| 25 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Ma'am, I don't want to get into            |

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

who you should see about that. That has nothing to do with this evening.

MS. DONNELLY: That has nothing to do, whether it's a conflict of interest.

MAYOR STRAUSS: No, nothing to do with it.

MS. DONNELLY: All right. There will have to be another source for that.

But anyway, I would like you all to go and look at West Street and see how it is that we can be able to move in and out, which we can't now, which we have to inch out. And a lot of the people who park, Mangano's people across the street, they have these huge, like, pickup trucks. They're, like, parked on a diagonal so you can't see. It's not like a little Volkswagen parked there.

MAYOR STRAUSS: It sounds like you have parking issues with the County Executive and offices. It has nothing to do with what we're doing here tonight.

MS. DONNELLY: Well, it does have to do.

MAYOR STRAUSS: People in the building won't be parking in those spots. Those spots --

MS. DONNELLY: Not parking, but they have access. They can go directly across and go down that street.

MR. PEREIRA: You understand that's not the



residents. It's only the clients of the bank who will have that access. The residents will exit the building on the north side of the building on Third Street. The residents don't have access to that curb cut.

MS. DONNELLY: They won't be able to just -MR. PEREIRA: Only the people who go into the
bank. Only the 16 spots. And the chances are that

So the residents who live in the building, when they leave the building they don't have access to that light on West Street.

MS. DONNELLY: To West Street.

you're not going to have 16 spots occupied.

MR. PEREIRA: They're either going to be at the entrance where the bar is, OK, or the other one where the Winthrop --

MS. DONNELLY: Where Murphy's bar is.

MR. PEREIRA: Where Murphy's bar is, or where the Winthrop cancer/oncology center is. They will not have access to that.

As Mr. Lalezarian said, there will be a demising wall. So you can't go those -- those 400 spots that are underground, you will not be able to access that curb cut.

MS. DONNELLY: They'll be going --

MR. PEREIRA: Only the people that go to the





| 영 (A) | 1  |
|-------|----|
|       | 2  |
|       | 3  |
|       | 4  |
|       | 5  |
|       | 6  |
|       | 7  |
|       | 8  |
|       | 9  |
|       | 10 |
|       | 11 |
|       | 12 |
|       | 13 |
|       | 14 |
|       | 15 |
|       | 16 |
|       | 17 |
|       | 18 |
|       | 19 |
|       | 20 |
|       | 21 |
|       | 22 |
|       | 23 |
|       | 24 |

bank. And you would be the unluckiest person if all the people who go to the bank have to head south into Garden City. I know there's a lot of money in Garden City, but I'm sure some of them will head east and some of them will head east. So it's going to be limited.

What it sounds to me -- and by the way, West

Street is not a Village of Mineola street. It's either a

county road or it's a Village of Garden City road.

But I think you're unclear that the 311 apartments that are in this building, not a single parking spot will have access to that curb cut on West Street. They have to exit --

MS. DONNELLY: So they won't be able to go down West Street.

MR. PEREIRA: They'll have to exit by the Intermodal Center. You know the Intermodal

Center --

MS. DONNELLY: Yes.

MR. PEREIRA: -- where a lot of residents from Garden City come up West Street and then park in the Intermodal Center in Mineola, that's where they will exit.

MS. DONNELLY: So you're just saying that it will be the clients of Citibank.

MR. PEREIRA: Exactly. Which at 8:00 in the

| 1  | morning probably won't be open, OK?                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | MS. DONNELLY: Yes.                                      |
| 3  | MR. PEREIRA: And on Saturdays maybe it won't            |
| 4  | be open in the afternoon. I mean, I don't know their    |
| 5  | hours of operation.                                     |
| 6  | MS. DONNELLY: Well Saturdays is not a problem           |
| 7  | for us. It's Monday through Friday.                     |
| 8  | MR. PEREIRA: So I think the issue is: I think           |
| 9  | you were misunderstanding that this is going to be the  |
| 10 | entire building is going to be exiting that exit, and   |
| 11 | that's not true.  |
| 12 | MS. DONNELLY: Yes. But just the 16 spots from           |
| 13 | Citibank.   |
| 14 | MR. PEREIRA: The only ones who will have                |
| 15 | access to that traffic light.                           |
| 16 | MS. DONNELLY: Well even that. You know, if              |
| 17 | you saw how congested it is now, you would never be     |
| 18 | approving it.   |
| 19 | MR. PEREIRA: As Mr. Lalezarian indicated, or            |
| 20 | Mr. Walsh indicated                                     |
| 21 | And it's not for us to decide. It's for the             |
| 22 | county to decide.                                       |
| 23 | that actually change the timing of the light            |
| 24 | so the people that are going north on West Street may   |
| 25 | actually get more frequent lights for longer periods of |

| 1  | time than they do now, therefore being able to make lefts |
|----|---|
| 2  | and rights onto Old Country Road more easily and probably |
| 3  | more safely than they do now. As you said, they can make  |
| 4  | a right on red. So  |
| 5  | MS. DONNELLY: Yes. OK.                                    |
| 6  | MR. PEREIRA: But I just want to make sure that            |
| 7  | you're clear that that's not access to the entire         |
| 8  | building.   |
| 9  | MS. DONNELLY: It's just going to be the 16                |
| 10 | parking spots   |
| 11 | MR. PEREIRA: For the bank.                                |
| 12 | MS. DONNELLY: that Citibank has.                          |
| 13 | MR. PEREIRA: Correct.                                     |
| 14 | MS. DONNELLY: And they're open, like, 9:00 to             |
| 15 | 4:00 usually. Citibank is open 9:00 to 4:00.              |
| 16 | But again I have to say, before I say good-bye            |
| 17 | to you gentlemen: It's still going to increase an         |
| 18 | already problematic narrow street. You say it's only 16.  |
| 19 | It's true. But it's going to increase or exacerbate the   |
| 20 | problem that we now have.                                 |
| 21 | MR. PEREIRA: Understood.                                  |
| 22 | MS. DONNELLY: So I don't know if anybody                  |
| 23 | cares, but they will when there's a big accident.         |
| 24 | Thank you.  |
| 25 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Anybody in the fourth row?                 |

Fifth row?

MS. GRODOTZKE: Good evening. Maureen

Grodotzke, 123 15th Street, Garden City. I, too, am from

Cherry Valley apartments.

My concern is that that light that we are talking about is very congested to go in either direction at most times of the day because of all the traffic coming from the railroad and the bus terminal there. If you make a left or a right at any given point, you are stopped at another light. And so there's always a backup of traffic as you are moving in and out of that light. If you're coming out of that parking lot of 250, and you want to make a left onto Old Country Road, I can almost guaranty that you're going to pull out and stop dead. You're not going to be making that left. You're going to stop dead because the traffic stopped at either the light on the block by Murphy's or at Franklin Avenue/Mineola Boulevard is backed up to that point.

Same thing happens when you come from where the -- it's the cancer center or that other center, when you make the left going, again, going east on Old Country Road, you turn. You're stopped dead. There's always -- traffic can not go west anymore on there because a bus or any other traffic that's coming out that way gets stuck. So if you're going west, if you're going to make that

west, you're stopped dead until lights change and everything else.

So we're not just talking about changing one light here. We're talking about changing all the lights in that area to synchronize them. How? I'm not sure.

The other thing is is that we're talking about a second, or the other retail place which might have parking in the other area? OK. Again, they're going to be coming out of those other sides, not out that center 250 point. And that center 250 point, I know they're saying it's directly across from West Street. It kind of isn't. So are they going to put an extra streetlight there? You know, are they going to change that light? That's one of the questions that I have.

And also, as of now -- I live in that first building right there -- almost weekly there is a car accident there because of the way the traffic flows and doesn't flow. And if you're going west on Old Country Road, that's where most of the accidents happen, is because they're not paying attention to the one, two, three lights that come up right away. They only see that last light which is green, and the other lights are red and they blow through it.

So my concern is the traffic right there in that spot, because it is going to even congest that area

more at almost any time of day. Not just rush hour. Not just morning or afternoon. Lunchtime. 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon. Any time of day.

Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Anybody else in the fifth row? Sixth row?

MS. POWER: Good evening. My name is Joan

Power, and I am also a resident of 121 15th Street.

I have a question. Is anybody here from Citibank?

MAYOR STRAUSS: No.

MS. POWER: No? OK.

I am a big fan of Citibank. I've been banking with them forever. I mean, like 40, 50 years. I am also somebody who works for Island Harvest. I'm a volunteer. I've been a volunteer for almost three years now.

I am in the Citibank building on Second Street.

OK? I'm glad Citibank is moving across the street from

me. I don't think that 16 -- no matter what this

gentleman says -- that 16 parking spots are enough. If

any of you bank in Mineola Citibank, we have to have

special permits, as an Island Harvest person, to park

there. There's not enough parking spots as it is for the

staff -- who are wonderful people and I'm glad that so

many of them are moving over to the new building,



hopefully -- and the customers. So the people are being towed out of that parking lot.

The other thing is: Do you know that the other Citibank building on Franklin Avenue, Citibank office, is being closed as of the end of this month? So therefore there aren't two locally. The nearest Citibank office is going to be the one on Jericho Turnpike and New Hyde Park Road.

Now I realize that doesn't really affect you, but I think a lot more people will be coming to that office, to the new office, the 250 office. I think there will be a lot more cars there than you think there are going to be. And the thing there is: There's no on-street parking, I mean, virtually. So people are going to be trying to jockey in and out of there. I don't think there's going to be any parking for the Citibank staff. There just can't be. There must be at least 12 of them right now. Twelve people, 16 spots. Figure that out. Doesn't work. I want them to be there, but I think that it's going to be a lot more troublesome than Mr. Walsh is telling you it's going to be.

The traffic there is horrendous as it is. And when we have 311 cars coming out onto Old Country it's going to be a bad situation. That's all. But I'm not against the bank being there. I'm looking forward to the



bank being there. But no way is that 16 spots going to work for the public or for the employees. That's why I wanted to know if somebody was here from the bank.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Ms. Power.

Anybody else in the sixth row?

Yes, ma'am?

MS. MASSIE: Good evening Mayor, gentlemen.

My name is Margaret Massie. I live at 121 A1

15th Street, but I'm on the Old Country Road area. So

all the noise and all this craziness, we hear it. OK.

And talking about Fourth Street, I am still -- I was

struck by a vehicle while I was walking to the train

station. So that is craziness there too. People going

on Third Street and making a left to go on Fourth Street.

The woman passed through the stop sign. She ran me over.

I'm a mess, and I'm still standing. I'm trying.

I lived at Cherry Valley for over 25 years, and I really like my community. And now it seems like, with all this construction going on, all this noise, I have COPD, I can't breathe. Asbestos, it's still flying around. I handle asbestos cases. I work for a law firm. I know coverage. I just don't get it.

Then they take down the Garden City sign, "No Parking After Two O'clock". You had to go down to Garden City police and tell them who was staying overnight, or



| 1  | whatever, and they got a sticker so it's written down.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Now they put up a sign, "Nassau County". Where is Garden |
| 3  | City? West Street is Garden City. We I don't know        |
| 4  | what happened. That sign should be taken down. Then      |
| 5  | Suozzi, in his great                                     |
| 6  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Ma'am, excuse me.                         |
| 7  | MS. MASSIE: What?  |
| 8  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Do you have any questions about           |
| 9  | the parking issue with this building?                    |
| 10 | MS. MASSIE: No. We don't want it commercial.             |
| 11 | That's what we really don't want it to be, commercial.   |
| 12 | Is this already decided?                                 |
| 13 | MAYOR STRAUSS: No. We're talking about the               |
| 14 | parking there, the amount of parking there.              |
| 15 | MS. POWER: I know, and                                   |
| 16 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Not on West Street. West                  |
| 17 | Street is not ours. Garden City is not ours.             |
| 18 | MS. POWER: But that building, is that                    |
| 19 | commercial/residential now?                              |
| 20 | MAYOR STRAUSS: It's going to be residential              |
| 21 | with a bank going in on the one corner.                  |
| 22 | MS. MASSIE: So it's a commercial.                        |
| 23 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Pardon me?                                |
| 24 | Yes.   |
| 25 | MS. POWER: But this was approved by whom?                |

|        | 1  |
|--------|----|
|        | 2  |
|        | 3  |
|        | 4  |
|        | 5  |
|        | 6  |
|        | 7  |
|        | 8  |
|        | 9  |
|        | 10 |
|        | 11 |
|        | 12 |
|        | 13 |
| es gov | 14 |
|        | 15 |
|        | 16 |
|        | 17 |
|        | 18 |
|        | 19 |
|        | 20 |
|        | 21 |
|        | 22 |
|        | 23 |

25

MAYOR STRAUSS: It's allowed. We approved it. It's allowed.

MS. MASSIE: OK. How come none of the shareholders -- we don't have an attorney representing us, telling us. We were never notified that this building was going up until it was a notice that the building was going up.

MR. CUSATO: That's not true.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Ma'am, that's not true. The Village --

MS. MASSIE: We were never told.

MAYOR STRAUSS: I'm not going to argue with you. Thank you.

Do you have any questions about the parking?

MS. MASSIE: Of course. It's congested. If
there was a police lineup I could tell you what the
person looked like and the color of their car while
they're sitting there. That's how bad the parking is.
So if I would go and stand like this, I would be in
Mineola on one side, in Garden City on the other side.
OK? It affects us, the parking. Yeah. It does.

And West Street is a two by -- the people making a right turn while you're trying to cross the street, and they're supposed to stop at the red light, and stop. But they don't. So now you're going to have

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |

25

West Street coming through. You're taking away our property. You're taking away where we love and we live. And I have a problem with the parking all over Old Country Road, not only West Street. It affects every I can't even get out of my driveway.

> MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

MS. MASSIE: And we never had an attorney represent the shareholders of Garden City.

> MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Anybody else in the sixth row? Seventh row? Mr. Walsh?

MR. K. WALSH: Thank you.

Not that you need the answer to this, but it's important for me, if you indulge me, to put it on the record.

When the forerunner to this application first presented the plans to this Village to build a building here, I went to the Board and spoke with the Board and told them that I represented -- that I would like to represent this developer in this process --

MS. MASSIE: The shareholders were never represented.

MR. K. WALSH: -- That I would like to represent the developer in this process. That there is nothing about my retainer agreement with them, nor the

fact that I know anything about Cherry Valley, that would assist this applicant in this application. It was a zoning case. I talked about it at great length with the Board. It was brought up at a number of meetings. I advised them that they could retain counsel of their own choosing to represent them here. They were at the prior hearings here opposing the application for the then residential development.

So I just -- it's important to me that that go on the record that that was the case. I think I handled it as well as I can handle these types of things.

As to the specifics, well-meaning comment from the neighbors at Cherry Valley. Just to point out, in the report -- many people are concerned about proceeding directly through West Street. According to the traffic report five percent of the vehicles that leave there will probably proceed straight, of the very few people that will be going in there to park.

I realize the comments about the current
Citibank situation. That is a bigger operation, larger
building. It has a drive-through. It is located in a
different area of the town where there might be more
competing parking, people trying to park there, and it
doesn't reflect what's going to happen here.

What's a better indicator of what happens here

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is the Chase parking lot, because it's going to be a similar branch, similar size and similar lack of people going in and out of that parking lot.

And many of the other comments really related to West Street and those things.

As to coming out of our Citibank parking lot for the very, very few vehicles that come out of it: They, yes, are either going to go right, or left, or go straight. And if they're like me, and if there happens to be heavy congestion going left, they'll make a right-hand turn and they'll seek a better path to where they're ultimately going, or they will wait for the next light that's going to happen. The same way that people do when they go on West Street and make a right-hand turn on Old Country Road. If that next light, and there's a backup, they will wait right there for the light to This area of Old Country Road is actually less clear. congested than many areas of Old Country Road. Not that that's good. It's just that this is a permitted -- this use happens to be a permitted use in this district. something that we -- it's the combination with the housing project that was approved makes it for this Board. But as a bank and as a use, the commercial is a permitted use there.

So while they were well-meaning comments, I



know, but most of them were generally concerned with conditions over there in general, as opposed to the affect of this building.

If there are any other questions, I'll answer them, or anything I didn't get to, I apologize.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments from the Board?

MR. DURHAM: Just, if Citibank does end up with 12 employees at the new location, can Mr. Lalezarian make accommodations for the employee parking at a different area, whether it be the 330 building or something like that, so that the 16 spots are open for the customers.

MR. K. WALSH: Yes. Well, go ahead.

MR. LALEZARIAN: So I do know that the bank does not intend on having 12 employees at this location. But, just as I mentioned earlier, we are not double counting meeting the parking requirements of this Board. If the bank did have additional parking, it would be fairly easy to allow them to park in some of the residential spaces -- their employees -- during the day that would be vacant, as most residential spaces are vacant when a banking operation is open. All of our accounts till now do not include one parking in the other use. But if the employee parking really were to be more significant, that would be a relatively easy exchange to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do during the day when the residential spots are primarily or substantially empty. We're talking an extra handful of employees. I think that would be a very easy fix, because in most residential buildings during the day the parking spaces are substantially not in use.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments?

MR. D. WALSH: Just one comment because I really feel that, you know, we have to be factual when we speak here. And I was here as an observer for all the hearings having to do with this building. And I can tell you that the president of the Cherry Valley Association was here and spoke twice at two of the hearings. A former trustee, and then he later became Mayor of Garden City -- I believe his name is Mr. Matthers, or something like that -- but he was here and spoke at the hearing having to do with this building being built. And there were several of the residents, about eight of them, that spoke at all the hearings. So Cherry Valley was represented, both by the president of the association over there, by a trustee from the Village of Garden City spoke specifically about Cherry Valley, and several residents. Maybe I even see some people here that were there also.

(People speaking out from the audience.)

MAYOR STRAUSS: Folks, folks, we're not going

| 1  | to debate this.   |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. D. WALSH: So I just wanted to make that             |
| 3  | comment that there were people here speaking about that |
| 4  | that night.   |
| 5  | MR. K. WALSH: Thank you.                                |
| 6  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments from the              |
| 7  | Board?  |
| 8  | MR. PEREIRA: No.  |
| 9  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Gibbons, anything?                   |
| 10 | MR. GIBBONS: No, Mayor.                                 |
| 11 | MAYOR STRAUSS: All right. I'll entertain a              |
| 12 | motion to close the hearing, reserve decision.          |
| 13 | MR. PEREIRA: Motion.                                    |
| 14 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Pereira.               |
| 15 | MR. CUSATO: Second.                                     |
| 16 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Seconded by Trustee Cusato.              |
| 17 | All in favor?   |
| 18 | MR. DURHAM: Aye.  |
| 19 | MR. PEREIRA: Aye.                                       |
| 20 | MR. CUSATO: Aye.  |
| 21 | MR. D. WALSH: Aye.                                      |
| 22 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Aye.                                     |
| 23 | Opposed?  |
| 24 | Carried.  |
| 25 | * * *   |

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Scalero?

MR. SCALERO: Yes.

"Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated Village of Mineola.

"Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, December 9, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. at the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive public comment upon the following:

"A proposed Local Law amending Section 550-57 of the Municipal Code of the Incorporated Village of Mineola, entitled 'Powers and Duties of Zoning Board of Appeals', Subsection I, entitled, 'Rules for Filing, Procedural Requirements', to provide for a radius mailing by first class U.S. Mail for an application before the Zoning Board of Appeals.

"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate on the basis of handicapped status in administration or access to or employment in its projects and activities.

Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.

"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of



Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees.

"At the aforesaid time and place all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

"By Order of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero, Village Clerk.

"Dated November 5, 2015."

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

MR. GIBBONS: Mayor, before the Village Clerk sits down, could I ask that the next proposed Local Law, which deals with special use permits, be incorporated into this hearing, since they are related local laws.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.

MR. GIBBONS: Thank you, Mr. Scalero.

MR. SCALERO: Thank you.

"Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated Village of Mineola.

"Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, December 9, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. at the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive public comment upon the following:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

"A proposed Local Law amending Section 550-55 of the Municipal Code of the Incorporated Village of Mineola, entitled 'Special Use Permits', Subsection (B), entitled 'Procedural Requirements', to provide for a radius mailing by first class U.S. Mail for an application before the Board of Trustees.

"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate on the basis of handicapped status in administration or access to or employment in its projects and activities. Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.

"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees.

"At the aforesaid time and place all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

"By Order of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero, Village Clerk.

"Dated November 5, 2015."

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Mr. Scalero.

Ladies and gentlemen, the idea behind these proposed law changes is that we think it's unnecessary to have registered mail go out in a 200-foot radius at all

for anybody making an application to the Zoning Board of Appeals, or for this Board, for a Special Use Permit.

Several years ago, when I was running for election for Mayor, a couple of residents came up to me and asked me to see if we could change it, and we are. It doesn't make sense to spend that kind of money, in some cases several hundred dollars, to send out mailings.

So what we're looking to do is just eliminate two words, registered mail, and input the words first class U.S. Mail. You'll have to file an affidavit with the Building Department to ensure that the mailings have gone out. But we're just looking to ease the financial burden on anybody, our residents and our businesses, small business owners, coming before the various boards within the Village to make applications.

So that's the idea behind these two changes. They're in essence the same. One is for residential. The other one is for special use permits. Excuse me. One is for the Zoning Board of Appeals and one is for special use permits.

So there we have it. We think it's a great idea. I think it's a great idea, with the reduction and the savings financially to the residents and those looking to open up businesses in the Village.

Any comments from the Board?

| 1  | MR. PEREIRA: No, Mayor. I think you said it               |
|----|---|
| 2  | right. It's a no-brainer. I've seen some of these         |
| 3  | things. Some of us have gone through this where you have  |
| 4  | to fill out dozens and dozens of slips for registered     |
| 5  | mail. So not only is it costly, it's also time            |
| 6  | consuming. And we're still having them do the radius map  |
| 7  | and they're still doing mailings, except we're taking out |
| 8  | the need to have to do the certified mail. And I think    |
| 9  | that we're looking to streamline things and to simplify   |
| 10 | things, rather than complicate things, for our residents  |
| 11 | and for those who want to open up businesses here.        |
| 12 | Oftentimes for minor things, you know, the                |
| 13 | mailings could end up costing them as much as the actual  |
| 14 | permit application.                                       |
| 15 | MAYOR STRAUSS: All right. Any other comments              |
| 16 | from the Board?   |
| 17 | MR. CUSATO: I agree, Mayor. Everything that's             |
| 18 | been said, I absolutely agree 100 percent.                |
| 19 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.                                 |
| 20 | I'll entertain a motion to approve both.                  |
| 21 | MR. D. WALSH: Motion.                                     |
| 22 | MR. PEREIRA: Second.                                      |
| 23 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Walsh.                   |
| 24 | Second by Mr. Pereira.                                    |
| 25 | Mr. Scalero, please poll the Board.                       |

| 1  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Durham?                              |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. DURHAM: Yes.  |
| 3  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Pereira?                             |
| 4  | MR. PEREIRA: Yes.   |
| 5  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Cusato?                              |
| 6  | TRUSTEE CUSATO: Yes.                                      |
| 7  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Walsh?                               |
| 8  | MR. D. WALSH: Yes.  |
| 9  | MR. SCALERO: Mayor Strauss?                               |
| 10 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.                                       |
| 11 | (The following statement was made by Mayor                |
| 12 | Strauss at page 61, lines 8 through 11, and inserted here |
| 13 | since it applies to the afore-mentioned amendments.)      |
| 14 | MAYOR STRAUSS: I made a little mistake here.              |
| 15 | I didn't open up to public comment for the radius         |
| 16 | mailing.  |
| 17 | Anybody wishing to make a comment on the radius           |
| 18 | mailing?  |
| 19 | We'll include that in the record. Seems pretty            |
| 20 | simple.   |
| 21 | Thank you.  |
| 22 | * * *   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Scalero, next hearing?
MR. SCALERO: Yes, sir.

"Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated Village of Mineola.

"Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, December 9, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. at the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive public comment upon the following:

"A proposed Local Law amending Section 550-20 of the Municipal Code of the Incorporated Village of Mineola, entitled 'Required Parking', Subsection (C), in order to establish multiple dwelling parking requirements.

"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate on the basis of handicapped status in administration or access to or employment in its projects and activities.

Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.

"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees.

"At the aforesaid time and place all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

"By Order of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero, Village Clerk.

"Dated November 5, 2015."

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

I made a little mistake here. I didn't open up to public comment for the radius mailing.

Anybody wishing to make a comment on the radius mailing?

We'll include that in the record. Seems pretty simple.

Thank you.

OK. So this change is: What we're looking to do is bring the apartment complexes, and the apartments that are being built in the Village, in line with what the condos and the condominiums and co-ops have right now that's in our law, that they require one spot for the condominiums. It is not required in our law for one spot in the apartment houses. Although, as mentioned earlier this evening, some of the apartment complexes that are being built in the Village are doing that as a business model and some are not. We're looking to make that part of the Village Law. We think it's a great idea. I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's a great idea. And we'll see what everybody else might think of it.

Any comments from the Board?

MR. CUSATO: I agree, Mayor.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Pereira?

MR. PEREIRA: As I said earlier in the previous hearing, the previous applicant is in fact including it. And other applicants, as I've mentioned previously, have said that that doesn't work, that's not the business model. And frankly, I think it does work and it's not our problem. It's their problem to make it work. think it makes sense that when you pay rent and you rent an apartment that that comes with a parking spot. And when you start to put additional charges for the location of the spot and premium spots you may push people away who then may park on our streets. And so I'm glad that we're doing this and this doesn't leave anything to guesswork for the applicants, whether it's a 311 unit apartment building or a ten unit apartment building. I think it just makes the playing field even for everyone and it doesn't leave anything up to debate on our part.

That's my feeling, and so I support it.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Mr. Cusato?

MR. CUSATO: I agree again with Mr. Pereira.



He's doing the legwork here. Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Durham?

MR. DURHAM: I agree that it should be required in the downtown apartments, and the apartments, that they require that they give them a spot so that we're not having people taking and getting \$55 parking spots and parking in the Village lots when they can have a spot included in their rent in the apartment building.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Mr. Walsh, anything?

MR. D. WALSH: I'm prepared to vote Mayor.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Anybody in the audience that would like to make a comment on this?

OK. I'll entertain -- yes, sir?

MR. SMITH: Jesse Smith, 215 Horton Highway.

The only question I have is: How does this change what's currently in place now?

MAYOR STRAUSS: This is going to take effect -as the way the law reads: In each instance of a
residential cooperative or condominium. And we're going
to add these words: Or of a multiple dwelling for which
a certificate of occupancy has been issued after January
1, 2016.

So that's -- anybody that gets a certificate of



1 occupancy after January 1, 2016 will need to apply --2 will make this applicable to that building. MR. SMITH: The meeting that we had here, the 3 uproar that was going on and everybody on my side of the 4 5 Village was up in arms about it. So I was wondering. So 6 whatever happened in the past has happened, and whatever, 7 is a done deal. 8 MAYOR STRAUSS: For example, the Modera, we ran 9 the parking -- commuter parking -- pass database and 10 there is only one person out of all of those apartments 11 that has a commuter parking permit. So --12 MR. PEREIRA: And that's a person who used to 13 live in the Village. MAYOR STRAUSS: I believe that's a person who 14 15 used to live in the Village. 16 So you know, will that happen in the future? 17 It's possible. But we're going to approve tonight, hopefully, a company to do a parking survey for us and 18 19 study to combat the issues we have there. 20 MR. SMITH: It's the meeting that we had when you had residents here. Maybe people had the wrong 21 impression. But there's an awful lot of people saying 22 that that was happening far more often than what you guys 23 just alluded to. 24

MAYOR STRAUSS: No.

25

The numbers are

| 1  | interesting. I don't want to get off base here, but      |
|----|--|
| 2  | there are several of the other apartment complexes that  |
| 3  | are in the Village that have been here for 50, 60 years, |
| 4  | or more, that are taking advantage of the great deal of  |
| 5  | parking in the commuter spots for residents.             |
| 6  | MR. SMITH: Or parking on residential streets.            |
| 7  | So, same thing.  |
| 8  | When will the parking study hearing be going             |
| 9  | on?  |
| 10 | MAYOR STRAUSS: We're going to approve,                   |
| 11 | hopefully it's going to be on the agenda for the work    |
| 12 | session tonight to approve them to start the study. And  |
| 13 | we're probably going to look for the early to end of the |
| 14 | middle of next year. So May, June of next year.          |
| 15 | MR. SMITH: Thanks.                                       |
| 16 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.                                |
| 17 | Anybody else wishing to comment?                         |
| 18 | I'll entertain a motion to approve.                      |
| 19 | MR. CUSATO: Motion.                                      |
| 20 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Cusato.                 |
| 21 | Second   |
| 22 | MR. PEREIRA: Second.                                     |
| 23 | MAYOR STRAUSS: by Trustee Pereira.                       |
| 24 | Mr. Scalero, poll the Board.                             |
| 25 | MR. SCALERO: Yes, sir.                                   |

| 1  | Trustee Durham?               |
|----|-------------------------------|
| 2  | MR. DURHAM: Yes.              |
| 3  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Pereira? |
| 4  | MR. PEREIRA: Yes.             |
| 5  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Cusato?  |
| 6  | TRUSTEE CUSATO: Yes.          |
| 7  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Walsh?   |
| 8  | MR. D. WALSH: Yes.            |
| 9  | MR. SCALERO: Mayor Strauss?   |
| 10 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.           |
| 11 | * * *                         |
| 12 |                               |
| 13 |                               |
| 14 |                               |
| 15 |                               |
| 16 |                               |
| 17 |                               |
| 18 |                               |
| 19 |                               |
| 20 |                               |
| 21 |                               |
| 22 |                               |
| 23 |                               |
| 24 |                               |

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Scalero, next?

MR. GIBBONS: Mayor, so I can catch the Village Clerk before he starts, if we can do the next two as a group.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Understood.

MR. GIBBONS: The definition and the use.

MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. Thank you.

MR. SCALERO: "Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated Village of Mineola.

"Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, December 9, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. at the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive public comment upon the following:

"A proposed Local Law amending Section 550-3 of the Municipal Code of the Incorporated Village of Mineola, entitled 'Word Usage; definitions', to define 'Hookah bar'.

"And proposed Local Law amending Section 550-16 of the Municipal Code of the Incorporated Village of Mineola, entitled 'M Districts, (light manufacturing and industrial)', to add Hookah bars as a permitted use.

"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate on the basis of handicapped status in administration or access to or employment in its projects and activities.

Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.

"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees.

"At the aforesaid time and place all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

"By Order of the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero, Village Clerk.

"Dated November 5, 2015."

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

As Village Attorney, Mr. Gibbons, pointed out, we're looking to amend two laws, one being add the definition of a Hookah Bar and the other one is going to be adding it as a permitted use in the M District, which is light manufacturing/industrial sections of the Village.

We don't have any in the Village right now.

And we're just looking to kind of get our arms around it before anything happens. We're trying to stay ahead of





the curve on this. So that's all this is trying to do is just clean up a little bit of what we have going on, or what we might have going on in the Village. Other communities have had Hookah bars come into their Village and they're scrambling to kind of work with them. We're trying to get ahead of this one a little bit. That's all.

Any comments from -- Mr. Pereira?

MR. PEREIRA: No. I think that, obviously,
Hookah bars are legal in New York State. So we can't
deny their use. But we certainly can, as we do with
other uses, restrict them to certain zones. And so this
is what we're doing here.

We're not saying that if you want to open up a Hookah Bar business you can come to the Village but, like other uses, you'll be limited to a certain district. So it makes sense. It makes sense for us. It makes sense for them if they were to apply. But I think it's a good idea. This is -- you know, the world is always evolving and things are changing. And 25 years ago these didn't exist. Today they do. So we want to make sure that we address them before we are forced to address them retroactively.

So again, I support this as well.

MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. Mr. Cusato?





| 1  | MR. CUSATO: I'm ready to vote yes.            |
|----|---|
| 2  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Durham?                    |
| 3  | MR. DURHAM: I'm ready to vote.                |
| 4  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Walsh?                     |
| 5  | MR. D. WALSH: No comment.                     |
| 6  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.                     |
| 7  | Mr. Gibbons, anything on this?                |
| 8  | MR. GIBBONS: No, Mayor.                       |
| 9  | MAYOR STRAUSS: All right. I'll entertain a    |
| 10 | motion to approve.                            |
| 11 | MR. CUSATO: Motion.                           |
| 12 | MAYOR STRAUSS: I'm sorry.                     |
| 13 | Anybody from the public wishing to make       |
| 14 | comment?                                      |
| 15 | Thank you.                                    |
| 16 | MR. CUSATO: Motion.                           |
| 17 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Pereira made excuse me.    |
| 18 | Mr. Cusato made a motion. Do I have a second? |
| 19 | MR. DURHAM: Second.                           |
| 20 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Second by Trustee Durham.      |
| 21 | Mr. Scalero, poll the Board.                  |
| 22 | MR. SCALERO: Yes, sir.                        |
| 23 | Trustee Durham?                               |
| 24 | MR. DURHAM: Yes.                              |
| 25 | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Pereira?                 |

| 1. |  |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |

MR. PEREIRA: Yes.

MR. SCALERO: Trustee Cusato?

TRUSTEE CUSATO: Yes.

MR. SCALERO: Trustee Walsh?

MR. D. WALSH: Yes.

MR. SCALERO: Mayor Strauss?

MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.

\* \* \*

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Scalero, next one.

MR. SCALERO: Yes.

"Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated Village of Mineola.

"Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, December 9, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. at the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive public comment upon the following:

"Resolution establishing a capital reserve fund to finance water production, distribution and quality control infrastructure improvements. This resolution is subject to a permissive referendum.

"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate on the basis of handicapped status in administration or access to or employment in its projects and activities.

Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.

"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees.

"At the aforesaid time and place all interested

"By Order of the Board of Trustees of the

Ladies and gentlemen, what we're looking to do

1

persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

2 3

Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero,

Village Clerk.

"Dated November 5, 2015."

6

5

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

7

here is just establish a water fund, capital fund for the 8

9

Water Department as we did several months ago, if you

10

remember, we established one for the parks and also one

11

for fire equipment. We're looking to establish one for

12

the water, our water distribution program and facilities.

13

They're aging and I think it's prudent for us to start earmarking money for some issues that we have and some

14 15

issues that we might have in the future as our equipment

16

gets older and our infrastructure for the water

17

distribution program gets older. So that's all we're

18

looking to do, is to fund it, or create the fund and then

19

look to fund it so that we have those funds available.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Creating this fund and funding it with, hopefully, some DIB money from these projects will help decrease the amount that the rate payers will have to lay out when some of the projects for the increase or the upkeep and maintenance of the water infrastructure start to happen.

| 1  | So we're just trying, again, trying to get             |
|----|--|
| 2  | ahead of some of this and trying to ease the burden on |
| 3  | the rate payers.                                       |
| 4  | Mr. Pereira, anything?                                 |
| 5  | MR. PEREIRA: No.                                       |
| 6  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Cusato?                             |
| 7  | MR. CUSATO: No.  |
| 8  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Durham?                             |
| 9  | MR. DURHAM: Nothing.                                   |
| 10 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Walsh?                              |
| 11 | MR. D. WALSH: No comment.                              |
| 12 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Anybody from the public wishing         |
| 13 | to make comment?                                       |
| 14 | I'll entertain a motion to approve.                    |
| 15 | MR. D. WALSH: Motion.                                  |
| 16 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Walsh.                |
| 17 | Do I have a second?                                    |
| 18 | MR. PEREIRA: Second.                                   |
| 19 | MAYOR STRAUSS: By Trustee Pereira.                     |
| 20 | Mr. Scalero, poll the Board.                           |
| 21 | MR. SCALERO: Yes, sir.                                 |
| 22 | Trustee Durham?  |
| 23 | MR. DURHAM: Yes.                                       |
| 24 | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Pereira?                          |
| 25 | MR. PEREIRA: Yes.                                      |

| 1  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Cusato?   |
|----|--|
| 2  | TRUSTEE CUSATO: Yes.   |
| 3  | MR. SCALERO: Trustee Walsh?  |
| 4  | MR. D. WALSH: Yes.   |
| 5  | MR. SCALERO: Mayor Strauss?  |
| 6  | MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.  |
| 7  | Mr. Scalero, that's it, right?   |
| 8  | MR. SCALERO: Yes.  |
| 9  | MAYOR STRAUSS: I'll entertain a motion to  |
| 10 | close the hearings.  |
| 11 | MR. PEREIRA: Motion.   |
| 12 | MR. D. WALSH: Second.  |
| 13 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Pereira.  |
| 14 | Seconded by Trustee Walsh.   |
| 15 | All in favor?  |
| 16 | MR. DURHAM: Aye.   |
| 17 | MR. PEREIRA: Aye.  |
| 18 | MR. CUSATO: Aye.   |
| 19 | MR. WALSH: Aye.  |
| 20 | MAYOR STRAUSS: Aye.  |
| 21 | Any opposed?   |
| 22 | Carried.   |
| 23 | st $st$ $st$ This is to certify that the within and foregoing is a   |
| 24 | true and accurate transcript of the stenographic notes as recorded by the undersigned Official Court Reporter. |
| 25 | PATRICIA A. TAUBER, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  |

| 1  |  |      |
|----|--|------|
| 2  | <u>INDEX</u>   |      |
| 3  | SUBJECT  | PAGE |
| 4  | Minoola Droportica IIC                               | 2    |
| 5  | Mineola Properties, LLC<br>re 250 Old Country Road   | ۷    |
| 6  | Amendment of Local Laws<br>550-57 (I) and 550-55 (B) | 54   |
| 7  | Amendment of Local Law 550-20 (C)                    | 60   |
| 8  |  |      |
| 9  | Amendments of Local Laws<br>550-3 and 550-16         | 67   |
| 10 | Establish Capital Reserve Fund                       | 72   |
| 11 | to finance water production, etc.                    |      |
| 12 | * * *  |      |
| 13 |  |      |
| 14 |  |      |
| 15 |  |      |
| 16 |  |      |
| 17 |  |      |
| 18 |  |      |
| 19 |  |      |
| 20 |  |      |
| 21 |  |      |
| 22 |  |      |
| 23 |  |      |
| 24 |  |      |
| 25 |  |      |