1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF MINEOLA	
2		
3	HEARING OF THE	
4	BOARD OF TRUSTEES	
5		
6		
7	January 13, 2016	
8	6:30 o'clock p.m.	
9	Before: SCOTT P. STRAUSS,	
10	N. C	
11	GEORGE R. DURHAM,	
12	PAUL A. PEREIRA,	
13	PAUL A. PEREIRA, PAUL S. CUSATO, DENNIS J. WALSH,	
14	GEORGE R. DURHAM, PAUL A. PEREIRA, PAUL S. CUSATO, DENNIS J. WALSH, Trustees.8	
15		
16	* * *	
17	JOHN M. GIBBONS, JR., ESQ.	
18	Village Attorney	
19	* * *	
20	JOSEPH R. SCALERO	
21	Village Clerk	
22	* * *	
23	Sharon Tal	
24	Freelance Court Reporter	
25		

MR. SCALERO: Ladies and gentlemen, please silence all pagers and cellphones. In the event of an emergency there are exits located in the rear of the room.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This evening we continue the hearing on the application of the Mineola Metro LLC for a special permit for the development of properties on Searing Avenue. The hearing is continued from November of 2015.

Is there anything new that the applicant would like to provide?

MR. COSCHIGNANO: Just very briefly, Mayor, thank you, thank you for the opportunity to continue our case.

Chris Coschignano, partner of Sahn Ward Coschignano, PLLC, 333 Earl Ovington Blvd, Suite 601, Uniondale, New York 11553.

If I can, I'd just like to ask Monsignor Batule to please join me one second, because I know based on what this Board was able to accomplish at the last meeting and the good work that the Village attorney has done with us -- I should say Village attorneys have done with us -- we've worked very diligently since the last hearing and its gone very well. We even had a very

Successful occasion at the Nassau County Planning
Commission where they fully understood the application
and no small great work of Mr. Gibbons who was there with
me at the same time. We even received several
compliments from Planning Commission Board members who
liked the transit-oriented development going on in the
Village and liked the application.

So I have a few things to cover, but I just wanted to afford the Monsignor an opportunity to discuss his -- I don't want to steal your words Monsignor, I never would -- but your appreciation of what's taken place here. Maybe you can say it better.

MSGR. BATULE: Good evening, Mayor and Members of the Village Board, we're appreciative of the meeting that was held on November 4th. We're appreciative of the opportunity we've had to state our case. And I just want to ask your continued good deliberation this evening and to ask for a swift resolution to this matter.

The fact is that we've been in contract for seventeen months at this point, so we're looking to bring this to a conclusion as swiftly as possible. We also have increased costs come winter for heating of our building, snow removal, et cetera. All of those considerations are in play, so again we're asking for your speedy resolution of this matter and we thank you

1 for your most earnest consideration tonight. Thank you. MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, sir. 3 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Just one or two brief 4 housekeeping issues: The applicant is respectfully 5 requesting to change the number of units that we're going 6 to construct from 197 to 192. In laying out the 7 development it became evident that within the mix of 8 units we propose 96 units on the north and 96 units on the south now in our updated number, because that will 9 lay out better and work better for the project. 10 11 MR. WALSH: Can you repeat on which side? 12 MR. COSHIGNANO: 96 on the south and 96 on the 13 north. It will be an even number of units on both sides. 14 It was 97. We found we were only able to successfully 15 construct 192, and therefore we would like to decrease the number of units by five. 16 17 MAYOR STRAUSS: Are those five, one-bedrooms? 18 MR. STOVER: It's a very --19 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yeah, do you want to 20 quickly... 21 We think we're going to have, essentially, the same mix of units that we had before. I don't exactly 22 23 know. 24 Jamie, do you want to come up? 25 MR. STOVER: Jamie Stover, Mill Creek

Residential. The total number of -- so it's 192 apartments. 15 studio/junior one-bedrooms, actually, a total of 19. 85 one-bedrooms. And a total of 88 two-bedrooms.

2.4

MR. WALSH: Mr. Stover, did you say 15 studios?

MR. STOVER: 19 studios. When I say "studios"

they're really junior one-bedrooms. We call them

studio/junior one-bedrooms with a sleeping alcove. If

you want you can consider those one-bedrooms. They're

smaller than one-bedrooms.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: So we have that reduction in units. And a second item, based on comments that were made at the meeting the last time, we've heard the Board's desires. We know you've spent a long time talking about parking spaces per unit and what your preferences are and what you would like to see in the Village. And this applicant would like to say this evening that each of our units are going to include one parking space with each of our units, which we did not say at the last hearing. But we fully intend on complying with one space per unit being included in the rental. We'd like to get that on the record as well this evening.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: The only other item -- we

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have two other items. One, I'm going to ask Mr. Lenihan to cover -- our traffic expert. He's going to talk about some accounts that were requested of us based on the Modera properties, so I will ask Mr. Lenihan to come up, and then I just have to conclude with one other item.

MR. LENIHAN: Thank you, Chris. Good evening, Mayor Strauss, Members of the Board. Patrick Lenihan, VHB Engineering, Hauppauge, New York.

As part of the environmental documents that we have submitted to the Village for the application is a traffic assessment, of course. As part of these traffic assessments -- I'm sure the Board is familiar with these IT trip generation manuals. Engineers such as myself get up here and speak about it. I myself have done it a number of times before this Board. But when we talk about transit-oriented development, it's customary to make an adjustment to the numbers that are in the trip generation manual to account for the operating, specific operating characteristics as they relate to trips that the TODs have. Usually we site studies, published data, that indicate reductions in trip making at TODs of up to 50 percent. And then in this instance, in this study as I've had with others in the Village, we took a 25 percent reduction to prevent a conservative high side evaluation.

But I understand there are some questions by

. some as to the actual real affect of a TOD. All of those reductions in trips, real, and are they real to the extent that we stand before you and talk about them.

So we had the opportunity to get some traffic data from the Modera. I looked at several evening weekdays in evening peak periods on several days in November and did some calculations. And what I found from that data was a trip generation rate at the Modera, which is an operating TOD in the Village, of just under 60 percent of what ITE shows us prior to any adjustments.

MAYOR STRAUSS: What does that mean?

MR. LENIHAN: Good question. The trip generation manual has a trip generation for apartments. So we go in, we get those rates, we punch in 197 apartments and it tells us it's going to generate X amount of traffic during the a.m., p.m. and the Saturday peak periods, and we jump into an evaluation and looking at what the effects of that are. But when you have a situation where your development is located in the transit-oriented area, which this clearly is, there are studies that show that the trip generation of the apartment use in a normal setting is higher than what it is near a railroad station, near a transit hub, that sort of thing.

So it's customary to make an adjustment. And



in this case, rather than the 50 percent that TOD studies sites, we took 25. So we're using 75 percent of what an apartment building would be expected to generate if it were located somewhere else, away from the train station. Follow me?

MAYOR STRAUSS: Absolutely. I actually do.
MR. LENIHAN: I'm doing something right.

So we have some examples of TODs in the Village of Mineola that are open and operating. So those studies that we sited, some of the documentation that may have been done somewhere else, we have an opportunity to look right here in Mineola to see what does a TOD do right here. And that's what we did with the Modera.

And as I said, we looked at several p.m. peak hours in November so we know how much traffic was being drawn by the Modera. We knew how many units were occupied on those days and it was a simple calculation to determine the actual rate that that TOD in Mineola was drawing.

And when I compared that to ITE, it's just under 60 percent of ITE; in other words, it's 40 percent less than what an apartment would generate based on that ITE book somewhere else.

MAYOR STRAUSS: So you're saying, in essence, that the traffic generated from a transit-oriented

development building will be 40 percent less than it would if it was put somewhere else outside of a transit-oriented area?

MR. LENIHAN: Actually, in the Village of Mineola. It's specific. We had that opportunity.

MR. CUSATO: With all due respect, everybody in this Village knows what happens when the grade crossing goes down on Willis Avenue. And you never made any mention on what happens on Willis Avenue when that grade crossing goes down. And I know we're trying to talk to the state to get that relieved, but right now I have a concern with traffic on Searing Avenue when the -- I don't know how those people are going to get out of that apartment complex when the grade crossing goes down. I have a concern, with all due respect.

MR. LENIHAN: Right. I understand you're concern.

MR. CUSATO: And the Modera is on the south side and you're on the north side.

MR. LENIHAN: There is certainly an impact of traffic flow on lots of streets in Mineola when the grade crossing comes down.

Mr. CUSATO: Why did you never show that in your survey? It's sort of misleading. I'm not saying I'm opposed to this project, but your report is kind of

misleading to me. 2 MR. LENIHAN: I guess when we're talking about 3 impacts, are we going to impact -- you know, the level of 4 traffic that we generate, is it going to significantly 5 exacerbate that existing condition. In this environmental process, we're looking for impacts. 6 7 MR. CUSATO: That's not an impact, with the 8 grade crossing going down and the light turns and people 9 can't move. 10 MR. LENIHAN: The grade crossing is an existing 11 condition. 12 MAYOR STRAUSS: We're trying to eliminate it. MR. CUSATO: Yes, but right now -- so when you 13 14 took your traffic study, obviously, someone on the corner 15 noticed, hey, the traffic light is red, traffic is not 16 moving, but you never showed them your report. 17 MR. LENIHAN: Perhaps that was an oversight. 18 MR. CUSATO: That's part of our decision. 19 MR. LENIHAN: Is what we're proposing now going 20 to impact the condition? 21 MR. CUSATO: What's that? 22 MR. LENIHAN: Is what we're proposing now going 23 to impact that condition to any degree when you look at the volumes that we're talking about, I don't think so. 24 25 MR. CUSATO: If I'm a resident who buys an

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

22

23

24

25

apartment on Searing Avenue and I want to make a left turn going south on Willis Avenue, I can't move when the traffic goes down. It's just a concern. I'm not saying I'm opposed to this project, it's just a concern that I have.

MAYOR STRAUSS: With that being said, and I certainly appreciate Mr. Cusato's comments, folks, just so the public knows, we've sent a couple of letters; we've also been in contact with Rich Nicholello, our representative in the Nassau County Legislator in trying to get the Willis Avenue light detached from the grade crossing. It's just a headache.

MR. CUSATO: Yes.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Regardless of what's happening in the Village at 4:30 on to 6:30, 7:00, it's just a problem. We're aware of it, I've been stuck in that traffic, we all have. We're doing our best to get that mitigated. Hopefully, that will relieve some of the pressure.

> MR. WALSH: Can I just ask a question, Mayor? MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes, sir.

MR. WALSH: With the numbers that you gave with the percentage and everything else, can you give us a hard number with the cars that you counted?

MR. DURHAM: We asked for a physical count of

1 the cars leaving in the rush hour in the morning and returning in the rush hour in the evening for the Modera. We asked that at the last hearing. 3 Could you give us that physical number for the 5 a.m. numbers for cars leaving in the morning and cars 6 returning during the rush hour? 7 MR. LENIHAN: What I do have is the cars 8 entering in the evening, but I don't currently have the 9 cars exiting in the a.m. 10 The way the counts were derived, there's a 11 system at the Modera that's capable of counting vehicles 12 as they come in, but not as they leave. So the reason I 13 took the p.m. was, as you know, residential people leave 14 in the morning but enter in the p.m. 15 So when I compared the p.m., that gave me the 16 largest statistical sample with which to draw a 17 conclusion. Because the entering in the a.m. would be 18 very small. 19 MR. DURHAM: For exiting in the a.m., during 20 the p.m. rush hour coming onto the streets of Mineola. 21 MR. LENIHAN: Exiting in the a.m. I do not. 22 MR. PEREIRA: So what's the number entering in 23 the p.m.? 24 MR. LENIHAN: Entering in the p.m. peak we have 25 -- I'm getting old -- anywhere from 29 to 32 vehicles.

. 1	
1	MR. DURHAM: From what time period?
2	MR. PEREIRA: What's the peak?
3	MR. LENIHAN: Depends
4	MR. DURHAM: Is that per hour or is it
5	MR. LENIHAN: That's in a one-hour period.
6	5:00 to 6:00 p.m., 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. Very close in those
7	two hours. Now, as far as the a.m. is concerned, it's
8	the same, folks.
9	MR. DURHAM: So what you, basically, just said,
10	we expect so it's 15 cars returning between 5:00 and
11	7:00 p.m. So that means we can expect to see 58 cars
12	leaving in the morning rush hour?
13	MR. LENIHAN: Like I said, I found 29 and 33 in
14	one hour. There's two hours that are about the same. In
15	the morning we would likely find something very similar
16	leaving. There's nothing that would lead me to believe
17	that the morning would be
18	MR. WALSH: Thank you for clearing up my
19	question.
20	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. Anything else, sir?
21	MR. PEREIRA: I have a question. When you take
22	these numbers, going back to your original numbers, you
23	factored in that the building is not fully occupied.
24	MR. LENIHAN: Correct.
25	MR. PEREIRA: You multiplied times whatever

1	units are still open.
2	MR. LENIHAN: Exactly.
3	MR. PEREIRA: That's how you arrived
4	MR. LENIHAN: They're are all different dates,
5	there were different numbers of because the building
6	is filling up.
7	MR. PEREIRA: When you use these statistics,
8	are they specific to our region or for the United States?
9	MR. LENIHAN: The ITE is the United States.
10	MR. PEREIRA: When you're saying that the
11	Modera traffic is just over 60 percent less than the
12	ITE
13	MR. LENIHAN: Just under 60 percent of. More
14	than 40 percent less.
15	MR. PEREIRA: More than 40 percent less than if
16	this building was Nebraska or if this building was on
17	Jericho Turnpike?
18	MR. LENIHAN: On Jericho Turnpike away from the
19	railroad, away from
20	MR. PEREIRA: Right. So not somewhere else,
21	you know, out in the middle of America. In other words,
22	the trips that it's generating now where it is is about
23	40 percent less than the trips that it would generate if
24	it was somewhere else in the Village away from the train;
25	is that what you're saying?

MR. LENIHAN: Correct.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Anything else, sir?

MR. LENIHAN: That's it for me.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: I just have one item to cover last, which was at Modera now there is, as of today, 176 units rented. Some of the best information available, and it's not always easy to decipher, we wanted to measure for you the number of school-aged children. And we believe that the ratio breaks down to 3.4 per hundred based on the units that are rented right now.

So it's actually in line with the testimony and the data we provided to you at the last hearing, but based on a real analysis, the best information available that we can gather, it's 3.4 per hundred.

MR. PEREIRA: That means about six to eight students?

MR. COSCHIGNANO: Right now probably about six to seven students, eight at most currently there. And there's no real guarantee that those students are actually even attending all one school or other schools. Some could be in private school. It's really tough to gather that information. The only place to get that information would be from the school district.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: Thank you. And that would 1 conclude what we have. Of course, we're here to answer 2 and our professionals are here to answer any questions 3 the Board may have. 4 5 MAYOR STRAUSS: I have a couple of questions. 6 Many of them were answered at the last hearing. I'm assuming that there will be an access control system at this building similar to the other 8 9 ones. 10 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. MAYOR STRAUSS: As well as access control 11 12 throughout the building so cars can't park in there at 13 any other times? MR. COSCHIGNANO: Absolutely. 14 MAYOR STRAUSS: Other than people who live in 15 1.6 the building? MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. 17 MAYOR STRAUSS: This is probably a typo, but on 18 page 70 of the application you referenced The anticipated 19 20 growth of the Town of Hempstead. Is there a reason why you picked the Town of 21 Hempstead and not the Town of North Hempstead that 22 23 Mineola is in? 24 MR. COSCHIGNANO: I could not speak for it 25 myself.

1	MAYOR STRAUSS: I'm sure it's the same.
2	MR. COSCHIGNANO: We'll check that right now.
3	MR. LENIHAN: Is it a traffic reference?
4	MAYOR STRAUSS: Sorry.
5	MR. LENIHAN: The available information on
6	traffic growth as published by the DOT based on some
7	studies that they've done is available on a town basis.
8	MAYOR STRAUSS: So you picked the Town of
9	Hempstead, not the Town of North Hempstead. Are they
10	different?
11	MR. LENIHAN: The Town of North Hempstead I
12	think is a little bit less, if I recall.
13	MAYOR STRAUSS: That's what we're in, the Town
14	of North Hempstead.
15	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Could be a part of this is in
16	the Town of Hempstead.
17	MR. LENIHAN: I think we had to make a choice
18	and we took the bigger one.
19	MAYOR STRAUSS: Just to clarify, I think it was
20	mentioned at the last hearing, there are plans for the
21	grass area behind Corpus Christy to be made into a
22	parking lot to accommodate any of the sporting activities
23	that are going on there.
- 24	Is that something you could answer?
25	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Jamie, I think you might be

1 able to speak to it more fully. 2 MR. STOVER: The grass area on this property. 3 I don't know if this is MAYOR STRAUSS: 4 somebody that represented the church, if they're here, they could speak to it. There's a grass area behind --5 MR. STOVER: Behind the church property. 6 7 MAYOR STRAUSS: Correct. 8 MR. STOVER: So the plan as we discussed at the 9 last hearing was to accommodate the traffic flow on 10 Searing Avenue, we will be creating -- and off street 11 parking for the church on a go-forward basis. 12 was we'll have traffic going back there with a few 13 parking spaces for the existing use of what we call the old school. 14 15 Thank you very much. MAYOR STRAUSS: 16 Mr. Pereira? 17 MR. PEREIRA: Thank you. I think I have a 18 question for Ms. Watral. The water and sewage, is that 19 you? 20 MS. WATRAL: Yes. MR. PEREIRA: On page 13 where it says 21 22 "Sanitary Disposable and Water Supply." 23 MS. WATRAL: Yes, sir. 24 MR. PEREIRA: Obviously, water is being used currently both by the school, not that much, and the 25

1 homes across the street that will be part of this plan. 2 But if you can explain that very last sentence. "Thus 3 lowering the net water demand and sewage generation." So 4 are you saying that these two new buildings will actually 5 generate less water and sewage than what is there now? MS. WATRAL: I'm sorry, I'm looking for the 6 7 sentence that you're referencing. 8 MR. PEREIRA: It's the very last sentence under 9 two point two point five, page 13. 10 MS. WATRAL: I was on the wrong page. 11 sorry. 12 MR. PEREIRA: It's the very last sentence. 13 says, "Thus lowering the net water demand and sewage 14 generation." 15 Is that implying that the development will 16 actually use less water and create less sewage than an 17 empty school and two houses? 18 MS. WATRAL: I think it's base on what the 19 original sewage demand had been from the school. 20 same as the school had -- what had been in use. And that 21 was a use that did exist. And while it may not now, it 22 really is not a new use that we're proposing because that 23 generation did exist at one time. It's like we're going 24 back in.

MR. PEREIRA: In other words, the Village --

25

1	MS. WATRAL: The Village was accommodating that
2	amount at one time. This is not in addition, it's just
3	replacing what was removed at one point.
4	MR. PEREIRA: If you say so, but I'm going to
5	kind of steal a page from Mr. Gonzalez. I'm
6	uncomfortable that a school was in operation only six
7	hours a day and then closed in the summer and all that is
8	going to generate the same amount of water demand and
9	sewage as a building that's going to be occupied 24/7.
10	MS. WATRAL: Between students and staff versus
11	the number of residents.
12	MR. PEREIRA: My students do ask to go to the
13	bathroom a lot. There's something to that. Okay. So
14	you're saying compared to what's not there now, but what
15	was already occupied.
16	MS. WATRAL: Yes.
17	MR. PEREIRA: In using the Village's
18	infrastructure?
19	MS. WATRAL: Correct.
20	MR. PEREIRA: I have a question. So now you
21	went from 197 units to 192 units. Are there any plans to
22	change the parking numbers?
23	MR. COSCHIGNANO: I don't believe so. I think
24	we're going to leave it as is.
25	MR. PEREIRA: You'll leave it as is.

1	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Hopefully, that would be a
2	benefit.
3	MR. PEREIRA: That's it for now.
4	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
5	MR. CUSATO: Thank you. I need a clarification
6	here. Page 39 under "Impact Issues."
7	MR. COSCHIGNANO: I apologize. I can't answer
8	everything myself, but they're more qualified than I am.
9	MR. CUSATO: So I understand that the western
10	building is going to remain?
11	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes.
12	MR. CUSATO: And I think we'll retain the
13	religious education in that building; is that correct?
14	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Right.
15	MR. CUSATO: So I read on page 39 it says "The
16	proposed development is not going to conflict with the
17	traveling of children, nor is it to the immediate
18	neighborhood respecting some of their persons or
19	vehicles."
20	So how did you make that statement when, like,
21	ten feet away you're going to have children in that
22	school?
23	MR. COSCHIGNANO: In what respect, in the
24	traffic flow?
25	MR. CUSATO: Well, you say it's not going to be

in conflict with the traveling of children, when children are going to be like ten feet away from this apartment house. And we have parents dropping of their kids.

Am I reading this wrong?

MR. COSCHIGNANO: No. I think though at the last hearing one of the ways we tried to mitigate that was to create the traffic pattern that Mr. Stover mentioned a minute ago where the traffic flow would go around behind the building and then come out on the west side.

MR. CUSATO: Okay.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: And then exit onto the street, hopefully, lending the ability to stack a large number of cars around the side and rear of the property for dropping off for religious education, thus taking them off Searing Avenue.

MR. CUSATO: So if I'm a parent of Corpus

Christy -- my grand, kids go to St. Aidan -- so I would go around the back of the building and drop off my child or something?

MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes, in a counter-clockwise direction.

MR. CUSATO: Okay.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: And we think it will be a significant amount of room for many cars. An actual

number, I don't have, but I think we estimated at the 1 2 last hearing maybe 25 cars at a time could fit in sort of 3 an L-shaped scenario. 4 MR. CUSATO: Okay. 5 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Which is really a horseshoe, 6 but you can't count the exiting sign because those would 7 be moving all the time. 8 MR. CUSATO: Okay. That's it. Thank you. 9 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Mr. Cusato. 10 MR. COSCHIGNANO: That would be the plan. 11 MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Durham? 12 MR. DURHAM: No, my question was about the 13 number of cars so. MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Walsh? 14 15 MR. WALSH: Thank you. Are you involved with 16 the number of school children? 17 MS. WATRAL: Yes. 18 MR. WALSH: How are you? 19 MS. WATRAL: Fine. 20 MR. WALSH: Say about a year ago the Nassau 21 County Controller, George Maragos, put out a report and 22 the report stated that the overall population of Nassau 23 County will go down by three percent by the year 2024. 24 And with the aging population included in that, the 25 population of children between the age of zero and nine

will go down by 23 percent. I spoke to someone in his office and they told me they got those numbers from the sensus. Are you familiar with that report?

MS. WATRAL: I'm not familiar with the report, personally, no.

MR. WALSH: Recently, the Mineola School
District did a study on the number of children that will
be coming out of those buildings. Have you had the
opportunity to read that report?

MS. WATRAL: I have not.

MR. WALSH: Neither have I.

I'm glad to hear that the number that was counted, when you actually counted, goes along with the Rutgers University study which shows six children per every 100 units. And someone had a question here the last time that this property was outside of the transit-oriented development area and that the number would be higher. You figured that you gave -- you gave them a higher number but in effect it's going to be about six children per unit?

MS. WATRAL: Correct.

MR. WALSH: But in that Rutgers University study it also takes into account many of the buildings that they counted were -- some of the buildings they counted were buildings that were oriented to invite

children in. So the study took buildings that were inviting children in as well as buildings were developed. So the actual number I thought would be lower than six children per unit. Would it be around three children per 100 units? That's closer to the actual number I think.

MS. WATRAL: I think the TOD studies that we've shown, and then even the information that we provided tonight with the available information for the Modera is that it's three per 100 units. So we were saying that at 197 units we were estimating six children. So we dropped a couple of units but it's still in that neighborhood.

I would say that the information that was raised at the last hearing with whether or not this building would be considered transit-oriented development, I would respectfully submit that it is because it is still within the half mile of the train station. So it maybe at an hour perimeter for some studies but it is still considered part of the TOD.

MR. WALSH: And I agree with that. I believe that also.

In your observation here you provided for us, we have the Mineola Union Free School District from the year 2003 to 2004 at a population of 2,724. And now to the year 2013/14, the population is 2,638. So it has gone down a bit. And that's not taking into

consideration the number of students that will diminish 2 more as the aging population and the overall population in the county goes down. So I don't believe that this building is going 4 5 to generate too many children for the school district. 6 MS. WATRAL: Correct. That is our position. 7 MR. WALSH: That was a concern some, but I 8 don't think it any more. Thank you, Ms. Watral. My question, I guess, for the attorney is 9 1.0 you're changing the number of units in the building, how 11 about the number of parking units? MR. COSCHIGNANO: No. The parking is going to 12 remain the same. 13 14 MR. WALSH: Same. 15 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. 16 MR. WALSH: So the additional few spaces. 17 How about visitor parking? MR. COSCHIGNANO: Jamie, do you want to handle 18 19 that. 20 MR. STOVER: Yes. We'll handle visitor parking 21 in a similar way as we handle it at the Modera. calculation includes I think 1.5 ratio includes 22 additional spaces for visitors. Typically, most of our 23 visitors are showing up during non-peak hours to lease 24 apartments. We call them future resident spaces and we 25

1 designate sort of a number of those within the building. 2 So the way our building is designed we have 3 segregated two separate entrances. We'll allow one of 4 those entrances to be available for visitor parking 5 during business hours. 6 MR. WALSH: If I were to have an apartment in 7 your building and a visitor came to see me, there would 8 be a spot for he or she to park in. 9 MR. STOVER: Yes. 10 MR. WALSH: About how many do you have? MR. STOVER: Well, I can't -- you know, we 11 12 haven't designated those spaces, but the way we see the 13 Modera working out with visitors, there's what we perceive to be more than enough spaces for visitor 14 15 parking off street based upon what we're seeing. 16 MR. WALSH: Okay. MR. STOVER: On an operational and going 17 18 forward. MR. WALSH: So based on Modera, how many spaces 19 20 are there at Modera? 21 MR. STOVER: Off the top of my head, I don't 22 know how many we have segregated in the sort of future 23 resident/visitor parking spaces, but I want to say it's 24 roughly 25 spaces for 275 apartments. MR. WALSH: Thank you. And just something I 25

1 would like to ask about is mentioned, is the idea of 2 having to make a turn on Willis Avenue. Willis Avenue, 3 because of the light that is tied into the railroad 4 during peak hours, the traffic is really horrendous over 5 there. But the cars that will be coming out of Searing Avenue will be at a triple. It won't be at a pace of 7 multiple cars, 15 cars at the same time leaving; is that 8 correct? 9 MR. STOVER: That's correct. 10 The impact that he measures in his traffic 11 study at that light --12 MR. COSCHIGNANO: It's roughly one every two 13 minutes during rush hour? MR. LENIHAN: It's about one every minute, 14 15 actually. 16 MR. WALSH: Can I speak to Pat? 17 MR. COSCHIGNANO: I thought it was 62 cars over 18 a two-hour period. 19 MR. WALSH: So how many cars are permitted? 20 MR. COSCHIGNANO: 62 in a two-hour period. 21 MR. LENIHAN: With our 25 percent reduction on 22 the high side conservative, we had exiting cars in the 23 morning, 61 vehicles in an hour. 24 MR. WALSH: 61 vehicles in an hour in the 25 morning rush hour.

1 MR. LENIHAN: Yes. 2 MR. WALSH: And you don't have a count in the 3 afternoon, you said that. 4 MR. LENIHAN: Well, entering in the afternoon is 60 in and out. 5 6 MR. WALSH: About the same. Now, how would 7 that be different from the other blocks, Lincoln and Clinton and such, they also have apartments on them and they also have a trickle of cars that enter into the 10 traffic stream. Is it the same, is it more, is it less, 11 if you know? I'm not asking you to guess. 12 MR. LENIHAN: As far as the rate of how smooth it is and whether there's big slugs of traffic, it would 13 14 be the same, I think. It would be a little lower than 15 the one to the north, based on the numbers that we have. 16 MR. WALSH: Are you giving me ITE numbers or Modera numbers. 17 MR. LENIHAN: Well, the Modera numbers I have 18 19 not adjusted to the size of the Searing Avenue project. 20 So I'm giving you 75 percent of ITE which is what we 21 actually evaluated. And what we found when we evaluated 22 23 MR. WALSH: Those numbers are 75 percent of the 24 ITE. 25 MR. LENIHAN: Right. When we evaluated

1	Searing, we found that signal works very well. Of
2	course, we mentioned the railroad operation which impacts
3	it periodically. But the signal itself operates very
4	well.
5	MR. WALSH: As cars trickle out of this block,
6	they will trickle out of this block and
7	MR. LENIHAN: It's not like a movie theatre,
8	for instance, where you might have a hundred people
9	leaving at once. That doesn't happen.
10	MR. WALSH: Thank you.
11	MAYOR STRAUSS: Anything else, gentleman?
12	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Not from us. Only if you
13	have additional questions.
14	MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other questions.
15	Mr. Durham?
16	MR. DURHAM: With the changes in the apartments
17	and stuff like that, nothing on the outside structural
18	changes? Same design.
19	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Same design.
20	MR. DURHAM: Just you're adding more space
21	inside?
22	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Correct. Same number of
23	stories, same design.
24	MAYOR STRAUSS: At this time I'll open it up to
25	the public comment again.

Gentleman, you can have a seat and record any questions that come up. We'll go through the floor and then we'll address those questions at the end.

Any questions in the first row? Second row?

Third row? Fourth row? Fifth row? Sixth row?

Yes, ma'am.

MS. MAFFETORE: Madeline Maffetore, 101 Lincoln Avenue, Mineola.

Mayor, Members of the Board, problems unrecognized and there is problems, and I was very happy to hear that you addressed some of the concerns. It took a whole bunch of my questions away.

But there's one problem that's not recognized, so therefore it's not a problem. And that's with the excessive building and more people and more need for railroad, the Long Island Railroad is now proposing to put a third rail. That has not been addressed, so it's not a problem, but it is to me. What is the Village going to do, what are you going to do about the problem with more trains and keeping the gate down on Second Street down even longer?

MAYOR STRAUSS: I can tell you it is a problem for us and it is certainly a concern for us. I met this passed Monday with representatives of the railroad as well as Governor Cuomo's office, they don't have a plan

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or at least one they're willing to share with us at this So we're all watching it very very closely. idea from what I can gather, and I can only said what we've all read in the paper, is that they're looking to put a third track to help with reverse commuting. not sure that's going to work, my own personal opinion. It's kind of off topic here, but I think to your point about the increased buildings and the increased amount of people who are looking to utilize the railroad speaks of the question how could we get more trains or more cars on the trains that are there or prevent the railroad from shutting off cars from the front end and the back of the train. Many times you get onto a train and they have the front car and back car locked off. Those are conversations that I will have with the president of the railroad when we can link up.

I have many concerns with the railroad and we are, all of us, watching that third track proposal, as they call it now, very very closely.

MS. MAFFETORE: Well, the excessive building has given it motivation to continue with its quest to have this ugly thing rear its head again. I'm really concerned about that.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Well, from what I can gather with the railroad with the third track, it's not so much



st

because of what's going on here, they want to redevelop or give some sort of rail infrastructure further out east. So, in essence, we're going to take the brunt of something that's not going to positively affect us or help us. It's going to positively affect, allegedly, people way out east.

So it has nothing to do with really these buildings. They're looking to generate a reverse commute for people who don't live here to come out here and work out on the island and then when they're done at the end of the day, they pack up and they go home and they leave those areas desolate. That's another conversation.

MS. MAFFETORE: But the gate still has to come down.

MAYOR STRAUSS: I can't agree with you more.

MS. MAFFETORE: If you're going south and you really want to go south on Willis and you want to go straight on Second, you're blocking all the traffic behind you and no one is going to move. Now, if you're lucky enough to be closer to the light, you do what all the intelligent Mineoleons do -- they go through the deliparking lot, they go to Second, and they cut around.

We're very very creative and inventive in Mineola. And I don't see the traffic moving that quickly if someone wants to go straight on Willis Avenue and the

gates down.

MAYOR STRAUSS: There's a couple of other ways to get around that that we're all aware of. I don't want to mention it because then everyone is going to be following me.

It is a problem. As I've mentioned earlier,
I've sent two letters. I've been in contact with our
county legislature, Rich Nicholello. He's aware of the
situation. We're trying to get the light at Willis
Avenue disconnected from the gate, so when the gates go
down on the main line which they do, certainly peak hour,
rush hour, for extended lengths of time, the traffic
light is turned red for southbound. It's green for north
a little bit, but it doesn't need to be that way anymore.

MS. MAFFETORE: Only if you make the turn going west.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Right. It doesn't need to be that way anymore. We're trying to get it disconnected. Because with Hinck Way running parallel to the tracks, that light can be green southbound on Willis, allowing people to make the lefts and makes rights and go straight. When they get to the gate to the main line they can make a left and go right down to Roslyn Road.

It doesn't even make sense to have it connected anymore. We're trying to get it changed. We're doing

our best to get that changed. When it does that will alleviate a lot of that problem.

MS. MAFFETORE: How much of the planning that has been done with the traffic flow and all that taken in consideration that the third rail might become a reality?

MAYOR STRAUSS: Well, I can't speak for the traffic engineer, but I can speak for what I believe and that is that this third track was an eye opener, it was slapped in our faces last week. I don't think anybody expected that.

MS. MAFFETORE: I didn't either, but it makes a lot of sense if you're the Railroad and you're the State.

MAYOR STRAUSS: They want this, but we'll see what happens. It all comes down to money. And one of my questions is they're claiming it's going to take \$105 billion dollars to do the third track. That's a topic for another night.

MS. MAFFETORE: I hope I have something to say then too.

MAYOR STRAUSS: I certainly welcome it.

And folks, if the plan, if there is one becomes available I will share it obviously with the Board and everybody here because we need to get our ducks in a row with this and see how we're going to address it.

MARILYN: I thank you for your efforts and your

1	proactive approach to this.
2	MAYOR STRAUSS: I try. Thank you very much.
3	Have a good night. Anyone else in the sixth row?
4	Seventh row?
5	MR. COLBERT: John Colbert, 167 Emory Road,
6	Mineola. Good evening, Mayor, Members of the Board.
7	First of all, happy new year.
8	MAYOR STRAUSS: Same to you.
9	MR. COLBERT: The traffic impact that we're
10	talking about really has nothing to do with the Searing
11	Avenue application. But at the point in the end of 1999
12	into 2000 the DOT wanted to dead end Willis Avenue and
13	also Main Street and make Main Street into a pedestrian
14	walkway, so be careful.
15	MAYOR STRAUSS: We're watching the Long Island
16	Railroad very very closely.
17	MR. COLBERT: Can I ask if the host community
18	benefit agreement has been reached on this property?
19	MAYOR STRAUSS: Not that I'm aware of.
20	MR. COLBERT: What about the pilot plan?
21	MAYOR STRAUSS: No, as far as I know. Mr.
22	Gibbons?
23	MR. GIBBONS: No, but there certainly will be
24	before the first permit is issued.
25	MR. COLBERT: When the resolution was adopted

for the Modera and also with the 250 Old Country Road and there was the host community benefit agreement, but there was no host agreement for the Village Green Property.

MAYOR STRAUSS: No, I believe we have a host benefit agreement with the Village Green Property.

MR. COLBERT: There was none on the minutes.

MR. GIBBONS: I know because I'm doing it.

We're working on a host agreement right now with the Village Green. There have been no permits issued to the Village Green. There will not be unless there's an agreement is worked out.

MR. COLBERT: So you need a separate resolution made on that?

MR. GIBBONS: That's correct. And the same can be said for this project.

MR. COLBERT: One of the things that I'm concerned about is the water and the sewer regarding the whole conglomerate of different buildings going here. As I said before, the Searing Avenue, we should be looking at that as a positive mode and not in a negative mode. And I don't mean this to be negative at all. But the amount of water that they're saying is going to be used is false.

I don't understand, and as Mr. Pereira had mentioned, how does a school that is open five hours a

1 day is going to consume more water than an apartment 2 house which has a 192 units now on which you have 3 showers, washers, dryers, people who are washing dishes, I don't understand how it can be less, as well as the 4 consumption into the sewer system. 6 It's the same thing as some of the traffic 7 study in which we're receiving. 60 cars an hour is a lot 8 of cars if you have a red light at First Street. 9 MAYOR STRAUSS: We'll ask the water 10 representative expert to address that. 11 MR. COLBERT: I think the sewer that was put in 12 around 1928 and the water lines that were put in around 13 1912, 1915. The water all comes out of Well No. 1. 14 MAYOR STRAUSS: Well No. 1 is offline right 15 now. 16 MR. COLBERT: Is that the main line if it was 17 online? 18 MAYOR STRAUSS: I couldn't tell you which water 19 well water would come from, but the well -- we're looking 20 to rehab that well anyway. 21 MR. COLBERT: Right. 22 MAYOR STRAUSS: So when it comes back online 23 everything should be fine. Our water engineers have said 24 that we have plenty of water. The problem with our water is our water distribution.

1	MR. COLBERT: I understand that from the
2	previous report. Thank you, Mayor.
3	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
4	Anybody else in the seventh row?
5	MR. REDMOND: Thomas Redmond, 187 Grant Avenue,
6	Mineola, New York.
7	I'm definitely supportive of the development
8	going here and I appreciate Mill Creek's interest in the
9	Village. I hop they develop a lot more buildings here.
10	I'm sorry I came here a little late. I've been
11	delayed with work, I made a fire call. So the building
12	stayed the same height as last time? Nothing changed?
13	I'm sorry. I missed all that.
14	MAYOR STRAUSS: Height wasn't specifically
15	mentioned, but we'll address that.
16	MR. REDMOND: So same amount of floors.
17	MAYOR STRAUSS: Five plus units.
18	MR. REDMOND: On grade parking and then four
19	floors of apartments above that?
20	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Correct.
21	MR. REDMOND: And then a loft on top?
22	MR. COSCHIGNANO: Everything is the same as we
23	presented it last time.
24	MR. REDMOND: The height was around 70
25	MS. WATRAL: 69.

MR. REDMOND: Okay. Does anyone on the Board know the current heights of the six apartment buildings that are along the corridor of Willis Avenue and --

MAYOR STRAUSS: I think they're in a 50-foot range. We have them in this book.

MR. REDMOND: They're 45 feet because I actually from work I have a laser that could measure it. I did it from grade from the front of the building.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Sir, address the Board.

MR. REDMOND: So I did it with the lasering on all the buildings, got the same reading of 44 feet and eight inches. And then you add the four inches on the top of the parapet, so that's exactly 45 on all of them.

And also on Main Street, there's two 45-foot buildings there. One of them Jack Martin put up when he was mayor. He matched the height of the building across the street.

So the eight closest apartment buildings to where this is going to go are all 45 feet. So I would like to see that building match the height. Maybe not exactly 45 feet but something around like 50 feet. 69 or 68 is definitely a bigger building then anything that's there. There's no precedent for a building in that area.

I understand the downtown has bigger buildings. That's where I support having bigger buildings. And I'd

rather see a building like this going there next to where Modera went.

So I'm definitely supportive of Mill Creek developing buildings here, but I'd like to see like an appropriate-size building go there. I definitely think a development should go there. I don't want to seem like I'm anti-developing a building there or anywhere else. The fact that all existing buildings are a certain height or at least take off one floor from this. They could possibly keep the same amount of apartments if they put all the parking underground. I know they said there is an issue about the Oyster Bay line, if they could look into that.

The parking doesn't necessarily need to go right up against the tracks. I'm sure there's a setback where they could go two floors down. But I am supportive of the development going there so.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

MR. REDMOND: I have a graph that shows the building that I measured. If you want to look at them, I can leave them up here.

MAYOR STRAUSS: We'll take a look and catch you later.

Anybody else looking to make a comment? Gentleman?

MR. COSCHIGNANO: Just addressing the gentleman's comments, we did look at the building height and surrounding area and I believe we testified at the last hearing that those buildings were roughly 50 feet in height.

Additionally, based on the heights of our ceilings and the area between our floors, with modern day construction, that necessitated the height at which we have it now. And even though there's a reduction in five units, we still plan to construct the same size building with the same number of floors as we proposed at the last hearing. That hasn't changed. So that the question the gentleman raised tonight, he actually raised at the last hearing. We addressed him at the last hearing, we addressed them independently in the hallway in between that evening and we're addressing them again.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Regarding the water issue, because it does kind of not make sense that a school of elementary school level would use more capacity of water, volume of water, than an apartment building. How do those numbers get derived? How are they configured.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: Not being an engineer, I'll call upon the engineers for some more help.

I did want to point out we couldn't get to step one on the application if we did not have water



availability.

MAYOR STRAUSS: No, I know there's water availability, I absolutely do, but it's the water use question that I have.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ COSCHIGNANO: I'll see if we can do a little better with it.

MAYOR STRAUSS: I know there are things that we have in place now that you could purchase to conserve water and showers are running at less volume, and all those things are taken into consideration. But it is kind of mind boggling. I'm sure you can answer it. I apologize for my ignorance on the top there, but it just doesn't make sense.

MS. WATRAL: Not at all. You're about to get a mea culpa. The page that was pointed out to me, page 13 which had the no new net. It's part of the project description but it's really like an executive summary. So I went to the actual section where it is discussed in detail and on page -- sorry, I lost my place. It was a typo. It should be no new or the new.

MR. WALSH: What page?

MS. WATRAL: Page 63, the top of page 63. It's the end of section 3.3.3 which starts on page 63.

I'm still trying because that's not even the same.

1 MR. CUSATO: 63. 2 MS. WATRAL: I'm looking for the same sentence that was on page 13. I apologize. I was flipping around 4 as everyone was talking. 5 What it boils down to is that I stand by what I 6 say in that there was significant generation as part of, you know, a full-time school with students, staff and 1 lunch room facilities. However, you're right in that it 8 9 wasn't necessarily equivalent to what -- not that there 10 was no new net, it was not a distinct new element to the 11 water supply. 12 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Above and beyond. 13 MS. WATRAL: Above and beyond. 14 MR. COSCHIGNANO: The new demand. 15 MR. PEREIRA: "Therefore, the new water demand 16 would be less than fifty thousand gallons per day on top 17 of the existing of what would have been." 18 MS. WATRAL: Correct. I apologize. 19 MR. PEREIRA: I got you. That's why if you 20 look at this I highlighted page 13 and I highlighted page 63. 21 22 In other words, at the end of the day we're 23 adding 50,000 gallons of new demand. 24 MS. WATRAL: New demand of what is currently, 25 but allowing for the fact that there had been prior

demand at the school.

MR. PEREIRA

MR. PEREIRA: We're not all crazy that a building of 192 units demands more water than the elementary school.

MS. WATRAL: But net --

MR. PEREIRA: Net new. I got you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Okay.

MS. WATRAL: And it's not as the significance of the 50,000 gallons -- again, as the water supply would be available, that increase is not significant.

MAYOR STRAUSS: No. We certainly have the water. It just didn't make sense.

MS. WATRAL: I apologize.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: The reason I wanted to point that out as well is because 50,000 of anything is a big number. And it sounds like a huge number, but when you talk about gallons of usage of water in a project like this it's not a significant increase net new.

MR. WALSH: As to Mr. Redmond's comment about the buildings he says is 45 feet compared to this building, those buildings do not provide for 1½ parking spaces per unit. So for me to have 1½ parking spaces per unit to be included in on the building, if it has to be a little bit taller to house those other cars, then it's okay with me.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. And we've said all along we cannot go any deeper with our parking garage 3 underground. If we would've, we could've looked at that 4 issue, but because of the train tracks --5 MR. WALSH: Which is what you presented last 6 time. 7 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Right. We were told we 8 couldn't do that. MR. WALSH: Thank you. 10 MAYOR STRAUSS: Anything else? 11 MR. PEREIRA: Going back to the parking, so if 12 we look at this just from purely mathematical we have 13 about 296 spots total. And about 192 of those will be 14 dedicated from now on, right. 15 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. 16 MR. PEREIRA: So that leaves about a hundred 17 and change left over for visitors or for anyone who wants a second spot. And that's assuming that everyone will 18 19 want one to begin with. 20 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. Maybe not. 21 MR. PEREIRA: At the very least, everyone that 22 lives there and has a car has a designated spot. 23 there will be over a hundred spots that could be either 24 sold off as second spots or used as visitor spots or for 25 other purposes.

1 MR. COSCHIGNANO: Yes. We believe we have a 2 lot of parking. And that's a good thing. 3 MR. PEREIRA: And no exit or entrance to the south on the little league -- in terms of vehicles? 5 MR. COSCHIGNANO: No. 6 MR. PEREIRA: Onto the Village parking lot. 7 MR. COSCHIGNANO: No. 8 MR. PEREIRA: How about a pedestrian exit? 9 Will there be an emergency room exit or --10 MR. COSCHIGNANO: None. 11 MR. STOVER: We don't have access from the 12 south side of the south buildings. We do have fire 13 emergency stairwells that come down to the east and west 14 sides of those buildings. And the idea for egress would 15 be to the side yard and out to Searing, not to the south 16 We're keeping away from that use. side. 17 MR. PEREIRA: Thank you. 18 MR. WALSH: Before you walk away, Mr. Stover, 19 in the other building at the Modera -- we took a tour 20 there and several people have dogs in that building. 21 Where do you plan on having the people -- I expect that 22 you'll have dogs in this building. What provisions have 23 you made for walking dogs? Do you have a spot? 24 MR. STOVER: Yes. We're land constrained so 25 what we have at Modera is we put up little doggie bags.

MR. WALSH: I saw that.

MR. STOVER: We did that at the -- we did receive a letter from the Village Clerk, we addressed that right away.

You know, yes, these days we're finding that renters have dogs. And we are dog friendly. And we provide washrooms for dogs, we call them a pet spa. And it does get used. Likely, residents will walk their dogs on the streets. And we'll have provisions and accommodations to make sure that does not become an issue.

MR. WALSH: Thank you for putting those out.

How many animals per unit --

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ STOVER: That I can't project on the K-9 population.

MR. WALSH: How many do you permit?

MR. STOVER: Oh, that's a good question. I don't know that we have a cap on the number of dogs that we permit. I imagine that we do in our rules and regulations. I can tell you that we have breed restrictions on certain dogs and heights and weights and that kind of things.

I can't tell you right here and say what our policy is on the total number of pets. But so far from our experience, we haven't seemed to have a problem.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

24

MR. WALSH: I was surprised to see that you had a dog station. Do you charge extra to a tenant that has dogs or cats?

> MR. STOVER: Yes.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments from the Board?

MR. COSCHIGNANO: I couldn't tell you how many school age dogs there will be. No more than 3.4 per 100 units.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Anymore comments from the public?

MR. COLBERT: John Colbert, 167 Emory Road. I'm glad counsel got a sense of humor.

About the parking lot which is used for the MAA which is also used for commuter parking. I know now we've addressed the one car, which I had spoken about at the last meeting, but what happens with the second car and the people are using the \$55 a year parking space rather than giving a phenomenal fee which is probably more than 55 bucks a month for a second car?

MAYOR STRAUSS: We've looked at that since the first start of any of these buildings and what we can do with it. From year to date, there's one person from the Modera that has taken advantage of the \$55 per year commuter parking. We are currently going through --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

25

we've commissioned a parking study of the entire Village with a focus of downtown. Specifically, looking to address that issue right now. So we are watching that like hawks.

MR. COLBERT: The only thing I was looking at was how close the parking lot is to the building -- the little league parking lot, how close it is to the apartment building versus where the Modera is or 250 Old Country Road. But I mean this is just really a crawl from the parking space to your apartment, so I don't know if it would be different or not.

MAYOR STRAUSS: We're looking --

MR. COLBERT: I'm looking at it for the little league moms coming in and not having a place to park.

MAYOR STRAUSS: We're already on top of that.

MR. DURHAM: That's why we want to make sure there's no entrance and exits towards that side of the building.

MAYOR STRAUSS: So we have to literally walk around the block the long way and come all the way back up again to make it inconvenient. So we're already on top of that.

MR. COLBERT: Thank you for your consideration.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: That was going to be my point. Yes. I would literally have to walk around the

block because of the no access. Also, we're pleased to say on behalf of Mr. Stover, we want to work with the Village to make sure that people are not abusing that privilege of buying those permits. And we were glad to hear from your efforts, letting us know that there was only one person from Modera who did that, so we would like to keep an eye on that as well.

MAYOR STRAUSS: We're not so sure that they moved in and did it or maybe they moved from Mineola and just transferred it to their new address, because there are Mineola people living there. So it could have been a whole host of things.

Folks, we're watching that. That's part of the traffic study that the Village Board has commissioned.

And we're going to do everything we can to make sure the system is not abused.

MR. COSCHIGNANO: It mutually benefits both you and our client because our client wants to keep track of that as well and does not want, obviously, the Village upset and the people need to park. There's adequate parking that we're providing and they should be paying for the parking at the site.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Folks, again, that's why this Board made it the law that any apartment buildings going up need to include in their rent and the cost of their



monthly -- one parking spot because that's a law now. We're watching it and we're concerned about that also.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ COSCHIGNANO: We have nothing further, Mayor. Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Anything else from the public?
Anything else from the Board?

MR. PEREIRA: Just two things, Mayor, that I want to address because the public doesn't have access to things that we have here.

On the same page that we were just referencing, there's also something that says -- and obviously we know that these buildings are bringing in more water but for those of you that have been watching for the last several years have noticed that we also along with the parking study we've commissioned a water study to our engineers, DMB Engineering. And that in fact the report has indicated that we are in good shape for our water consumption for the foreseeable future.

Before I ask the question, I just wanted to make sure that we had the accurate numbers and that we are all on the same page. But on that very same page it does reference the report which is called Evaluation and Distribution of Proposed Improvements and Future Developments which we have DMB do.

The second thing, as we all do, we live here

and we certainly know Willis Avenue. And the point that Mr. Cusato brought up earlier this evening is absolutely valid. It's valid today without that building existing. It's valid today with that school closed. It was valid ten years ago when the school was in operation.

I would argue, and I'm not an engineer and this is not a scientific argument, I would argue that if we do develop this property that it gives us more weight to lobby the state and the county to disconnect that light.

I would lobby that this in fact gives us a better position to fix that problem not just for this building but fix the problem that exists without the building.

MR. CUSATO: Great point.

MR. PEREIRA: I think if we go to the county, and if we go to the state, and if we go to the railroads and we say we have these new buildings and these new residents who invested a lot, we have developers that have invested a lot, we have residents that have been suffering long enough. And I think you get us to a certain point where when you reach a certain critical mass you actually can move things like government, at least we hope. So that's my -- I'm not saying that based on any scientific or inside knowledge, but just from a commonsense point of view, if we do nothing, that light

1	probably does not get changed, that's my point.
2	MR. CUSATO: Great point.
3	MAYOR STRAUSS: We're on that fight. Any other
4	comments from the Board? Obtain a motion to approve?
5	MR. WALSH: I'll offer the motion.
6	MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Walsh. Do I
. 7	have a second.
8	MR. PEREIRA: Second.
9	MAYOR STRAUSS: Second by Trustee Pereira.
10	Mr. Scalero, pole the Board.
11	MR. SCALERO: Yes, sir.
12	Trustee Durham?
13	MR. DURHAM: Yes.
14	MR. SCALERO: Trustee Pereira?
15	MR. PEREIRA: Yes.
16	MR. SCALERO: Trustee Cusato?
17	MR. CUSATO: You know I have my concerns, but
18	I'm voting yes.
19	MR. SCALERO: Trustee Walsh?
20	MR. WALSH: No concerns. Yes.
21	MR. SCALERO: Mayor Strauss?
22	MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.
23	Gentlemen, congratulations.
24	MR. COSCHIGNANO: On behalf of the applicant
25	and on behalf of Monsignor Batule, thank you very much

for all of your efforts and for placing all your confidence --

THE COURT: I'd just like to thank everyone that participated in the hearings. These hearings shouldn't be taking lightly and I appreciate everybody's comments at not only at these hearings but at previous hearings. When we ask for your input we actually want it. And it's a good thing that you guys are down here tonight and you take an active part in this and what we do here. As Mr. Pereira mentioned earlier, we're residents here too. We're not looking to harm this Village, we're here to make it even better than it was and better than it is and stronger than it is. It seems that we're on the right track. Although we need to take a little breather from time to time and this might be one of those times.

Thank you, folks for coming. Have a great night. Good luck.

Motion to close the hearing?

MR. PEREIRA: Aye.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Trustee Pereira. Second?

MR. WALSH: Second.

MAYOR STRAUSS: All in favor?

MR. DURHAM: Aye.

MR. PEREIRA: Aye.

1	MR. CUSATO: Aye.
2	MR. WALSH: Aye.
3	MAYOR STRAUSS: Any opposed?
4	Folks, we'll be out momentarily to continue our
5	work session.
6	
7	* * *
8	This is to certify that the within and foregoing is a true
9	and accurate transcript of the stenographic notes as recorded by the undersigned Court Reporter.
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	
14	SHARON TAL
15	COURT REPORTER
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	