1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF MINEOLA
2	HEARING OF THE
3	BOARD OF TRUSTEES
4	November 14, 2018
5	6:30 o'clock p.m.
6	Before:
7	SCOTT P. STRAUSS, Mayor,
8	PAUL A. PEREIRA, Deputy Mayor,
9	PAUL S. CUSATO,
10	GEORGE R. DURHAM,
11	DENNIS J. WALSH, Trustees.
12	_
13	* * * * * <b>2110</b> DEC
14	JOHN P. GIBBONS, JR., ESO. OR Village Attorney
15	
16	* * * * Zu
17	JOSEPH R. SCALERO, LINDA PARDO Village Clerk Deputy Village Clerk
18	DANIEL B. WHALEN,
19	Supt. Of Buildings
20	* * *
21	PATRICIA A. TAUBER, RPR Official Court Reporter
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23	
24	
25	

MR. SCALERO: Ladies and gentlemen, please 1 silence all pagers and cell phones. In the event of an 2 emergency there are exits located in the rear of the 3 4 room. MAYOR STRAUSS: Good evening, ladies and 5 gentlemen. We have two hearings this evening. The first 6 7 one is going to be for an application at 270 Jericho Turnpike. 8 9 Mr. Scalero. 10 MR. SCALERO: Yes. "Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated 11 12 Village of Mineola. "Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees 13 of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public 14 Hearing on Wednesday, November 14, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. at 15 the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New 16 York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter 17 designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive 18

public comment upon the following:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Application of Emily Cunha for a Special Use
Permit pursuant to Chapter 550 of the Code of the
Incorporated Village of Mineola, entitled 'Zoning',
Section 550.12, entitled 'B-1 Districts', Subsection (B),
entitled 'Special Uses', to establish an art
instructional school upon the property known as 270

1	Jericho Turnpike, Mineola, New York, known and designated
2	on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map as Section 9, Block
3	296, Lot 208.
4	"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate
5	on the basis of handicapped status in administration or
6	access to or employment in its projects and activities.
7	Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate
8	compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the
9	Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.
10	"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of
11	Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if
12	any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees.
13	"At the aforesaid time and place all interested
14	persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.
15	"By Order of the Board of Trustees of the
16	Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero,
17	Village Clerk.
18	"Dated October 15, 2018."
19	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Mr. Scalero.
20	Mr. Whalen, report on the mailings?
21	MR. WHALEN: The Affidavit of Mailing Notice is
22	in order, signed and notarized.
23	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
24	Mr. Gibbons?
25	MR. GIBBONS: Nassau County Planning Commission

1	did not have any problems with the application, and I
2	would ask for a resolution declaring this a Type II
3	action.
4	MAYOR STRAUSS: Can I have a motion?
5	MR. PEREIRA: Motion.
6	MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Pereira.
7	MR. CUSATO: Second.
8.	MAYOR STRAUSS: Second by Trustee Cusato.
9	All in favor?
10	MR. DURHAM: Aye.
11	MR. PEREIRA: Aye.
12	MR. CUSATO: Aye.
13	MR. WALSH: Aye.
14	MAYOR STRAUSS: Aye.
15	Any opposed?
16	Carried.
17	Thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for coming out
18	this evening.
19	This will be the format for the hearings this
20	evening: The applicant will make their presentation and
21	they will have interaction with the Board, after which
22	time we will open it up to public comment.
23	I request that anybody coming to the podium
24	please speak clearly and slowly, state your name and your
25	address so everything can be properly recorded.

1	Who is making the first presentation for 270
2	Jericho Turnpike?
3	Yes, ma'am. Come on up.
4	MS. CUNHA: Hello.
5	MAYOR STRAUSS: Good evening.
6	MS. CUNHA: Good evening. My name is Emily
7	Cunha.
8	Do I give the address that I'm asking about
9	MAYOR STRAUSS: Sure.
10	MS. CUNHA: Or my address?
11	MAYOR STRAUSS: Either one. We'll hunt you
12	down if we need to.
13	MS. CUNHA: 270 Jericho Turnpike in Mineola.
14	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you for staying in
15	Mineola.
16	MS. CUNHA: Thank you.
17	MAYOR STRAUSS: So why don't you tell us about
18	your business and what you're looking to do.
19	MS. CUNHA: We're looking to open an art
20	instruction studio where we offer painting, art classes,
21	it could be anything, mixed type of media. We're looking
22	to do new things, maybe digital, maybe actual canvasses,
23	maybe T-shirts, maybe a whole wide variety. We feel like
24	our area needs it, and the kids would love it. And that

block, I feel like, is the artists' block, with dance and

25

1	music on it already. So all we're missing is art.
2	MAYOR STRAUSS: Great.
3	Have you done this before?
4	MS. CUNHA: We have taught art classes before.
5	We've done it in the schools. We've offered it as an
6	after school lesson, and we've been pretty successful
7	with it. So we thought: Why not open up our own space.
8	MAYOR STRAUSS: All right. Good. Bold move.
9	MS. CUNHA: It is.
LO	MAYOR STRAUSS: What hours do you plan on
11	operating?
L2	MS. CUNHA: Currently the daytime hours are
L3	10:30 to 3:00, but that's more like for setup and other
L4	things. The after school classes would likely run after
L5	school. So anywhere from 3:30 till about 7:30 in the
L6	evening.
L 7	MAYOR STRAUSS: You're not going to run later
L8	than 7:30?
L 9	MS. CUNHA: I personally don't want to. It
20	could be a possibility based on need, but I'm hoping 3:30
21	to 7:30
22	MAYOR STRAUSS: Is enough.
23	MS. CUNHA: Yes.
24	MAYOR STRAUSS: What days? Six days a week?
25	Five days a week?

1	MS. CUNHA: Six days a week, Monday through
2	Friday, with Saturday mornings I would guess.
3	MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. Nothing on Sunday?
4	MS. CUNHA: Nothing on Sunday.
5	MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. Great.
6	How many staff are you going to have on hand
7	there?
8	MS. CUNHA: Probably one helper, depending on
9	the student level.
LO	MAYOR STRAUSS: Right.
L1	Do you have a set ratio of students to
L2	instructors?
L3 <sup>-</sup>	MS. CUNHA: One to 15. One to 15, one to 12
L4	probably, is ideal. If we have more than that, I would
L5	always ask for someone else to come in to help.
L6	MAYOR STRAUSS: Now that's a strip store, a row
L7	of strip stores.
L8	MS. CUNHA: It is.
L9	MAYOR STRAUSS: Do you have parking behind
20	there for the employees?
21	MS. CUNHA: There's no parking. There's
22	metered parking in front. There's side street parking on
23	Marcellus and Wellington, and parking is always going to
24	be an issue. So
) 5	MAYOR STRAIISS. It certainly is

1	How many students do you plan on having there?
2	MS. CUNHA: I hope a million. Fingers crossed.
3	The first year, opening up and being new, I'm hoping to
4	get 50 students.
5	MAYOR STRAUSS: Let me rephrase the question.
6	How many per session? Is a session an hour long, two
7	hours long?
8	MS. CUNHA: A session would be an hour long,
9	with the max I would book for 15 students.
LO	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
L1	Deputy Mayor?
L2	MR. PEREIRA: Thank you.
L3	And thank you, as the Mayor said, for staying
L4	in Mineola.
L5	What ages are you looking from what to what
L6	would be your target age?
L7	MS. CUNHA: From five years is the minimum,
L8	upwards of 100-plus years old.
L9	MR. PEREIRA: So you'll have adult classes as
20	well?
21	MS. CUNHA: We will.
22	MR. PEREIRA: OK. I know it's, you know
23	we'll say this as we're awaiting the first snowfall of
24	the season, but in the summer obviously there's no
25	school.

1	MS. CUNHA: Right.
2	MR. PEREIRA: Are you planning have you
3	thought that far ahead? Are you going to have summer
4	camps, or summer classes, or summer hours?
5	MS. CUNHA: I would love to hold summer camp,
6	yes. One of my neighbors who does dance, we had talked
7	about doing a dance and art sort of combo, where they do
8	dance as a portion of the camp and then come to art for
9	the latter portion, because we can only offer so many
LO	hours in the day. That would give the parents a more
11	broader option of, like, a 10:00 to 1:00 option for camp,
12	rather than just 10:00 to 11:00. But it's just in talks
L3	right now. But we'd love to do that.
L4	MR. PEREIRA: Again, that's great. You're well
L5	aware that the Mineola School District runs a summer arts
16	program.
17	MS. CUNHA: They do.
L8	MR. PEREIRA: They do. OK. So you'll be
L9	competing against them.
20	MS. CUNHA: We will.
21	MR. PEREIRA: Great. Good for you.
22	MS CUNHA: We'll try not to compete directly
23	because I send my kids there too.
24	MR. PEREIRA: Right. Exactly.
25	Parties, birthday parties, things like that,

1	will you be providing that?
2	MS. CUNHA: I hope to in the future.
3	MR. PEREIRA: OK. Because that's not in your
4	business model right now?
5	MS. CUNHA: No, it's not in the business model
6	right now.
7	MR. PEREIRA: Right. Because then you would
8	get into catering and food and
9	MS. CUNHA: Definitely no food and no catering.
10	MR. PEREIRA: So you're not going to be putting
11	out any kind of garbage that could smell, or rot, or
12	anything like that.
13	MS. CUNHA: No.
14	MR. PEREIRA: You're not going to use whole lot
15	of a
16	MS. CUNHA: I mean, my kids eat snacks in the
17	studio.
18	MR. PEREIRA: Right. Right. But no pizza and -
19	MS. CUNHA: No, nothing like that.
20	MR. PEREIRA: catered cooked food brought
21	onto the premises, that doesn't
22	MS. CUNHA: No, not at this point.
23	MR. PEREIRA: OK. Now an art school, and I
24	don't know what the regulations are, you have a basement
25	in the building?

1	MS. CUNHA: There is a basement, but it's
2	outside and not easily accessible.
3	MR. PEREIRA: So, I don't know. Maybe, Mayor,
4	you know.
5	Is there a particular way that you have to
6	store paints and things like that, or are you going to be
7	dealing with anything that's flammable, or combustible,
8	or that can be a problem for our first responders if they
9	are responding to a call there?
10	MS. CUNHA: There should be no problem.
11	MR. PEREIRA: No problem. Like paints are
12	acrylic, I guess, and there's nothing that's going to be
13	a hazard or flammable.
14	MS. CUNHA: No.
15	MR. PEREIRA: OK. And at the point should
16	you get approved that you decide to think of a name
17	and a sign and all that, we ask that you work with
18	Mr. Whalen and the Building Department
19	MS. CUNHA: Of course.
20	MR. PEREIRA: to make sure the signs conform
21	to our code. OK?
22	MS. CUNHA: OK.
23	MR. PEREIRA: Thank you, and good luck.
24	MS. CUNHA: Thank you.
25	MAYOR STRAUSS: Trustee Cusato?

1	MR. CUSATO: Thank you, Mayor.
2	Good evening.
3	MS. CUNHA: Good evening.
4	MR. CUSATO: So one thing. So you want to do
5	art instruction, but your drawing shows a proposed retail
6	sales. What does that retail sales mean?
7	MS. CUNHA: We make stuff, creative stuff. We
8	make little bins that have pumpkins on them. We make
9	T-shirts. We make dish towels. We make all sorts of
10	things that are just creative and festive for the season.
11	The retail portion would be that if someone comes in and
12	they plan to buy a dish towel that says "Happy Fall",
13	that we can provide that.
14	MR. CUSATO: OK. All right. Thank you.
15	That's it, Mayor.
16	MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. Thank you.
17	Trustee Durham?
18	MR. DURHAM: Thank You.
19	Thank you for choosing Mineola.
20	My question was also on the retail sales.
21	So during the daytime that you mentioned you'd
22	be open, or you'd be there, 10:30 to 3:00 for prep and
23	stuff like that, would the retail sales portion be open
24	at that time for people to come?
25	MS. CUNHA: Yes.

1	MR. DURHAM: So that would be the area that
2	you'd be looking for people to come in and purchase what
3	you're doing.
4	MS. CUNHA: Yes.
5	MR. DURHAM: And then, once the classes start,
6	you'd be strictly doing the classes.
7	MS. CUNHA: Strictly classes.
8	MR. DURHAM: OK. That's my question.
9	MAYOR STRAUSS: Trustee Walsh?
10	MR. WALSH: Thank you, Mayor.
11	Hello, Ms. Cunha. How are you?
12	MS. CUNHA: Good. How are you?
13	MR. WALSH: The classes that are going to be
14	geared towards young children, for the most part it will
15	be parents dropping kids off and then leaving, or will
16	the parents stay there with the young kids?
17	MS. CUNHA: The hope is that they drop the
18	kids. I know parents don't always like to leave their
19	kids.
20	MR. WALSH: Yes.
21	MS. CUNHA: There is a little bench area in
22	case parents would like to stay and be seated. But
23	MR. WALSH: What I was getting at is that it's
24	easier to have parents drop them off, rather than trying
25	to park.

	1
1	MS. CUNHA: Yes. We would prefer the drop-off.
2	MR. WALSH: What percentage of your business is
3	going to be catered towards adults? Small portion?
4	MS. CUNHA: It might be 50 percent.
5	MR. WALSH: OK. They would be more likely to
6	park and stay there.
7	MS. CUNHA: They would be.
8	MR. WALSH: OK. I think that this is a very
9	good use for the Jericho Turnpike area. We're always
10	looking to keep stores. People complain all the time
11	that stores are vacant, and you're filling up one of the
12	stores if your application is approved, and I think it's
13	a very good use for that store.
14	Thank you.
1,5	MS. CUNHA: Thank you very much.
16	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Trustee Walsh.
17	MR. PEREIRA: I have one.
18	MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes, sir.
19	MR. PEREIRA: Mr. Walsh's question just made a
20	light bulb go off because you're saying 50 percent cater
21	potentially to adults. Will you be having paint nights,
22	Like, you know, that you see sometimes?
23	MS. CUNHA: We could eventually. That tends to
24	lead more to parties. I'd rather them come in and enroll
25	in a class.

1	MR. PEREIRA: In a class. So you're not
2	planning on having these paint nights where you have PTA
3	moms or a group of friends coming in and painting the
4	same painting.
5	MS. CUNHA: Eventually it can happen, but to
6	start off we'd like to do, like, monthly classes of
7	painting.
8	MR. PEREIRA: Right.
9	MS. CUNHA: So they would be painting, but not
10	a night of painting.
11	MR. PEREIRA: If you were to be approved
12	tonight, when would you foresee opening your operation?
13	MS. CUNHA: The grand opening?
14	MR. PEREIRA: Or just starting open for
15	business.
16	MS. CUNHA: Open for classes, I hope for
17	December 1st and we start a seasonal
18	MR. PEREIRA: So you're ready to go.
19	MS. CUNHA: Yes, we're ready to go.
20	MR. PEREIRA: Great.
21	That's all, Mayor.
22	MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments from the
23	Board?
24	All right. Ms. Cunha, if you can have a seat.
25	We're going to open up to public comment.

1	MS. CUNHA: Thank you.
2	MAYOR STRAUSS: Anybody in the first row?
3	Second row? Third row?
4	Yes.
5	MR. BURNSIDE: Peter Burnside, 303 Wellington
6	Road.
7	MAYOR STRAUSS: Good evening, sir.
8	MR. BURNSIDE: Good evening.
9	I'd just like to say to Ms. Cunha that parking
10	is just awful in our area. We've got this dance studio
11	around the corner and the best thing, just like Trustee
12	Walsh said, is drop off.
13	I might like to maybe you have, you know,
14	some people at the Portuguese American hall or in
15	Chaminade. They have lots of parking there. Maybe you
16	could make some arrangements with them, because
17	Wellington Road is tight. You can't even get to park in
18	front of your own house most of the time.
19	So thank you.
20	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
21	MR. BURNSIDE: I would like to see you in the
22	neighborhood. I like to see the stores filling up.
23	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you very much.
24	Anybody else in the third row? Fourth row?
25	Fifth row? Sixth row? Seventh row?

Ms. Cunha, come on back up.

As you're aware, there's very limited parking there also, as in many places in the Village. There is an opportunity for you, if it becomes a problem, to reach out to Chaminade High School. They've been very accommodating to others in the past. I'm not sure if their hours work and coincide with yours, because they obviously have school during the day. And the Portuguese American Society that's right there might be a little bit more accommodating for you, if the need arises.

Would you be agreeable to reaching out to those organizations if we need you to?

MS. CUNHA: Of course.

MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. Great. Hopefully, if you're approved tonight, that you'd ask your students, parents, to park legally. We have so many problems in other areas. Certainly when visiting teams come to play Chaminade, they don't really care where they park because it's not their community. I think that you're going to draw from this community. So hopefully they'll be a little bit more understanding and respectful of their neighbors when they do park their vehicles legally on the street.

Any other comments from the Board?

MR. DURHAM: I'd just --

MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.

MR. DURHAM: -- like to say that in your literature and your web site you might make recommendations for the parking lot. Tell them to be friendly to the neighbors who live there and park on the street.

MS. CUNHA: Perfect.

MR. PEREIRA: I mean, I think that most parents -- my son takes music lessons at the music store just a door or two down from you. I don't stick around. I literally pull up, drop him off, go, and one minute before he gets out I pull up and pick him up and we go. So hopefully most parents will do that because most parents that's why they're using your services. They probably want the hour or two of freedom.

So, kids, I'm just kidding.

But yes, I think you're the type of business, you know -- to the residents concerned, I really, you know, if you were a business that operated 9:00 to 5:00 and had customers coming in, parking and staying, let's say an accountant, or an attorney, or even a nail salon. I mean, you're in the type of business where these kids, they don't drive themselves there. They're going to get dropped off and the parents are most likely going to go.

MS. CUNHA: Thank you.

1	MR. PEREIRA: Honestly, I can't think of a
2	better use of that space. It's not going to produce any
3	odors, not going to produce any noise, and I can't think
4	of a use that would produce less parking problems than
5	that, other than the empty storefront.
6	I applaud you on taking this step.
7	MS. CUNHA: Thank you.
8	MAYOR STRAUSS: Anything else you'd like to
9	add, Ms. Cunha?
10	MS. CUNHA: No.
11	MAYOR STRAUSS: Anything from the rest of the
12	Board?
13	MR. WALSH: Just that what we're here for is
14	land use. This is a good use of the land. That's our
15	responsibility here, the land use. I think that you'll
16	be a very good use of the land, and I'd be happy to talk
17	to your application.
18	MAYOR STRAUSS: I'll entertain a motion to
19	approve.
20	MR. WALSH: Motion.
21	MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Walsh.
22	Second?
23	MR. PEREIRA: Second.
24	MAYOR STRAUSS: Second by Trustee Pereira.
25	Mr. Scalero, poll the Board.

1	MR. SCALERO: Trustee Durham?
2	MR. DURHAM: Yes.
3	MR. SCALERO: Trustee Pereira?
4	MR. PEREIRA: Yes.
5	MR. SCALERO: Trustee Cusato?
6	MR. CUSATO: Yes.
7	MR. SCALERO: Trustee Walsh?
8	MR. WALSH: Yes.
9	MR. SCALERO: Mayor Strauss?
10	MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes.
11	MS. CUNHA: Thank you.
12	MAYOR STRAUSS: Congratulations. But as Deputy
13	Mayor Pereira said, work closely with the Building
14	Department. We are looking to create storefronts that
15	are looking the same and there's a standard there.
16	Although it's not up to Jericho Turnpike yet, we'd
17	appreciate it if you could accommodate us in our quest.
18	And also, if you could reach out to the Chamber
19	of Commerce, you'll find that they're a very good
20	networking organization and you might see a lot of
21	benefit by getting involved with that organization.
22	And the last thing, other than saying thank you
23	for choosing Mineola for your business, would be to
24	please, if you're not already on our web site, take the
25	opportunity to receive e-mails and text messages about

1	sanitation schedule changes and things. Please adhere to
2	that. It's greatly appreciated. We hate to have
3	three-day weekends where your trash might be out on
4	Saturday all the way through Tuesday. It's a problem for
5	us, and we are working very diligently to rectify it.
6	Thank you very much. Congratulations.
7	MS. CUNHA: Thank you very much.
8	MAYOR STRAUSS: Best of luck to you. If you
9	need anything, please reach out to us.
10	MS. CUNHA: Thank you.
11	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
12	* * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Scalero?

MR. SCALERO: Yes, sir.

"Legal Notice, Public Hearing, Incorporated Village of Mineola.

"Please take Notice that the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Mineola will hold a Public Hearing on Wednesday, November 14, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. at the Village Hall, 155 Washington Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501, or at some other location to be hereafter designated by the Board of Trustees, in order to receive public comment upon the following:

"Application of Zambrano Architectural Design for a Special Use Permit pursuant to Chapter 550 of the Code of the Incorporated Village of Mineola, entitled 'Zoning', Section 550-5.I for the construction of a four-story mixed-use building upon the property known as 86-88 Main Street, Mineola, New York, known and designated on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map as Section 9, Block 426, Lots 2 and 3.

"The Village of Mineola does not discriminate on the basis of handicapped status in administration or access to or employment in its projects and activities.

Joseph R. Scalero has been directed to coordinate compliance with non-discrimination requirements of the Federal Revenue Sharing regulations.

"At this scheduled meeting of its Board of 1 Trustees reserved decisions from previous meetings, if 2 any, may be acted upon by the Board of Trustees. "At the aforesaid time and place all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard. 5 "By Order of the Board of Trustees of the 6 7 Incorporated Village of Mineola. Joseph R. Scalero, Village Clerk. 8 9 "Dated October 15, 2018." 10 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. 11 Mr. Whalen, report on mailings? 12 MR. WHALEN: Yes, Mayor. The Affidavit of Mailing Notice has been submitted, signed and notarized. 13 14 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. Mr. Gibbons. 15 MR. GIBBONS: Yes, Mayor. I would offer the 16 following resolution: 17 Whereas the Incorporated Village of Mineola 18 Board of Trustees has received a Special Use Permit 19 application on behalf of Puccio Properties, LLC for a 20 21 Development Incentive Bonus pursuant to Section 550-5.I of the Municipal Code of the Incorporated Village of 22 Mineola to allow the development of a four-story 23 mixed-use building with retail on the first floor and 11 24

residential apartment units above at the property located

25

at 86-88 Main Street, Mineola, the Board has also 1 received an application for site plan approval and an 2 3 application for architectural approval; And whereas, in accordance with 6 NYCRR 617.6 4 (a) and (b), the Board of Trustees has reviewed the 5 proposed action and has hereby determined that the same б is an unlisted action under SEQR; 7 And whereas, the Board of Trustees seeks to 8 commence a coordinated environmental review of the 9 proposed project under the New York State Environmental 10 Quality Review Act; 11 Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Board 12 of Trustees hereby declares its intent to act as lead 13 agency in a coordinated review of the proposed project. 14 I ask for a motion on that resolution. 15 MAYOR STRAUSS: Can I have a motion? 16 MR. PERETRA: Motion. 17 MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Pereira. 18 19 Second? 20 MR. WALSH: Second. 2.1 MAYOR STRAUSS: Trustee Walsh. All in favor? 22 23 MR. DURHAM: Aye. 24 MR. PEREIRA: Aye. 25 MR. CUSATO: Aye.

MR. WALSH: Aye. 1 MAYOR STRAUSS: Aye. 2 Opposed? 3 Carried. 4 MR. GIBBONS: Mayor, this application has also 5 been referred to the Nassau County Planning Commission, 6 7 as we're required to do by law. Nassau County Planning Commission has reviewed this application, has asked for 8 additional documentation from the applicant, and that 9 process is ongoing. 10 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. 11 Ladies and gentlemen, this will be the same 12 format for this evening's hearing: The applicants will 13 make their presentation and we'll have an interaction 14 15 with the Board, and at which time, after that, we'll open up to public comment. 16 Again, I ask anybody who is approaching the 17 podium to please speak slowly, clearly, state your name 18 and your address for the record. 19 Sir. 20 MR. SILVA: Good evening. 21 Mayor Strauss, Members of the Board, my name is 22 Marco Silva. I'm at 221 Mineola Boulevard in Mineola. 23 We are here tonight with the owner of the 24 25 property, Joe Puccio. Back there we have traffic

engineer Sean Mulryan, from Mulryan Engineering, and Willie Zambrano, the architect. They each can speak to their respective areas of expertise.

We have already prepared and submitted

Part 1 of the EAF, which is to assist the Village with

its due diligence and environmental review under SEQR.

The property is in the B-2 Special Business

District of downtown as modified by the Development

Incentive Bonus Overlay District. The very reason for creation of the Overlay District was as an acknowledgment that the needed development would have been unachievable under the old building code.

This application is made pursuant to Village
Zoning Code 550-5.I, which is also known as the
Development Incentive Bonus Law, which authorizes the
Board of Trustees to consider each application on a case
by case basis in light of the overall vision for the
Overlay District as set forth in the Comprehensive Master
Plan which was commissioned by the Village of Mineola and
the downtown revitalization efforts undertaken by the
Village.

In order to accomplish this the Board is authorized to ease various technical use and dimensional requirements under the code which would otherwise render any such revitalization efforts impossible. In exchange

applicants are to provide either public amenities or facilities, or payments in lieu, again on a case by case basis, in light of the size and scope of the development and the degree of relief being requested.

In this case the applicant is requesting relaxations for setbacks, lot and floor area dimensions, height, and parking. Of course we can't do anything about the preexisting lots, which are small. They're 25 by 90's. We have already submitted with the Nassau County Department of Assessment, back in June, a petition for lot grouping, combining lots 2 and 3 into a 50 by 90 lot.

By way of history, 86 Main Street was a former theater, which explains its odd configuration, therefore limiting its viable uses, and it remains vacant right now. 88 was demolished -- there was a building there -- demolished in 1974. I don't know what was there. It's gone.

This application is to replace the existing building with a new transit-oriented mixed-use building with retail or commercial use on the ground floor and residential apartments above, similar to what currently exists in the buildings in this area and also fully consistent with the Comprehensive Master Plan.

The Master Plan, in its recommendations

2.

1.8

section, tells us that in order to create a vibrant walkable and accessible downtown that the Village should strive to enhance the walkable character of the downtown, including contextual modification of regulations in the downtown zones. For example, requiring -- and I quote -- new downtown construction with no setbacks in areas where this condition is prevalent, thus retaining the existing streetwall. This application obviously accomplishes that.

The Master Plan further suggests promotion of what they call infill development by acknowledging that vacant lots and breaks in retail frontage detract from the downtown's pedestrian quality and unity, and suggesting that incentives should be provided to encourage new development on smaller vacant lots, which could include parking waivers, zero-lot-line development, waivers of building height requirements, etcetera.

Again, we do all of those things.

The existing building sits in a toothless gap between its two neighbors. What I have submitted up there, and we have here, this is for the public. You all have this already. So our building is right there. It's that gap that's right here between the two buildings.

So we propose to fill that gap in, continue the existing streetwall, and aesthetically it will seamlessly

/

continue the roofline across both adjoining buildings, consistent with the spirit and intent of the Master Plan.

It also suggests specifically that zoning rules should be adjusted to allow three stories of housing and live and work space above the stores, which adds to the street life which in turn can increase safety and provide additional customers for businesses. That was on page 62, paragraph 11, of the Master Plan.

The proposed development provides two stories of housing over a portion of the ground floor and a further reduced third story above that. Both are set back from the front and the ground planes, the front and rear planes of the building, thereby conservatively meeting both of these requirements of the Master Plan.

This last top floor was also arrived at as a result of feedback that we had gotten from the Village. The initial application was for a taller building. That fourth floor is set back, like I said, from the front and from the back. It's barely visible from the front.

The application, as we went along,
modifications were made. It is now carefully tailored to
precisely fit within the parameters set forth in the
Master Plan, including intentional variations from
certain building code requirements specifically
contemplated by the plan, the goal of which was to

1.9

transform this area into a vibrant downtown walkable area that could support a variety of complementary retail, commercial and residential uses. It was also an acknowledgment of the need to create more quality housing targeted at young professionals, commuters, empty-nesters looking to downsize and seeking freedom from the burdens of home ownership. Directing this demographic with greater disposable income, smaller space requirements and lower parking demands to the center of the downtown will advance its revitalization. Consequently, the residential portion of the building is exclusively single bedroom apartments.

The ground floor has 13-foot ceilings and will be used for retail or commercial purposes. That's going to be determined by the eventual tenant. As a transit-oriented development, it is within walking distance of the train station, the intermodal center, as well as the hospital, courts, government buildings, and downtown shopping and dining. It would be a benefit for the existing downtown businesses and another important step towards fulfilling the vision of the Village's Master Plan and revitalization efforts.

As we are all aware, the businesses in our downtown struggle in the evenings and weekends, during which there is an abundance of parking but no customers.

Projects like this offer their best chance of survival.

These projects, therefore, are supported by the Chamber of Commerce as it makes the prospects for anyone opening businesses in the proximity more viable.

1.7

2.3

We've all heard about our population decline on Long Island, where the high costs are driving away young and old alike, and there is a need for this type of housing. The proposed building will contribute to increases in economic, social and cultural activity in our downtown, and will not negatively impact any of our residential areas. In fact, it will increase our tax base. It is in character with the neighborhood and is entirely consistent with the recommendations contained in the Comprehensive Master Plan, with no adverse impacts to the surrounding area.

I'd also like to point out that we have no IDAs, no PILOTs. The Board knows what that is. For the public, in terms of Industrial Development Agencies and Payments In Lieu Of Taxes, they reduce the taxes that the building might be paying. We will be paying full taxes from the get-go.

We're also going to be setting aside the necessary workforce housing, as required by General Municipal Law Section 689, which is also known as the Long Island Workforce Housing Act. I would also like to

point out to the public that this is not a form of taxpayer-subsidized housing. In order to qualify, the tenant needs to show that they can pay themselves. The program is actually designed to assist households to afford quality homes in proximity to their employment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, as a result of ongoing feedback with the Village we've made a couple of more recent changes. We had initially proposed a rooftop pavilion that had a That is being omitted. height of 10 feet. also a rooftop elevator access which required a 15-foot bulkhead for access. That's been substituted with a shorter structure for walk-up access; however the pavilion feature, as included, was set back from the front 28 feet. The bulkhead for the elevator or walk-up, either way, is also 20 feet. I don't think you can see either one of those from the street if they were The idea has been to add them as an added included. value feature for the tenants, hoping to make it a more high-end type of an environment.

Also, as I said before, none of the residential stories fully extend to the back. They are 20-feet set back from the ground. The ground floor goes all the way to the back, the mechanicals would be for HVAC on the top there, and then 20 feet back is where the first floor would go up and would go from there all the way to the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

top. At the front it goes from the front up to the third floor and then set back 10 feet on the fourth floor, which also is barely visible from the street, and you can see from there. The important thing being that we made an effort to connect the top of each neighbor directly so it appears to be one continuous roofline.

Couple of other things also that the Board is certainly aware of, but people in the audience may not The oft-cited Rutgers report, where they looked at transit-oriented developments, TOD's, and what they did is they, in five different states, searched 32 TOD's and ended up with a multiplier of .03 pupils per unit. for 100 units you'd have three students. They went through five states searching through projects. that are actually in areas like this, where there's a major train station, actually end up being even lower. So there are some that are .02 in New Jersey. probably be somewhere in that area. So, given our 11 single units, we would probably have zero students and pay full taxes. So it's a windfall for the school district and no impact on the school district that's negative.

That study also points out that TOD residents are twice as likely not to own any car, and own half as many cars as their metro region counterparts. This was

1.8

Confirmed by another study that was recently done by

Nassau County called the Infill Redevelopment Feasibility

Study, where they found also that the residents are twice

as likely not to own a car, and they also found that they

are five times more likely to commute by train. They

also point out that TOD households generate 50 percent

less traffic than conventional housing.

More recently, in November of 2017, the
Regional Plan Association recommended zoning around
transit hubs that must allow these types of developments
and the densities that can support local retail and
commercial services. They point out the declining rate
of car ownership and licensing among younger people, and
conclude that these shifts mean the traditional parking
requirements are generally excessive.

In terms of amenities, we have proposed some. I don't know if we need to discuss any more tonight, or if we should do this after the meeting, but we had proposed -- in order to not take away spaces from other commuters with parking passes -- that we would prohibit our residents from having access to the commuter parking passes.

We also offered to do some streetscape, which

-- two different pieces -- streetscaping and landscaping.

So streetscape: In order to continue that wall at the

front that's more friendly to walking, eliminate the curb 1 cut and also continue on -- instead of the concrete pad 2 that's there now -- with the pavers. At the end of the 3 street -- where they're going to close that off once the 4 grade crossing is eliminated -- we propose to put in some 5 landscaping there to beautify the area. б That's about it. If there are any questions. 7 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. 8 Do you need to present anybody else as part of 9 10 your team? So we have traffic and we 11 MR. SILVA: Yes. have architecture. I'm sure you're going to have 12 questions for both. 13 MAYOR STRAUSS: All right. Do you want to have 14 15 them make a presentation, or do you want to hear our questions first? 16 17 MR. SILVA: Sure. Questions, and I'll pull them up as I need them. 18 19 MAYOR STRAUSS: Parking. MR. SILVA: Parking, of course. 20 MAYOR STRAUSS: You know, we understand that 21 there's a parking challenge everywhere in the Village and 22 23 certainly, as virtual lifelong residents, everyone of us here, we've seen as the Village has morphed, but we'd 24

like -- and, listen, I know that even with the vacancy of

25

one store, and if there was a reason to put a security guard in that store for some reason to watch that vacant store it would increase traffic and it would increase people there, but what's your plan for parking in that area where there is virtually none.

MR. MULRYAN: My name is Sean Mulryan of Mulryan Engineering. Offices at 1225 Franklin Avenue, Garden City, New York.

I have a copy of a report that I prepared discussing the parking. I would like to submit it to the Board and then I will give you a brief overview of what we have in the study.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Sure.

MR. MULRYAN: I understand that the Board is certainly well aware of the area, but I do have an aerial exhibit that I would like to put up so we can make reference to it. To my left, your right, there's a photograph that highlights the subject site and the surrounding area.

As you mentioned, this was a challenge. When we first got the phone call to work on this project we had a few reservations. I will say that in November of 2016, as I'm sure you're aware, a comprehensive parking study was prepared by Level G Associates which incorporated not only this area but the entire Village of

1.9

2.3

Mineola. We looked at that report. We also did field counts just to verify what was stated in that report. As you indicated, this area during the day, during the week, is heavily occupied and there is very little parking available.

The units that are being proposed here are one bedroom and studio apartments. We include within the report data from the U.S. Census Bureau which indicates that approximately 15 percent of households in Mineola that are renter-occupied do not have vehicles. We are marketing to those individuals.

MR. CUSATO: I can't believe that.

MR. MULRYAN: Well that's why --

MR. CUSATO: You know what, I can't believe that.

MR. MULRYAN: That's why I like to print out the information from the Census Bureau, so people don't accuse me of making those things up.

But actually, this is one of the things that we run into in different phases of life obviously. I have a single-family home. I have two cars in my driveway, but at one point in time I had a smaller apartment, and those are the people that are going to be living above stores on Main Street, we envision.

Now the other aspect or other challenge that we

рt

had in front of us is that there are several ongoing projects in close proximity to the subject site.

1.3

As I'm sure you're aware, this aerial photograph shows a building that no longer exists. That site is under construction, and it is the Mineola Village Green. As part of that project there is parking that was on-street that has been eliminated temporarily due to that ongoing project. So those parking spaces are on Second, across from these restaurants, and some of the parking on Front Street, or North Station Plaza, whichever you prefer.

The removal of those parking spaces increased the lack of availability. The supply of parking went down, and the number of construction workers coming to the site -- some park on-site, some spillover into the roadway -- increased the demand in the area.

So the numbers that are represented in the report also reflect that Village lot number 5 is now fenced off. So in the 2016 report that was prepared by Level G Associates it indicated that that parking lot, as well as other parking lots, had a high utilization. So those 120 spots that are now off-line, those vehicles are now parked elsewhere within this area. So currently, as it sits today -- and this building is not occupied and it's not under construction -- the parking challenges

that are in this area have been enhanced.

Now in 2016 there were already indications that the parking was tight in these areas. Since 2016 the Village has taken steps to improve parking conditions. Parking lot number five, which I mentioned, is fenced off. It's my understanding that the parking garage is being built there to replace the parking lot that was previously there. That is going to increase the parking, not necessarily on this block, but in the area in proximity to this location.

There are also plans for a potential parking lot directly to the rear of our subject site. Again, these new parking garages, the availability of on-street parking once the existing ongoing construction projects are removed, will increase the supply in the area and should ease congestion.

Again, those matters do not address the fact that we do not provide on-site parking. Again, we are looking to market these units to people that work at the hospital, use the trains, work on Old Country Road at the courthouses or other businesses in the area.

Again, if this site, being 50 by 90, was used as a parking lot and you had a 20-foot parking stall and 24-foot aisle behind it, you would essentially have no room for a building. So the size of the lot is causing

some portion of the issue. You may be able to find some parking spaces, but then the size of the building essentially whittles down to something very small and not feasible from an economic standpoint.

So again, we faced several challenges in preparing the report, and we did follow in the footsteps of a much larger report that was prepared, not only for this area but for the overall Village. The Village has taken steps to improve the parking in this area. We believe that when and if this project is approved it will come online at a point where the other projects have been finished. There will be a new parking garage. The on-street parking within the area will be open again to employees, residents, customers of the restaurants in the surrounding area.

So we believe that the timing of this project is good because we're looking to envelop or develop within to a new look of this area. This area is obviously going to go through some changes above and beyond these with the third rail project that's coming through from Long Island Railroad. So there are going to be a number of changes that happen here. We're looking to be a positive change along Main Street.

We believe that the building fits in with the properties next to it, which will also have retail or

office on the bottom and residential on the top. This is a building that has deteriorated somewhat over time and has an empty lot next door. We're looking to create a comprehensive look to Main Street and connect the buildings to the north and south of this subject site.

So that's a brief overview of my report and some of the review that we've done of the previous studies. I'd be happy to try to answer any questions you may have.

MAYOR STRAUSS: So I appreciate that you mentioned you were going to look to -- Mr. Silva, you were going to look to eliminate and prevent any occupants of the building to purchase commuter passes. They're not going to be able to avail themselves of the lots. The stores and the people that live above them -- maybe not so much the stores, but the people that live above them -- in Nassau County it's virtually unrealistic that you can live without a car. It's nice to go to and from work, but you need to shop in the area. In this case there's no supermarket that's in the area. There is one walkable, Western Beef.

Is there any way that you can address the lack of parking, other than banking on the parking garage behind the property that may or may not happen.

MR. MULRYAN: Again, as we stated, the only

other option that a resident would have if they worked during the day -- so if I chose to live at this building, owned a vehicle and I left during the day, I could come back at night when the parking restrictions have ended and use the parking lots. The commuter parking comes online, I'll say, at 6:00 o'clock in the morning. So it would be difficult for me to live there unless those were the hours I had.

Now once again, as you move into an apartment, those are issues that you look into. When you rent an office building, one of the primary things that you're looking to is how much parking am I going to have within this lot. So those are options that tenants have prior to renting. So it's not a fact that you rent the apartment and then you turn around and say: Oh my goodness, I have no place to put my car. It's a known issue as you come into the building. And like any other property, location drives real estate, and it would be a factor that is known before you sign the lease.

MAYOR STRAUSS: OK.

Mr. Silva, the developer is aware of the significant construction that's going to be happening, not only that is going on in that area, but will be happening in the very near future. When is he looking to -- if approved -- look to put this building -- start to

put it together.

1.9

MR. SILVA: Well, if approved, we have to act on it within a certain amount of time. If we're able to get a certain amount of time, we have already discussed delaying as much as three years, if that's necessary and as long as it doesn't cause a problem with the expiration of the permit. But otherwise, best case scenario -- I don't know if you want to come up and speak to that issue -- the quickest it would happen would be at least a year to begin with.

MR. WALSH: Say that again. I didn't hear you.

MR. SILVA: Even if we were trying to do it as quickly as possible, it would probably be about a year before we got started. But we were already willing to wait up to three years so that all these other developments, the construction is done, and hopefully the parking garage is up, and some of these issues are alleviated.

And also, to repeat what Mr. Mulryan was saying, is if you're coming in as a tenant, perspective, you already know what the situation is. So you're not going to be coming in as a family with children, with two cars, and even consider this building. This is single bedrooms. And I already know that if I'm going to live here, and it's near the train station, I probably don't

1 have a car. Otherwise I'm not going to be living here. I could go to the Village Green, where there is parking, 2 3 or somewhere else where there is parking. MAYOR STRAUSS: Just so you know and you're 4 aware, probably in the second quarter of 2019 there's 5 going to be a significant sewer project on Main Street 6 there in front of that building. That would certainly 7 8 impact any building construction you have going on, as well as the third track on the heels of that. 9 MR. SILVA: Yes. We would have to work around 10 that and, like I said, we could continue to hold the 11 building and only start construction two or three years 12 from now, which should get us past that point of 13 difficulty. 14 MAYOR STRAUSS: You also mentioned the ten 15 percent set-aside for affordable or workforce housing. 16 17 MR. SILVA: Yes. MAYOR STRAUSS: Will the apartments that you're 18 looking to build, will they have amenities in them? Will 19 20 they be furnished with any kind of appliances? MR. SILVA: They'll have basic appliances. 21 They're not fully furnished. 22 MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. 23 No. That's what I meant. Furnished with appliances, refrigerators. 24

MR. SILVA: They'll be similar to every other

25

unit.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Will they be of the same quality as the fully priced apartments?

MR. SILVA: Yes.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Deputy Mayor?

MR. PEREIRA: Thank you, Mayor.

You know, there's a lot for us to take in here. I'm glad to hear, because I think it's to your benefit, to the benefit of the neighborhood and to the benefit of the Village, if we do hold off until all of these other pieces come together, because at that point you may realize or finally realize that it's not viable.

The sewer project on Main Street, it would be advisable for you to start construction after that because you don't want to have this brand new building and all this and then we're tearing up the street and doing that. As well as the third track. As well as the Village Green. And I think once all those pieces, you know, fall in, that you could better assess the value of this investment.

And I will say this: That we all go down there quite a bit. Nobody likes looking at an empty lot and a closed up business. We do understand that parking is a challenge especially, as the gentleman just mentioned, during this time where we have a parking lot that is

fenced off waiting for a parking garage. We have a 1 building that is under construction, probably about a 2 year out. I think once those things go online, the 3 street parking is returned. Once the parking garage on 4 Harrison goes online, that's going to alleviate some of 5 this. 6 And my question to you is: The place that was 7 there, the last place I think was called Buccelli Uomo, 8 9 right? MR. SILVA: Yes. 10 MR. PEREIRA: How many parking spots do they 11 have? 12 MR. SILVA: I think they had the vacant lot 13 next door. 14 MR. PEREIRA: Right, but let's say if they 1.5 didn't own the vacant lot. 16 MR. SILVA: Nobody has any parking in the area. 17 MR. PEREIRA: Right. So let's set this aside. 18 This doesn't get approved. Somebody else comes in there 19 and opens up a men's clothing store. How many parking 20 spots do they have to provide? 2.1 MR. SILVA: Well if we're looking under the 22 code, nobody in the area can meet the requirements. 23 That's just the reality of it, and maybe that has 24 something to do with why it remains vacant. I don't 25

1	know. I think the owner can speak to that better than I
2	can. But if a new tenant comes in and they're required
3	to meet the current under Special Use Permit
4	parking, they can't either. So basically it stays
5	vacant.
6	MR. PEREIRA: Mr. Gibbons, what could go down
7	there as of right?
8	MR. GIBBONS: I would have to ask the Building
9	Inspector, but I think if you got an application for
10	retail he would grandfather retail as to parking.
11	MR. WHALEN: Correct.
12	MR. PEREIRA: So if a nail salon went in there,
13	they would not have to meet the parking requirement.
14	MR. GIBBONS: I believe that's correct.
15	MR. WHALEN: Right.
16	MR. PEREIRA: And I assume, Mr. Silva, that
17	currently your client is paying taxes on an empty lot and
18	on a closed up building
19	MR. SILVA: Yes.
20	MR. PEREIRA: Full taxes.
21	MR. SILVA: On both.
22	MR. PEREIRA: But based on what's there now.
23	MR. SILVA: Correct.
24	MR. PEREIRA: Do you have any idea I know
25	this is probably, you know, you don't have any idea but

1	obviously, if this were built, those taxes would increase
2	significantly.
3	MR. SILVA: Correct.
4	MR. PEREIRA: You're not going to go to the
5	IDA.
6	MR. SILVA: There's no IDA. There's no PILOT.
7	MR. PEREIRA: No PILOT. No tax abatements.
8	MR. SILVA: We'll be paying full taxes.
9	MR. PEREIRA: Full taxation.
10	MR. SILVA: Correct.
11	MR. PEREIRA: From day one.
12	MR. SILVA: Yes.
13	MR. PEREIRA: That's it for now, Mayor.
14	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
15	Trustee Cusato?
16	MR. CUSATO: Thank you.
17	Good evening, Mr. Silva.
18	MR. SILVA: Good evening.
19	MR. CUSATO: You know what, after hearing that
20	prep report, I'm not a happy guy. I can't believe that
21	you're going to ask all those residents not to buy a car.
22	I can't believe that, but I have other questions.
23	So is the depth of this building, from Main to
24	the parking lot, going to be the same depth as the
25	existing building? I think it's 90 feet.

MR. SILVA: Well the front -- in order to keep 1 that streetwall, the front has to start where it is. 2 MR. CUSATO: OK. 3 MR. SILVA: So we're going to ignore the 4 setback requirements because it wouldn't work anyway. 5 The back goes nearly to the end. The current 6 building --7 MR. CUSATO: The plans show 90 feet. Is it 90 8 9 feet --MR. SILVA: Right. 10 MR. CUSATO: -- for the existing building? 11 MR. SILVA: The existing building, I'm not sure 12 how far it goes back right now. It looks like it goes 13 all the way to the back as well. Yes, it already goes 14 all the way to the back. 15 MR. CUSATO: So that the cyclone fence is still 16 going to basically be there? It's not going to be moved? 17 You're not going to encroach on the parking lot that's 18 there? 19 MR. SILVA: No, Not at all. 20 See, everything is built completely within our 21 50 by 90. So the existing building is now 25 by 90. The 22 ground floor stays at that same dimension. The floors 23 above it are set back by 20 feet all the way at the back, 24

and an additional 10 feet at the front to the top floor.

25

MR. CUSATO: OK.

MR. SILVA: And just to go back to not asking our tenants not to buy cars, it wouldn't be that way. It would be: A perspective tenant looking at the building with a car might not consider it. So the people that are going to be attracted to be in this building are not going to have cars in the first place.

MR. CUSATO: I can't believe that. I can't believe that you're going to rent and say: Oh, by the way, you can rent this apartment but you can't have a car. I can't believe that.

So I want to go back -- so originally you were going to have a restaurant on the ground floor of the original plan?

MR. SILVA: I think that was the idea because they had read through the Master Plan and saw what the idea was, and there was a walkable area with retail and restaurants, and the restaurant sounded like a good idea. They had not considered the additional parking requirements that a restaurant provides.

MR. CUSATO: That's my point. So --

MR. SILVA: And we can't make applications for the restaurant because we're not going to operate it. I can't tell you about hours of operation, what I'm going to do with my garbage, etcetera. So it's just a generic

as of right use down there for now.

2.

MR. CUSATO: So a restaurant would require more parking than for retail.

MR. SILVA: Right.

MR. CUSATO: So was your decision not to do restaurant based on parking requirements, or you thought it was a better fit for Main Street doing retail?

MR. SILVA: Just because we are not operating the main floor. We don't know who will come in. Whoever does come in, if they want to go for a Special Use Permit for whatever use they want, they can do that, but otherwise it's just a retail space with lower parking requirements.

MR. CUSATO: OK. So you know what, just as a final statement: You know what, maybe you guys should wait until that parking lot behind Fox's is built and then you know where you stand before you do this because, you know, I am totally upset with the -- no disrespect, Mr. Mulryan but, you know what, the place is mobbed as it is right now, and I can't believe that you're going to require 45 parking spots. Maybe we should wait until our parking garage is built before you go any further.

MR. SILVA: Well it is vacant now and has been for some time.

MR. CUSATO: I'm sorry?

MR. SILVA: It is vacant now and it has been 1 for some time, the building. 2 MR. CUSATO: Yes, but now we're looking for a 3 spot, 44 parking spots. And you know what, again, maybe 4 we should wait until we see what's developed. 5 Thank you, Mayor. 6 MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. 7 Trustee Durham? 8 MR. DURHAM: Thank you. 9 One of my questions with the building and the 10 power lines in front and the parking garage in the back, 11 if you do wait, how are you going to build it? 12 Is there going to be room to take and get the 13 construction equipment actually in there to take and 14 build it? 15 MR. SILVA: I'm not the builder. 16 17 Mr. Puccio, if you have any idea as to how? It's beyond my scope of expertise as to what 18 kind of cranes or what kind of equipment is necessary to 19 build. But presumably, if it's not possible to build, 20 they won't build. 21 MR. PUCCIO: Good evening. 22 Joseph Puccio, 86 Main Street, Mineola. 23 As far as the power lines, there are power 24 lines in front of the building, but there aren't any 25

1 power lines in back of the building. And the new garage will be set back from the back of the building by one 2 spot of parking and a road that will lead to the new 3 garage. The garage is not going to be right up against 4 5 the back of the building. There's going to be some distance between the two. 6 MAYOR STRAUSS: Have you seen plans, because I 7 haven't seen them. 8 9 MR. PUCCIO: Oh, I'm sorry. For the garage. MAYOR STRAUSS: No. The 10 11 parking garage. MR. PUCCIO: It's right here. 12 MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes. No. But those are 13 conceptual drawings. They're not hard and fast. So just 14 15 be advised of that. MR. PUCCIO: OK. Well this is all that I could 16 17 go by. MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. 18 19 MR. DURHAM: So you would do the construction from the back of the property --20 MR. PUCCIO: Correct. 21 MR. DURHAM: -- coming in. 22 23 MR. PUCCIO: Correct. MR. DURHAM: Because of the power lines. 24 MR. PUCCIO: Also because Main Street is so 25

small. 1. MR. DURHAM: Yes. 2 So and if that parking garage is being built, 3 I'm sure that road and stuff like that is going to be 4 fenced off during the time of construction. So there's 5 going to be conflicts. 6 7 MR. PUCCIO: Well I did mention, which Marco mentioned, I'm willing to wait. I'm willing to wait to 8 build the building, you know, as long as necessary. As 9 long as I know that eventually I'm able to build the 10 building. 11 MR. DURHAM: And now, with this picture that we 12 just received tonight with the setback --13 MR. PUCCIO: Yes. 14 MR. DURHAM: -- on the top floor, that's just 15 10 feet? 16 MR. PUCCIO: It's set back from the front, 17 correct. 18 MR. DURHAM: It's set back 10 feet. 19 MR. PUCCIO: And 20 feet from the back. 20 MR. DURHAM: The level of height from the base 21 to the top part, that section on the setback. 22 MR. PUCCIO: Yes, my architect. 23 MR. ZAMBRANO: Good evening, Board of Trustees. 24 Thank you for allowing me to talk on behalf of the 25

application. My name is Willie Zambrano. I'm the architect of record. My office is in Freeport, 410 Atlantic Avenue in Freeport.

The building will come up to 35 feet and it will set back at that point 10 feet to allow for the fourth floor to go up.

MR. DURHAM: OK. Because I think with the 10-foot setback that you'll still see it from the street. I know that some of the other construction that's doing similar stuff like this, whatever, has a 15-foot setback that I've seen. So that's --

MR. ZAMBRANO: We actually took that rendering and remodeled, and we took an eye-level shot from that angle across the street. So you do see a little bit of a parapet there on the top, but that's as far as you can see from that model that we produced.

MR. DURHAM: I mean, I do have an issue with the parking, but I do understand that there's a lot of young people that don't drive. They Uber and do other things. But to see that in the Village, we haven't seen that yet. So that's something to see.

That's it.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Trustee Walsh?

MR. WALSH: Yes. Thank you, Mayor.

1	Mr. Silva, when you're ready.
2	How are you, Mr. Silva?
3	MR. SILVA: Good.
4	MR. WALSH: OK. Can you tell me the property
5	owner's name? I didn't get that.
6	MR. SILVA: Puccio, Joe Puccio. Well, that's
7	not the he is Josephine Puccio is the owner.
8	They're both LLCs. Joe Puccio is the applicant.
9	MR. WALSH: OK. And you had said that your
10	first application, the first rendering that you sent to
11	us, was larger.
12	MR. SILVA: Correct.
13	MR. WALSH: But actually that was the second
14	rendering. The first rendering that I saw was two floors
15	above the store and even with the building which is
16	immediately south, which would be 84 Main Street. I did
17	see that. You sent that.
18	MR. SILVA: 84 is three stories?
19	MR. WALSH: It was two stories above the
20	MR. SILVA: No, but 84 is three. The first
21	application on this was five and then
22	MR. WALSH: The first application, but you had
23	sent us other pictures prior to that with something with
24	three stories.
25	MR. SILVA: We have a few different variations

1	because we submitted the first one. We had discussions
2	to figure out does this work, doesn't it work.
3	MR. WALSH: Right. Well I liked the first one.
4	MR. SILVA: The five-story?
5	MR. WALSH: No, not the five-story. No. No.
6	That's the second one. The first one was three stories.
7	Two stories above it was a brick building with two
8	stories above a store. I saw that picture. I mean
9	MR. SILVA: I wasn't involved during that part
.0	of it.
1	MR. WALSH: Somebody did submit that. The
L2	Village Attorney showed me pictures.
.3	Without judgment, could you talk a little bit
.4	to me about the size of these units?
.5	There are 11 units. Describe the square
-6	footage.
7	MR. SILVA: So they're all different. They're
.8	all in the range and correct me if I'm wrong six,
9	seven hundred square feet, approximately, each. They're
20	made to be single
21	MR. WALSH: OK. Let's start at the smallest
22	ones.
23	MR. SILVA: You want specifics?
24	MR. WALSH: Yeah.
25	MR. SILVA: OK. You want to come up and speak

about that?

But before you have the actual numbers, the reason that we kept them that way, they're small, is to be single bedrooms. They're designed specifically to attract young professionals, commuters, empty-nesters, people who no longer need the space, just for that reason. That is what transit oriented development is supposed to be targeted towards. So that's why they're small. We don't want two bedrooms, three bedrooms in there because that's going to attract somebody who needs parking.

MR. WALSH: I understand that, but the code is 1,000 square feet and you're going down to 500.

MR. SILVA: Correct. If you go to 1,000 square feet, then you're going probably to a two bedroom and now you're bringing in people possibly with cars. So that's the reason.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Go ahead.

MR. WALSH: If you could just tell me about a few of the 500 square foot ones. How many?

Talk a little bit about the units.

MR. ZAMBRANO: Sure. The smallest units that you have here, and it's due to the design of --

MR. WALSH: Could you step a little bit back from the microphone because -- thank you. That's good.

MR. ZAMBRANO: Sure.

The reason why the units end up the way they are is strictly by design and because of the emergency egress there that I needed to have within the small space of the building at every floor.

The smallest unit that we have is this unit right here, which is 548 square feet. Then you have the other smallest unit, which is this other unit, that's 572 square feet.

MR. WALSH: We have all this, but I'm just asking you so the public understands.

MR. ZAMBRANO: Sure. Sure. This unit and this unit are almost similar in size. One is 611 square feet and this one is 614 square feet.

We do have a larger unit where we actually set back on the fourth floor. That's at 727 square feet.

And that's the only unit that is larger than all of them.

All of this, these four units, are typical on the second and third floor.

MR. WALSH: Now you described five units to me. How many other units besides these -- how many units in total are in the 500 square foot range, or say 611 and up? You've described --

MR. ZAMBRANO: Three.

MR. WALSH: Three. There's three at 500, and

then how many at 600?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ZAMBRANO: Five.

MR. WALSH: OK. You know, for me some of these units are a little too small. I do like the fact that you -- I like the building that's immediately to the south of this proposed building. I like the look of it. It was changed. It has the facade on the top like the existing structure has. As some people know, that was a movie theater that was developed in 1914, and it's the only thing that remains from that -- and whoever wants to speak can speak, I'm not necessarily addressing you -the only thing that remains is the little facade that's up on the top. Otherwise it's just a squared-off brick building. So to me it doesn't have historical significance because it was a movie theater during World War I, you know, and it's a squared-off brick building. But that facade on the top is nice, as the building to the immediate south has a facade -- or whatever you want to call it on the top -- that kind of matches the old style of the building. Personally -- I'm not the architect. I'm not the designer. I don't own the property. But I would like to see something like that, you know, something that matches the facade that's on the top now. And for me, I like the fact that you made it exactly the same size as that, which is -- the building

to your immediate south is 31 feet 3 inches. So your front is around 32 feet. You say it's set back 10 feet to the next elevation; is that correct?

MR. ZAMBRANO: Correct.

2.3

MR. WALSH: Mr. Durham said 15 feet. For me 15 feet is a little bit better because, you see, you're not just looking up at the 31 feet or 32 feet, you're also seeing that 10 feet of the setback a little more. And a little bit larger units.

I understand that nothing could be developed down there if we were to force the code, and which doesn't exist now. There's currently a store there now. There will be a new store there. They don't have parking. They have metered parking. The next place will have metered parking.

How many apartment units currently exist above the store that's there now; do you know?

MR. ZAMBRANO: I don't know, no.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Silva, do you know how many?

MR. SILVA: Yes. As I said before, it's an odd configuration, this building. It is a single story, although it doesn't look like it. Because it was a movie theater, there's a first floor and there's sort of a balcony inside.

1 MR. ZAMBRANO: A mezzanine.

MR. SILVA: A mezzanine. So it's not -there's no apartments. There's nothing there. It's an
odd building.

MR. WALSH: OK. Because I looked around the building. It looked like there were apartments up there. OK.

MR. SILVA: No, there aren't. It's not possible.

MR. WALSH: OK. So for me, I like the idea that the height is the same as everything else in line on that, both to the north and to the south. That's a unique block that's going to get its traffic in and out of the Village Green. It's going to be deadheaded at the end for the Long Island Railroad. And, you know, it's just a block that's going to get a lot of traffic in and out. It's also going to have a key at the end where it dead-ends.

So I think that something should go in that location. I think that what's there now is somewhat of an eyesore. It's an empty lot with a building that needs to be upgraded, in my opinion. But I think that the units are too small, and I think that the setbacks should be a little bit more.

MR. SILVA: Yes. And in terms of the

aesthetics, I mean, obviously it's a new building. It's going to look great. And if the architectural features are an issue, those can certainly be worked out. They can be discussed, whether it's anything about the windows or continuing the same features. I don't know if you really want to continue from the next building, but those are details. We can certainly work on those.

MR. WALSH: Right, but I just wanted to point

MR. WALSH: Right, but I just wanted to point them out. We are at a hearing, so I wanted to point out the details, You know.

MR. ZAMBRANO: I just wanted to point out that we also looked at the Village as a whole and tried to emulate a lot of buildings that have some sort of traditional brick in them, and we tried to bring that into what we have designed.

So to your point, yes, but not necessarily we want to be different, but we want to have that mixture of certain brick styles on other types of traditional buildings that front on that street.

So to my point, I think a type of brick, bringing in some patterns and some accent details, such as what we did -- if you look closer, we have certain brick patterns that we have put in there. So just to emulate what actually exists in the Village, which I think is tremendous historically, and so we try to

actually emulate some of that. 1 MR. WALSH: You know, I do see those squares 2 that you have on the corners --3 MR. ZAMBRANO: Exactly. 4 MR. WALSH: -- which is similar to what's there 5 6 now. 7 MR. ZAMBRANO: Exactly. MR. WALSH: So that's what I was saying. When 8 I looked at that building and when I looked in -- I was 9 there today. I've been there many times. I took a good 10 look. I see what is exists. I see those squares. I see 11 the way the brick is. You've kind of simulated that 12 here. You repeated that here. 13 But maybe that facade --14 MR. ZAMBRANO: I do appreciate that you have 15 that eye for detail, which I appreciate that very much. 16 MR. WALSH: Well, you know, it's just what's 17 there, and I see that you repeated what was there. I 18 like the brick. I like the look of the building. 19 But again, the setback I think needs to be a 20 little bit further back, and I think the apartment sizes 21 are a little too small. And that's all. 22 Thank you, Mayor. 23 MR. ZAMBRANO: Thank you. 24 25 MR. WALSH: Thank you.

1	MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments from the
2	Board?
3	MR. CUSATO: I do, Mayor.
4	MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes, Mr. Cusato.
5	MR. CUSATO: Mr. Silva, I want you to
6	understand, I want to thank your client for making this
7	proposal. I'm not against the proposal. I'm just
8	concerned with
9	MR. SILVA: Understood.
10	MR. WALSH: how people are going to move
11	around.
12	MR. SILVA: OK. Thank you.
13	MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes, Mr. Pereira.
14	MR. PEREIRA: Yes, Mayor Strauss, if I could,
15	and Mr. Gibbons
16	MR. GIBBONS: Yes.
17	MR. PEREIRA: I don't mean to put you on the
18	spot here.
1.9	MR. GIBBONS: Go ahead.
20	MR. PEREIRA: I've been thinking about the
21	question, and maybe the question is for Mr. Whalen as
22	well.
23	So if the existing building, Buccelli Uomo
24	building, the vacant one that was the theater, if a nail
25	salon went in there and we grandfathered in the lack of

1 parking, how does that work on an empty lot? So the lot next door, there's nothing there 2 3 There's no parking. So how could that be developed now. and still meet the code? What could go there? 4 5 MR. GIBBONS: Well I'm going to take a guess, and the Building Inspector can confirm it for me. 6 7 A retail building could go there, and I would 8 think that the Building Inspector would probably -- since 9 it is a permitted use in a B-2 zone -- permit it to go in without any parking. 10 Am I right or wrong? 11 12 MR. PEREIRA: There's nothing to grandfather 13 It's an empty space. MR. GIBBONS: I know but, if you did not allow 14 15 any use of that property, it would be alienated property. The law abhors alienated property. Therefore, you would 16 have to allow some use. So you allow a permitted use in 17 18 the underlying zoning district --MR. PEREIRA: B-2. 19 20 MR. GIBBONS: -- B-2, and you would probably 2.1 grandfather in the parking. 22 MR. PEREIRA: OK. 23 MR. GIBBONS: Otherwise you would deprive the 24 owner of any use. MR. PEREIRA: Right. 25

I'm certainly not prepared to vote tonight, to approve or disapprove. You know. I still want to hear from the residents, and I want to hear from the local merchants.

But the point is: If this project were to go away and something else goes in there, it could actually be exponentially worse because you could have a business that has clients coming in all day every day and they have to provide zero parking.

And to Mr. Cusato's point --

MR. GIBBONS: Just on that, there's no question that a heavily-trafficked retail use would have substantially more impact during the day than residential.

MR. PEREIRA: That's the point that I'm trying to make.

And to Mr. Cusato's point, because you threw out the number 44, I just want to be clear. The number 44, I believe, was if the restaurant were included, not with just the 11 units, because my understanding is, as long as I've been here, under Development Incentive Bonuses, it's one-and-a-half spots. So technically you're looking at 18 spots or 19 spots, not 44.

MR. SILVA: Correct.

MR. PEREIRA: I just wanted to clarify that.

pt

And to Mr. Cusato's point as well, in terms of not believing that people would go in there without cars, I understand where he's coming from. I have a driveway. I have a family. I have two cars. I have three kids. Eventually they're going to have cars. There's going to be a lot of cars, right? But I think, to the applicant's point, that I'm not going to move in there based on that fact, that there will be no parking for me.

And I also think we need to think of the future. I think Mr. Durham mentioned about millennials and how it's different. You know, when you think about it, Mr. Cusato, the largest cab company in the world, you know how many cabs they have? None. Uber. The largest movie theater in the world, you know how many movie theatres they have? None. Netflix. The largest, you know, retailer in the world does not have a single brick and mortar store. Amazon. So we have to realize that times are changing. And I know it's hard for us to comprehend, living on Long Island, that you're going to have someone living somewhere without a car, but it's completely feasible in this. It is.

And the alternative is dozens, hundreds of people coming to visit a retail space that has an as of right development there.

And I also have to say this, that, you know,

the Master Plan was there for a reason. Some people on this Board participated in the development of the Master Plan, and many of us here have begun to see it to fruition. If this is not allowed to go down there, then what will we do with Main Street and 2nd Street?

б

And I like the idea -- nobody loves history more than I do. I like the idea the Mayor brought up and Mr. Walsh brought up about the historical significance, keeping that same line. I like Main Street. I know that many people, including the applicant, must be thinking we're talking about, you know, 35 feet and you have a 100-foot structure, you know, 50 feet away from me. What are we talking about?

Well Main Street for us, I think, is different.

Main Street is -- we want it to have that feel of Main

Street. And I think, without getting too much into the

reason -- like I said, I'm still, you know, open-minded

about this. I think that this keeps that character. I

think it keeps the character and, yet, also plays a part

in our Master Plan development. I would like to see

other developments like this down there, small,

transit-oriented, keeping the character of the Main

Street corridor, because, you know, the businesses are

going to benefit from this.

So that's all I have to say for now.

MR. CUSATO: So, Mr. Pereira, point well taken.

But maybe you should just do three floors and

not four and eliminate maybe three other cars. I'm just

throwing that out.

MR. SILVA: We'd have to look into the viability of that, but to continue on those points is:
We did have interactions and sought feedback with regard to how this was proceeding, both with aesthetic and the height and the setbacks and all these things.

In terms of the way Main Street looks right now, as we're all aware it's dead on the weekends, it's dead at night, and there's a lot of stores that are either boarded up currently or, even if they're open, they don't look that great. You're going to attract much better tenants, not just residential but even the retail tenant is going to be a higher rent and better than somebody that is going to go into an older building. This is a brand new building. I mean, it looks great, and we can change it and make it look better, or however somebody wants to do it.

So I think that, just like next door already did it and it looks great, this is going to be the next one and it fills in that gap, which is necessary. Again, it fits in with what the Master Plan is looking for, which is a walkable area, not with gaps, maintaining that

facade, that streetwall as they call it. And if we 1 2 continue that on, it's like a domino effect. Everybody 3 else follows suit and we get wholly better tenants and better residents. So we all want this area to be nice. I do. 5 I've been here for a long time. The applicant, if he 6 7 didn't want it to be nice, he wouldn't be taking such a big financial risk either. So whether or not we're 8 9 removing that top floor is -- you know, if it's still 10 viable, I don't know. I can't really speak to that. 11 MR. DURHAM: Mayor? 12 MAYOR STRAUSS: Trustee Durham? MR. DURHAM: Looking at the plans, with the top 13 floor, if that front apartment was removed, taking away 14 15 the one spot, and the setback was moved back just 5 or 10 16 feet. 17 Where the front apartment is on the fourth floor plans that you have, you know, where you have the 18 19 one apartment covering the whole width of the building. MR. SILVA: The width? 20 21 MR. DURHAM: Yes. It appears that --22 MR. SILVA: Right. MR. DURHAM: -- apartment one, or whatever, on 23 that, it covers the whole thing. 24

MR. SILVA: Right. So there is an open area.

25

1	There is a stairwell and elevator shaft on both sides,
2	but otherwise yes.
3	MR. DURHAM: To my opinion, if you move that
4	setback a bit, make
5	MR. SILVA: The front setback?
6	MR. DURHAM: The front setback.
7	possibly eliminating that apartment, making
8	storage for some of the people with the smaller
9	apartments, and that the setback for the top floor was
10	just the two apartments, you would probably sell me.
11	MR. SILVA: You're saying another 5 feet?
12	MR. DURHAM: Yes.
13	MR. SILVA: From the comment before, I think
14	you mentioned another 5.
15	MR. PUCCIO: Could I ask a question?
16	We currently have a 20-foot setback in the back
17	of the building. Would you mind if we take the whole top
18	and shift it, including the lower floors, and take up the
19	space in the back?
20	MR. DURHAM: Move it back?
21	MR. PUCCIO: Move it back. Move the whole
22	thing back.
23	MR. DURHAM: I would have no
24	MR. PEREIRA: Right. So move that top floor
25	back, let's say, 10 feet. So it would be 20 feet back,

1	but you're only 10 feet from the rear property line,
2	which who cares.
3	MR. PUCCIO: Right.
4	MR. PEREIRA: Because you're backing up to a
5	garage.
6	MR. PUCCIO: Right.
7	MR. PEREIRA: I think that that
8	MR. PUCCIO: We would definitely be willing to
9	do that.
10	MR. DURHAM: That would definitely work.
11	MR. WALSH: Along with that, though, you have
12	to also, for me, lower the size increase the size of
13	some of these apartments.
14	MR. PUCCIO: That would increase the size of
15	the lower apartments, correct. If we were to increase
16	the building's second and third floor by 10 feet, each
17	apartment would be increased.
18	MR. PEREIRA: Right. So, if they went back, it
19	would be a net gain of 10 feet by 50.
20	MR. PUCCIO: Correct.
21	MR. PEREIRA: So using
22	MR. PUCCIO: 500 square feet per floor.
23	MR. PEREIRA: Right, which would be about 200
24	square feet.
25	MR. PUCCIO: Well divided by 4.

1	MR. PEREIRA: Divided by 4. So about 125 or
2	something.
3	MR. PUCCIO: Per apartment.
4	MR. WALSH: I'm not sure what you're saying.
5	You're talking about moving the whole
6	MR. PUCCIO: No. Taking the 20 feet that's in
7	the back and bringing this line 10 feet back. So that
8	will increase
9	MR. WALSH: The
LO	MR. PEREIRA: No. No. Floor two, three and
11	four.
12	MR. PUCCIO: Two, three and four, move it back
L3	10 feet. And then the fourth floor, move the fourth
L4	floor back 10 feet.
Ľ5	MR. WALSH: OK.
L6	MR. GIBBONS: From the street, does it still
L7	stay flush?
L8	MR. PUCCIO: Yes.
L9	MR. GIBBONS: OK.
20	MR. PUCCIO: The first three floors, yes, and
21	then the fourth floor would be set back 20 feet.
22	MR. PEREIRA: 20 feet. Double.
23	MR. ZAMBRANO: We will be making the apartments
24	deeper. That's all.
25	MR. DURHAM: And the HVAC on the first floor

would fit with that because you already have it in the 1 2 plans. MR. ZAMBRANO: It should. 3 MR. PUCCIO: HVAC at that point could be put on 4 the roof. We had put it on the first floor because we 5 had --6 MR. ZAMBRANO: We had the space. We had the 7 space. But there's no reason why we can not bring in 8 vertical shafts in order to accommodate the supply of the 9 air and the exhaust that's required for that. It's not a 10 big deal. 11 MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments from the 12 Board? 13 Gentlemen, Mr. Silva, anything before we open 14 up to public comment? 15 MR. SILVA: No. I just want to make sure that 16 you understand where the setback is, because I wasn't 17 aware that the 20 feet wasn't required. But if we only 18 need 10 feet, certainly, we can move the entire thing 19 back, move the top floor back as well. You won't see it 20 at all from the street anymore. And if that's the 21 solution, and we'll get larger apartments to boot. 22 MR. DURHAM: That keeps the flow of the two 23 over one look from the street. 24 MR. SILVA: Correct. You wouldn't see --25

MR. DURHAM: And that's one of the things we're 1 looking for. 2 MR. SILVA: Right. 3 MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Whalen, do we run into any issues with that? 5 MR. WHALEN: No. 6 7 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. No. Anything else, sir? 8 MR. SILVA: That's all. 9 10 MAYOR STRAUSS: All right. I'd ask that you 11 have a seat and record any questions that the public may have. After the after public comment, we'll call you 12 back up to address those questions. 13 Anybody in the first row? Second row? 14 15 You can approach the podium. 16 As you're approaching the podium, I just want to let you know, entered into the record -- a petition 17 was given to us prior to the start of the hearing. If we 18 can enter that into the record. 19 The petition is: "To the Village Board of 20 21 Mineola: We object to granting variances for the proposed apartment project at 86-88 Main Street without 22 adequate additional parking. This apartment structure 23 will completely overburden the area, straining the 24

already strained parking in the area." And it's signed

25

by several businesses.

MR. WALSH: Can I see that?

MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes, sir.

Sir?

MR. FOX: OK. My name is Bob Fox, 79 Main Street, from Fox's.

I want to thank you, Mayor and the Board, for hearing our concerns. These developments have many consequences, and I appreciate the chance here to voice what I think will happen to us.

First of all, I want to start by saying I did meet with Joe and his brother John. We met at the space. I was very impressed with the brothers. I like them. We met to see if they would potentially lease the space, which we are going to do, and we started building.

I also believe that any retail that goes into that space of that size that Buccelli Uomo was operated under would have far less impact on the street and all of us and our neighbors than the proposed project. The space can only house so much retail as it exists, and it will only bring maybe synergistic traffic to the street. So it was much easier when Buccelli Uomo had it, and they can only do so much with a building of that nature on Main Street.

We always get carried away with these

developments. It happened behind us. It's all great in theory, but it doesn't work that way in actuality. I maybe can give a for instance of what's going on in that area right now.

1.7

Last Friday we started our Veterans' Day outerwear sale. Well we had a busy day. Fortunately I was coming back from lunch because at the time our lot -- it was a peak hour -- got over-filled. So we had to -- we only have one channel coming in from 2nd Street. I don't know if you're familiar with that. We had to back four to five customers out onto 2nd Street one by one. Stop the traffic. Ask the lady to roll down the window. Apologize. Suggest maybe elsewhere where she can go. And we had to do this with four or five ladies. I cringed. It's horrible. This is the way we treat our customers.

We can travel the world over, find the best buys. We have the best relationships on Seventh Avenue in the fashion market. We do everything we can for customer service. But if we can't park the customer, or she has a very unpleasant experience, we're going to lose her. And this is our daily struggle.

The same thing happened Monday. It was

Veterans' Day. We had to back the ladies out of our

parking lot. A week from Friday is Black Friday. With

all the preparations, all the inventory, the marketing, everything else, our main concern in Mineola is going to be parking. We'll have three to four people out there helping, working, even paying for the meters, so these ladies feel comfortable parking.

1.9

I usually stand at the door and greet the ladies all day long. We have a good time, but lately -- and I'm sure Friday -- I'll be in the parking lot apologizing. Telling them it will be OK. Relax. We'll find you a place.

This is what we're going through. I park now at the baseball venue.

(Someone in the audience yells out.)

MR. FOX: I will, and I don't mind. I don't mind the walk. But trying to get our staff to cooperate is not easy because the ladies are a little threatened returning there after dark. We don't have an option.

And I wish everybody on 2nd Street said that too. When you take a right now on 2nd Street at 8:00 a.m. Monday through Friday it's all parked up, and so is half of Main Street. We look at every available space, every available space, as an opportunity to park 10 -- 7 to maybe 12 customers in that space as it turns over during the course of a business day. We value every single space. That's why we take our staff and we park them as

far away as we can. So every little space is a big impact.

And let's face it, since Kevin Lalezarian started his project, its like we're trying to fit an elephant in a canoe. It's a daily challenge, but we can't change that now. It was great in theory. Everything was wonderful, except when you're on the ground every day dealing with the dust and the dirt coming on up, and the noise, and our customers' cars being dusted as they drive away from the debris coming off the building.

He's a great guy, does a great job. There's no setbacks. It goes right up from the sidewalk. You got four feet and then you go nine stories. It's wonderful in theory. They come in. They can make a lot of money in our Village, yes, but what happens to the rest of us that have been there for so many years? I mean, it's great to be a developer.

You know, I tell a story. We've been on Main
Street for over 35 years now, and I tell the story of the
first little building that I leased from the wonderful
Dr. Johnson. Great guy. I had just leased the space. I
was very excited. I was laying on the sidewalk painting
the aluminum under the window and two attorneys in suits
were coming back from lunch and they stopped and they saw

the "Coming Soon" sign. Obviously they thought I was just a painter because I heard them, overheard them.

They were saying: Nothing ever makes it on Main Street.

And they felt sorry for the poor fool who was taking another futile attempt.

Well that was 35 years ago and somehow, maybe just luck, Main Street has been good for us. And over the years we've grown proud to be Fox's of Mineola. We don't want to lose what we have there, but there is no margin right now. There is no extra margin. We allow some of the people in the area to use our lots, and we try to work with the apartments, but it just doesn't work anymore, not even for one extra car.

But we are willing to take the space, and we are willing to develop that building, and beautify that front, and make that space work.

You know, congestion is not a favorable customer experience. And I also know there are further challenges to come which, you know, we have to override that. But until that parking structure that the MTA and the state proposes actually becomes a reality, I don't think there's anything more we can do there.

Again, the developers always will be successful, but it comes at a cost to the community and the rest of us who have been there for so long. I know

that any further development without parking, without additional parking, will significantly reduce the potential of anything we can do and look forward to doing on Main Street. I'd like to think that Fox's still has a big future in Mineola.

Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Fox, if I could, we appreciate you coming here tonight. We certainly appreciate you being the anchor in the downtown area.

MR. FOX: Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: The problems that you're running into with the development that's going on there, although aggravating, are temporary. At the end of the day you will probably have potentially 300 more customers --

MR. FOX: You know, Mayor Strauss --

MAYOR STRAUSS: -- without negatively impacting your business.

Yes, like when Jericho Turnpike was repaved, it was a problem and we had to navigate those waters until we got the new road. When that building is done, which has nothing to do with this building, you will probably benefit from it. I know it's challenging now to go through there, as it is with everybody, but we need to do something down in that downtown area. If you sit on the

that st

corner, as I know you have, of 2nd Street and Main Street right now, it needs help. There's no traffic there at night. There's nothing there. There's nothing there on the weekends. You're benefitting greatly from your business and your customers, and that's fabulous. I'm not saying that this gentleman will be approved or not, but there are other people that should be successful in life as well. You're saying that you're offering that you would like to take that store, as if we had something to do with it. You're saying you're willing to take over that store and say --

MR. FOX: I'm saying there are other options.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Well not for me there isn't.

The option that this Board has tonight is what's presented to us right now. We can't say yes or no based on other options. We have to say yes or no based on what's presented to us.

MR. FOX: But you're granting variances.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Sir, again, we will make a decision based on what is presented tonight and whenever we get the other information that we require. It's not up to us to tell the owner of the property there to sell it to you, but that's the way you made it --

MR. FOX: Oh no. No. No.

MAYOR STRAUSS: That's the way you made it

sound.

1.2

MR. FOX: No.

MAYOR STRAUSS: That's the way I took it.

MR. FOX: I am saying that any retail that comes in that current space, that size space, will have less of an impact. So even if I don't take it, which I'm OK, it'll have less of an impact than if they have development, because everybody, most people they do come with cars, and we're dealing with cars. And when Kevin Lalezarian builds his -- I'm trying to remain optimistic -- but they will also have retail on the first floor. I stand in front of that space looking down at their lower parking lots. I know people are going to come and intuitively want to park on the street. They're going to be threatened to go underneath.

So, you know, we have to wait. We have to rely on this parking structure that the MTA and the state have in plans, but it's far from a reality.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Well we're almost there.

Deputy Mayor?

MR. PEREIRA: And, Mr. Fox, you're not the applicant here. So I don't want to prolong this, but I agree with the Mayor here.

Listen, after the meeting make him an offer he can't refuse, you know, and then --

1	MR. FOX: Well I know they already have a good
2	offer, and I know Joe and his brother
3	MR. PEREIRA: Because you know what's
4	interesting is that you said: We can't develop another
5	single inch because we can't afford to lose one more
6	spot. But then in the next breath you said: I'm willing
7	to develop it. I'm willing to put something nice there.
8	So which is it, Mr. Fox? Do you want to have
9	but here's the other thing too: It's a B-2 zone which
,10	means, although right now a one-story building sits
11	there, as of right they can build a three-story building,
12	which we just heard the parking would be grandfathered
13	in.
14	So I don't know what use you have in mind that
15	would be better. The only use and forgive me for
16	this, but the only use that I think you think would be
17	better is if it had your name on it.
18	MR. FOX: No. That's not true at all.
19	MR. PEREIRA: Because that's the way it came
20	across to me.
21	MR. FOX: No, but I just want to make clear
22	that there are other people that can use that building
23	with less of an impact.
24	MR. PEREIRA: But as the Mayor said, those
25	neonle aren't here. We can only hear the applications

that come before us.

MR. FOX: I understand.

MR. PEREIRA: Right.

MR. FOX: I just wanted to make that point.

MR. WALSH: If I can make a point.

Any landlord has the right to develop his property, whether he sells it to you or sells it anybody else. The landlord has the right to develop his property. He's the landlord.

And what would you -- besides you moving in there, what would you like to see? There's a vacant spot and then there's another building. The developer has the right -- the owner has the right, just as you do with your building, to develop something.

MR. FOX: But the vacant spot right now is nine parking spaces.

MR. WALSH: You have no parking. You have no parking for your retail either. You do have your space, but you're saying that your parking is not enough for your retail as it is now. People have to park on the street, which you said you expect to turn over several times a day the parking meters. And I get that. And I understand that. And I understand your need to get customers in and out. But just like they don't have enough parking, you don't have enough parking either.

1	Nobody does.
2	MR. FOX: But the retail customers turn over.
3	The apartment dwellers stick all day and they park right
4	in front of the space and they sit out there.
5	MR. WALSH: Part of the downtown development is
6	to have people live there that will be there at night and
7	on the weekends.
8	MR. FOX: Well we're going to start now with a
9	huge population already.
1.0	MAYOR STRAUSS: Right, but there's parking
11	meters on the street. So they can't park on the street
12	all day long.
13	MR. FOX: If you watch, they do, and all of the
14	businesses do too.
15	MAYOR STRAUSS: So, that's
16	MR. FOX: I mean, again, in theory these things
1.7	aren't supposed to happen, but they do.
18	MAYOR STRAUSS: Reality is different.
19	MR. FOX: The reality is different. We're
20	there with the reality every day, and the reality with
21	that Buccelli Uomo building was no problem.
22	MAYOR STRAUSS: Well, yes, because maybe they
23	didn't do enough business and maybe that's why they're
24	out of business.
25	MR. FOX: It's OK. Whatever else goes in

there, at least a synergistic retail, and maybe it will 1 be something for ladies and traffic can come through. 2 MAYOR STRAUSS: That's possible but, again, we 3 have an application before us and we have to make a decision --5 MR. FOX: An application that has to have a lot 6 of variances overturned. MAYOR STRAUSS: Agreed. 8 MR. FOX: You know, that's all I'm saying. 9 then these small apartments are coming in and they could 10 11 have two cars. They could have three cars. We're talking about Uber. Who knows how many cars they all 12 have. And those cars will sit all day in the area, and 13 they'll wipe us out. 14 15 I mean, we've been here for so long. I don't 16 understand how you have no consideration in this part of Mineola --17 MAYOR STRAUSS: Hold it. Hold it. Mr. Fox, 18 please don't say that again. We consider you every time 19 we make a decision, as well as we do everybody else here 20 21 in that downtown area. MR. FOX: I'm sorry. 22 23 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. MR. FOX: I just want everybody to realize the 24

tightness of the situation.

25

1	MR. DURHAM: Question: You just stated that
2	that vacant area, the alley, they can park nine cars in
3	there?
4	MR. FOX: Yes. Nine it's a nine-car space;
5	is that right?
6	MR. PUCCIO: It's not easy because you have to
7	back out of the space, and you're backing out on a main
8	road.
9	MR. FOX: Nine-car parking.
1.0	MR. PUCCIO: It's very dangerous to park in
11	there.
12	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
L3	MR. FOX: And I'm not implying I'm
L4	optimistic about the Kevin Lalezarian project. I really
L5	am.
L6	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
L7	MR. FOX: He did provide the parking. There
L8	was a big difference there.
L9	MAYOR STRAUSS: Absolutely. Thank you, sir.
20	Anybody else in the second row? Third row?
21	Yes, sir.
22	MR. BURNSIDE: Peter Burnside, representing the
23	Mineola Historical Society.
24	There's a good chance that Teddy Roosevelt
25	snuck over there to the theater to watch Charlie Chaplin

back in the day, But it's not landmarked, the building.

We have been trying to get access to the building there --

MAYOR STRAUSS: Sir, can you keep -- sir, if you could address your comments to us, that would be great.

MR. BURNSIDE: Oh. We've been trying to get access to the building to take some historical photographs and to identify and maybe even acquire any historical artifacts prior to demolition in there. So I'd like to, through you, Mr. Mayor, see if we can get in there to take pictures.

MAYOR STRAUSS: OK.

MR. BURNSIDE: And probably some of the pictures might even end up in the hallways out here, like the rest of them. We work for the Mayor.

And at some point, regardless of what kind of building goes up there, we would like to get the owner's permission to put up a historical marker because this thing was -- the first -- the opera house opened in 1914 and it was built specifically to be a movie house. They showed movies other places, but that was specifically for that. So it is of historical significance, and we take that very seriously. And we would thank you for your cooperation.

Would that be possible? 1 2 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Mr. Burnside. owner certainly heard you, we heard you, and they'll 3 answer those questions when he comes back up. 5 As far as the marker is concerned, we kicked б that around several times here, about putting them not 7 only in front of this building but others in that area and other areas of the Village. So maybe we can make 8 sure that will happen one day for you. 9 10 MR. BURNSIDE: We would like to just followup. 11 Bob and I, we were talking about that. We'd like to see 12 a lot of markings all over town. It's of interest to the elementary school kids. 1.3 14 MAYOR STRAUSS: And adults alike. 15 MR. BURNSIDE: When you get out of office, 16 we'll give you a tour pack and you can make some money. Thank you. 17 MAYOR STRAUSS: Hopefully that won't happen 18 19 Thank you, sir. soon. 20 Anybody else in the third row? 21 Yes, sir. MR. MURTHA: Hello, Mayor, Board of Trustees. 22 My name is Tom Murtha and I'm president of the Mineola 23 Historical Society. I'm looking around at these 24

buildings here. And this building here three stories,

25

but there's no -- a little history to, like, Mineola
Boulevard -- I mean, not Mineola Boulevard, but to Main
Street. You know, like on the old building there, on top
of the old building it was like Fox's, you know, a lot of
art work there. And I would like to see some kind of art
work, like, on the top of the building if this comes by,
and maybe something about the windows. The windows look
bare. You know, like the old building. Main Street
there, you know, I'd like to see a little history, you
know, instead of just a plain square brick building.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Agreed. I think that many of
us on the Board --

MR. MURTHA: I know Mr. Walsh mentioned something about that before.

MAYOR STRAUSS: -- if approved, we'd like to have a building there that would feel like it was there forever.

MR. MURTHA: And that's what I'm talking about. The building that's there now doesn't look like it's been there forever. It looks like it's there maybe five years ago. That's what we're trying to push for, to save the downtown area to the way it looks.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Agreed. That's a special part of Mineola. It has a certain feel to it.

MR. MURTHA: And I listened to the guys about

That's a big problem. It's not just Mineola. 1 parking. It's all over Nassau County that we have problems. 2 That's why we have to look into the future. 3 MAYOR STRAUSS: In the future we might not have 4 to worry about it. I have people telling me: Why are 5 you wasting money, or having the state waste money, you 6 7 know, on parking garages because in 15, 20 years there'll be driverless cars. We can laugh about it, but who would 8 think you could get an e-mail and a phone call and a text 9 10 message from a watch. 11 MR. MURTHA: The rest of downtown Mineola, I 12 hope that's what they do and what they decide to do in the future. 13 I don't know what the zoning code is there. 14 15 there a code there how many floors you can go up on Main 16 Street? MAYOR STRAUSS: There's a height. 17 MR. MURTHA: There's a height limit? 18 MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes, and they're asking for a 19 variance, or relief on the code. 20 21 MR. MURTHA: Because I notice now when I walk down the streets there, you know, it's only like two 22 23 floors. MAYOR STRAUSS: Well the rest of those 24 25 buildings have three floors that are right next to it.

MR. MURTHA: So let's just hope in the future 1 2 it doesn't go above that. MAYOR STRAUSS: Not while I'm sitting here. 3 Thank you. That's all I MR. MURTHA: OK. 4 have. 5 MR. WALSH: Mr. Murtha, if you can just look at 6 the building and look at the -- the building that exists 7 now is a square brick building with a nice --8 MR. MURTHA: I have pictures out here. 9 MR. WALSH: -- I call it a facade, I don't know 10 what you want to call it, on the top. If you look on the 11 corners of that building, and going down the corner of 12 the building, there are squares that are made up of 13 14 bricks. MR. MURTHA: Right. 15 MR. WALSH: They duplicate that here with long 16 bricks running in between those squares. So that's kind 17 of a duplication of what exists there now. 18 building, basically, is a square building with a nice 19 facade. 20 I personally would like to see the same top as 21 22 in the building immediately to the south that would go along and it would duplicate, recreate, what was there in 23 a sense in 1914 when that theater was built. 24

know, it doesn't have a historical representation.

25

doesn't have a historical, you know -- permission to be historical. You know, no owner ever asked for it. And I don't think you can force it on them. And, you know, the developer is looking to develop something there. But I just like that -- do you like that facade?

MR. MURTHA: On the top of the building?

Yes, I do. That's why I'm saying I hope they can put something on the top of the building instead of just the plain brick there.

MR. WALSH: Yes. OK. Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, sir.

MR. MURTHA: OK. Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Anyone else in the third row?

MR. REDMOND: Tom Redmond, 187 Grant Avenue,

Mineola, New York.

So if this were to be built, one of the first things I noticed was -- Mr. Walsh, the word you're looking for is a cornice. So right away I noticed there's no cornice on there. I was hoping there was going to be like a 1920's look or a 1910's look, like when most of these buildings were built. So, if this were to be built, that would look really good to have a cornice on it over a -- you know, more of like a 1920's look.

And then I know, you know, we have the facade

program going on. So they're probably going tie in with the facade program as well and tie in with the rest of Main Street.

I appreciate how a lot of people mentioned about, you know, we have one building that's three floors, the other building has three floors. I've said it here before where when you're designing an area where you're infilling you want to keep that same exact height and it looks uniform in height.

And another thing I noticed was the 10-foot setback wasn't enough. You would be able to see it a little bit from the street, kind of like how that shows how the 10 feet would look. So moving it back 15, though I think they agreed to 20 feet now, and with that whole moving of it back makes a lot of sense. You know, potentially, actually -- it actually makes sense because they could potentially actually make more money off of that and, you know, it's fitting for the street. It benefits the street.

You're going to have, like, a five -- around a five-story parking garage back there. So, you know, to push that back just makes sense. It makes a lot of sense. And so that's -- like I said, if this is built, you know, that look would definitely fit there on Main Street. You know, also, either building would be nice

too but, you know, if this is built, if it fits in with Main Street, you know, that would be definitely, you know, it would be a plus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, with the parking situation, I don't know if this exists yet in our downtown, but maybe for the future maybe a consideration is where, if you are going to bank on people without cars for parking, maybe there is some kind of, when you sign a lease or something, you're verbally committing at least that they're not going to have a car and they're commuting by the train. So just thinking ahead to the future, because this might not be the last proposal like this. So this might be a smart strategy in the future where we first look at, you know, some people want to be eco-friendly now and all that. So it does exist. I know of it. have relatives that, you know, they live in Manhattan and they don't have cars. But it's an urban lifestyle that's happening, and it's not just in Manhattan anymore. happening in cities across America. So there is a market now, with the market down, but this is possibly something in the future, rather than maybe, if you were to deny something on it, it's just something we can probably strategize on and think about for the future.

And so one last thing I want to say is that we do have that parking garage that's coming in and it's not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

finalized yet, but it's very close to it I know. let's say if this wasn't built for some reason -- they did sell it to Fox's or someone potentially that was going to renovate that building and keep that building there, you know -- that alleyway, rather than being -you know, the parking there doesn't really work as far as, like, requirements for parallel parking. So rather than maybe, like, the nine parking spaces that used to be there, and for a while it's just been fenced off and not parking, you know, if you have a development coming in right here which is centered on Main Street and you have that parking garage being built too, if you centrally located the staircase and elevator to that parking garage and you put nice with the downtown pavers in the alleyway, you could tie it into Main Street and it generates all that walking traffic right along that property there.

And, you know, something like this is in Lynbrook. Atlantic Avenue, I believe it is. They have kind of like a tunnel where people park in the parking lot and they walk through a tunnel and this helps foot traffic.

Actually, even if this building was built, it's still something we can do. You could put a walkway through that building -- and there are walkways through

the Village Green project with a similar thing in mind, which is a way to feed the foot traffic to the station or whatever. So you could actually potentially even align that up with those and you could walk from the parking garage through that alleyway, or a tunnel if the building were built. It's just an option. It's something to think about. The projects align with each other. So it's something that could be coordinated with each other.

So obviously this doesn't seem to be too finalized just yet, but I'm sure something either way really nice could happen there for the downtown and Main Street.

So thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

MR. WALSH: Mr. Redmond, one second. Just so I understand what you're saying.

You're suggesting that the property owner give up space and make a walkway from Main Street to the parking lot? Because the property owner owns that.

MR. REDMOND: No. I understand that. And the only reason I did bring this up today -- it's not like I came here planning on saying that -- it's only because Fox's had suggested that maybe if they had that property, that they were going to possibly renovate the building there, and I guess their plan was maybe to bring those

nine spots back, which they could. But it's possible that, if they are willing to do that and all that, they might want to put the downtown pavers there and all those people now coming from the parking garage come right down there and they can come right into the --

MR. WALSH: I follow exactly what you're saying, but that's much wider than an alley. It's not an alley. It's pretty wide. And the current owner of that property may not want to give up a great deal of this property to make an alleyway for people to walk from Main Street, you know, to the parking lot. It just doesn't seem realistic to me. You know, just my opinion.

MR. REDMOND: Yes. Yes. I understand. Something to look into.

And you'd anchor the walkway with small trees there, ones that aren't going to grow too big, or bushes. I mean, it's done before. There's plenty precedence of it. And it's a way to see that run into the Main Street. You know, Lynbrook and other towns I don't think -- they wouldn't have done it if it doesn't, you know -- it makes sense. If you go there in person, you'll see how active it is. It ties the parking into -- you know, it's a park and shop idea. You know, you're going to promote people parking in that parking lot if they have easy access into the Main Street shops.

The way the rendering has it now -- well, first of all, they only have one -- that rendering is not very realistic. They only have one staircase, and I don't know if they show an elevator near that staircase. So there's got to be two staircases and at least one elevator there, possibly needs to be two elevators. So but, you know, it's not -- it's more designed, of course, for the train station. That's why the MTA is building it. But if we can align it more to the Main Street shops, that would definitely help the shops.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

1.3

One of the things you mentioned about having a lease, part of the lease agreement, the agreement with the owner and the occupants would be not to have a car, I don't know if that's feasible. That's up to them. But one of the things we're looking at is looking at preventing, within the lease, that they couldn't purchase a commuter's parking pass that take up spots from our commuters, our residents. Something along those lines, similar lines.

MR. REDMOND: I'm saying maybe not now, but maybe in the future. We're going to have to think about that if we have other proposals like this.

It was in the Master Plan to have, you know, to renovate the apartments above the shops and make them

more, you know, TOD developments. So but, you know, for existing structures, not only just the new proposals, if somebody wants to come in and renovate apartments, you know, maybe once they turn those apartments over . . . I don't know. It's something to look into.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, sir.

MR. REDMOND: Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Anybody else in the third row? Fourth row?

MR. FAIRGRIEVE: Good evening. My name is Scott Fairgrieve, 318 Mineola Boulevard, Mineola, New York.

There's a couple of questions to begin with.

How much is the square footage they are going to have for retail space?

MAYOR STRAUSS: We'll have those questions answered at the end of the public comment.

MR. FAIRGRIEVE: OK. In terms of the height, I would really think it's a good idea to keep it at three stories because if you allow it to go to four stories it establishes precedent down the road.

And, you know, I understand you're going to have all this parking coming in, but are you going to build new roads to come and deal with all the traffic coming into the area? I mean, you're hearing more and

1.9

more from people one of the big frustrations in Mineola and Long Island is the constant increase in traffic. You can't build new roads. There's nothing left. And you do more and more intensification of buildings, you have more and more cars coming in and slower and slower traffic, and it's extremely frustrating. I mean, everybody is experiencing it now.

So I really think you should keep it three stories. I really think it's a bad idea where we're starting to take this more to a city than a village, and I'll say that to you, and you're going to hear me say that more and more if you continue to allow these tall structures to come in here and make it more and more like a city than a village. It's my personal opinion.

And, you know, I'm not against development.

You have to have development to increase your tax base.

I understand things change, but you have to do it within a suburban point of view, in my opinion.

And that's pretty much it. Thank you very much.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Mr. Fairgrieve, and we agree with you. That's why --

MR. FAIRGRIEVE: I'm sorry. There's one other thing.

MAYOR STRAUSS: That's why this building, the

1 face of it, is the same as the others. MR. FAIRGRIEVE: Yes, but what I'm saying, I 2 3 don't want even --MAYOR STRAUSS: You don't want that setback. 4 5 MR. FAIRGRIEVE: -- setback. No way, no how, do I want four stories. 6 All right. 7 MAYOR STRAUSS: OK. 8 MR. FAIRGRIEVE: Not a good idea. I think we 9 should -- it's time we take a step back and say: a village. It's not the City of Mineola. It's the 10 Village of Mineola. 11 12 And I come down here because I'm getting very 13 concerned, you know, about the future of our Village. I'm going to be here the rest of my life, and I want to 14 15 keep it a Village, not like Queens. I do not like going to the city. I want suburbia. You know. 16 And one other thing. To the extent that, if 17 you do approve this building, we keep the facade as 18 19 originally constructed. Because if you go into a lot of 20 other villages, they've kept the historical look and you have people who want to come there to shop. I think we 21 should try to do more of that, try to keep the original 22 23 facade. That's why I'm asking the builder, to the extent

that you can do it --

24

25

MAYOR STRAUSS: Sir, I ask that you --

MR. FAIRGRIEVE: Yes, I know that. 1 -- to the extent that you can do it, keep the 2 original facade there so that it looks, you know, great. 3 MAYOR STRAUSS: We agree with you. 4 MR. FAIRGRIEVE: OK. Thank you. 5 6 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you. 7 Anyone else in the fourth row? Fifth row? MR. HESS: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Board. 8 9 I'll try and keep this short. 10 MAYOR STRAUSS: No need to. 11 MR. HESS: My name is Roger Hess, 28 Holly I've been a part of the Mineola community for 63 12 Avenue. 13 years -- no, since 1963. I actually was in first grade 14 in this building when it was Nassau Lutheran, and I've 15 been a resident for over 30 years in the Mineola 16 community. A lot of answers have been presented to me. 17 looked at the plans from the Building Department. 18 19 what I see with the mitigation on the height. I do agree with Mr. Fairgrieve that I believe three stories would be 20 21 best for that area. I understand the Board has to review each application as a unique application within the 22 different portions of the Village, but when you look down 23 that street you have two-story buildings, you have 24

three-story buildings. Any mitigation of height and size

25

of apartments.

As Trustee Walsh spoke about, the zoning code calls for a 1,000 square foot minimum and we're down at 550 and 600. Even if you push it back, you're up to seven-and-a-quarter. But we're talking about a 25 by 22 square foot space. 25 by 22 foot space is 550 square feet that was presently proposed, and I believe that's too small. And any increase in size of apartment would decrease the number of apartments -- which the gorilla in the room is parking -- and less apartments, less required parking.

The applicant certainly looked at the property when he purchased it and determined it had a certain value. And it's not your job to meet the value that he proposed for that property. Yours is to review the application for what's best for the community.

And I did write down a note here: Many changes have happened over the years since I've been here that I feel have been for the benefit of the entire Mineola community, and I thank past Village officials, and especially the present Board, for your time and caring for the property owners, with the only agenda of moving forward with what is best for all. I believe that with my heart.

You know me, and I follow what you do, but it's

the first time I've come to the Board. I have listened to parking requirements that were stated. I know about parking requirements in my professional life. There are some buildings in the Village that actually built up so there was parking underneath, obviously at a great cost for that development. Nine spaces is the most that you can fit in this property, even if it's 50 feet wide, so that they could back out.

So because of the parking requirements and restrictions that are here, I believe if you can limit the number of apartments that can be developed in this property, that's the best way to limit those requirements.

The first floor, you're entitled to have a business, and whether one space per 200 square foot or 250, plus one for up to three employees, that's up to the Village. Certainly they are entitled to have a retail space in that property.

And I thank you for bringing up most of my concerns over the hour or so, and I look forward to your due diligence. Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, Mr. Hess.

Appreciate it.

Anybody else in the fourth row? Fifth row? Sixth row? Seventh row?

Yes, sir.

2

Thank you, Mayor, Board, MR. MARTINS:

3

Mr. Gibbons.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Jack Martins. We own the building -- my family owns the building Mr. Walsh referred to to the south of this building. So we're immediately adjacent to it.

You know, you look at things not in a vacuum but as they exist. I remember when Mr. Fox moved to Main Street back in the mid 1980's. I remember Kozy Shack being immediately behind him, and not a parking lot with several hundred spots. I remember a time when parking was less of an issue than it is now, and it is an issue. I want to thank the Board for your initiative in regulating commuter passes, making sure people aren't using commuter passes as a means of parking in our downtown area night and day, regulating parking so that people move and that they're available for our retail shops.

But this particular location, which is next door to our building, has been empty for a while. an eyesore. I think if we're going to really realize the vision that is Main Street, we want a building there.

So what can they put there? The code allows for a three-story office building in this location as of

8

9

14

23 24

21

22

25

I don't think anybody here realistically wants to see a three-story office building there. Whether it's attorneys or doctors or whatever it happens to be, whether it's a nail salon, I don't think we want that. think, in keeping with what the Village Board has done, we want to have retail on the main floor, you want to have some residential above, and you want to have some controls.

If 500 square feet for an apartment is too small, I would tell you that 700 is probably historically where the target has been. If you go up the block to the Harrison House, where some of my siblings have lived over the years, those apartments are about 700 square feet or So there's a range there.

But we have more parking in and around Main Street today than we did 30 years ago, than we had 20 years ago. That parking lot wasn't built until probably about 12 years ago, until after we completed the Roslyn Road grade crossing elimination project and the DOT turned that property over to the Village. So those spots are now there. It's amazing how quickly they became saturated.

So it's part of the policy that the Village has taken with regard to regulating parking in the downtown that you consider all of the commuter parking, all of the

retail parking, all of the parking that we have there, that lot, the lot that's currently under construction behind Eleanor Rigby's, the lots, you know, behind 200 Old Country Road, the lots in and around the station itself. Perhaps people look for ways to manage those properties and those spots so that they're more accessible for our retail use, because I'll be one of very many here in the city -- in the Village -- and I'm sure the Board as well, that says thank God for Fox's and for the activity they provided in our downtown all of these years because, as these shops come and go, Fox's has been the one constant.

But I do feel that we have an opportunity. And I was here for the previous application where we thanked Mrs. Cunha for choosing Mineola. You know, to a certain extent, I want to thank anyone who comes into the Village of Mineola and decides that they're going to put their money into our downtown and build something that fits into what we would like to see there.

Yes, we would like to see more parking, but we don't have it. And would I rather see retail under a couple of floors of housing? Absolutely. Do I think it's in keeping with our downtown? Absolutely. Do I want to see a building that fills in that gap on Main Street? Yes, I do. I think we all do. And I can't wait

to see Lalezarians' building across the street with the retail and the synergies that are going to exist between Fox's and new shops across the street, because that's what we've been thinking about all these years in terms of creating that foot traffic on Main Street in our downtown. That's what's going to make it happen.

б

This building could be one of those elements because, if this doesn't get built, somebody sat waiting for a year, waiting a year, nothing is going to get built. What do we expect down there?

When my family bought the building we're in -you know, 84 Main Street -- it was a retail shop and the
two floors above were single units, a three bedroom and a
four bedroom. I think there were about six kids who were
living there at the time and were going to the Mineola
School District from there. It was untenable, in poor
shape. We did some renovation work. We improved it, I
think, and I think the facade and the work we've done
there has improved it, thanks to the Board's help and the
support of the Village.

But the reality is, if this doesn't go there -and I always say -- what is the alternative? Mr.

Pereira, Trustee Pereira, you hit it on the head. It's
going to be an office building as of right, with no
parking, that they can build as a matter of right.

I for one would ask the Board to consider the lesser use, which is a residential/retail mixed-use building that's going to have less of an impact, fill in the gap on Main Street, be certainly far more appropriate to the vision of the Village. Yes, put in the cornice, get the details, put some details around the windows, but make it work.

I wish we had more parking. We all do. I don't think there's a person who may be watching out there who doesn't feel the same way, who has gone downtown and looked for a parking spot on their way to the city and had to make that loop several times. But you're working on it. I know you're working on it, But we have more parking today than we had ten years ago, and probably we'll have more parking tomorrow than we do today as you take on these new opportunities. But this isn't the project that should be held up as a result of parking.

Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Anyone else?

Yes, sir.

MR. SWENSEN: Mark Swensen, 155 Elderberry Road.

Something for everybody to notice, don't let the Senator, Mr. Martins, go in front of you because he

stole all of my good ideas. He's absolutely right. We could easily have an office building there and that would concern me a lot more than the retail under the residential.

Some of the questions I have were: What were some of the projected rents for these apartments? I don't think I heard that tonight.

Is the builder planning on using any sort of, you know, local vendors for materials or services. You know, being a small business owner, I always like to see them, you know, shop locally.

If they do delay for three years, are they planning on doing any minor modifications to the existing space to rent it as retail in the meantime, because I think that would definitely, you know, improve what's going on down there, instead of having an empty store.

I didn't know how many units were on the fourth floor. Because ultimately I think they purchased the property and the building for a certain price, I think their build plan has something to do with their return on investment. I think ultimately it's just a feasibility thing. What's feasible for them based on what their return on investment is expected. It's probably something that they could work with us and reconsider. If they remove the fourth floor, you know, it may take

them a little bit longer to make some money back on the property or the project, but ultimately it makes the Village happier and the residents happier, and it's a nice balance. If that's something they would consider, if they're willing to wait three years to start construction. From what I'm hearing, you know, if they're willing to wait three years maybe they'll, you know, maybe they can wait an extra year or so on the return on investment. Maybe that's just something they can consider.

Really what I hear is a developer and a Board trying to work together and come to some sort of agreement. What I am hearing loudly is: No IDA. No PILOTs. So that's a win-win for the Village and the residents. If they are paying full taxes, if they build it as it's shown here, they'll be paying even more taxes into the Village which, as a resident, I like to hear. And I think it goes towards that downtown revitalization.

The only thing I would say to the Board is it was kind of tough for us, sitting in the back, to see a lot of these presentations here. I don't know to what extent this is available to us before the meeting -- maybe it is, I'm not sure -- but that information would be helpful so that, you know, those of us sitting in the back can kind of make some educated statements up here.

I do want to thank the owner for purchasing in 1 I plan on being here for a long time, hopefully 2 Mineola. 3 my kids are too. So any investment in Mineola is a sound one. 4 Thank you. 5 6 MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you, sir. 7 Anyone else? 8 Any other comments from the Board? 9 MR. PEREIRA: Mayor, I have a couple of 10 comments. MAYOR STRAUSS: How about I bring the applicant 11 back up to answer questions. I apologize. 12 Mr. Silva, you heard a lot of comments and 13 there were several questions in there. The parking you 14 addressed, whether or not it's adequate enough needs to 15 be seen. 16 What are the thoughts on the owner getting the 17 building to have some historical feel to it in the 18 architectural design? 19 MR. SILVA: Yes. As I said before, we're 20 obviously open to it. There was an effort made already 21 to make it fit in with the brickwork and the patterns in 22 I don't know that aesthetically you want to 23 there. continue with exactly the same thing that you have over 24

on 84, but something else like that, some sort of cornice

25

feature. That's all -- it makes sense. I don't see that that's a major impediment to the developer.

MAYOR STRAUSS: How much retail space are you going to have on the first floor?

MR. SILVA: Yes. So that is interesting. It's currently -- on the 25 by 90 lot -- there's 2,250 square feet. Once we double the square footage of that floor, it's 2,367. There's an increase of 100 feet. The reason for that is because you have the elevator shaft and you have the little cut out area on the side here, right there, and you have the stairwells. So it's actually not as much of an increase as you would think.

MAYOR STRAUSS: You also heard the concerns about keeping it three stories.

MR. SILVA: With regard to that, if we step it back the way we proposed to go even further back, I don't think you see it at all. And also, in terms of height, around us we have five, six, seven, nine-story buildings in the immediate vicinity.

And speaking to Judge Fairgrieve's concern about making this look like Queens, it's limited only to this Overlay District. It doesn't impact the single families or the two families in the pure residential district. This is only around the train station, nowhere else.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR STRAUSS: You also heard concerns about the apartments being too small; anything on that?

MR. SILVA: Right. On the apartments being too small, like Senator Martins had said, it's not that They were done that way on purpose in order to small. keep them small. So I know somebody was talking about why not make them larger apartments. That's contrary to the TOD-type development. If we made them three bedroom apartments or something larger, then you're going to end up like the six kids going to school and all that stuff. You're not going to attract the singles, the commuters and the empty-nesters. Larger apartments are going to be larger. They're going to be more expensive per unit, and who can afford that? It's going to be larger families, which is what we're trying to avoid here in the first place.

Main Street is a special place MAYOR STRAUSS: for us. You mentioned that there's five and six stories around there, not right on Main Street. Yes, there is the Village Green project going up. That has several different levels to it. But right on the Main Street corridor there's really nothing there, other than the parking garage, that you could say is five stories, but the height is in line with the rest of the buildings.

And this building, the front of it, is in line

with the rest of the buildings that are already there.

So that's appreciated. But obviously there were some residents who were concerned about even the setback going higher than that.

1.5

What about projected rents? Is there any kind of idea on that?

MR. SILVA: Well I'll let Mr. Puccio speak about it, but it's going to be dictated by whatever the market provides. So if we over-price it, no one will come and it takes care of itself.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Mr. Puccio?

MR. PUCCIO: As far as apartment size, we discussed moving the back of the building back 10 feet. So the apartments will increase by approximately hundred-and-a-quarter. So going from 600 to 725, which is more in line with what you're looking for.

As far as rents, I spoke to a real estate agent from Mineola and they said that rents in new buildings, newer buildings, would be somewhere around \$2,200.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Do you plan to use local vendors and builders?

MR. PUCCIO: Well I did find an architect, a local architect. I'm personally from New Jersey, but I did find a local architect. I do appreciate finding local trades. I know in town you have a very large

2.3

lumber yard. I would recommend to whomever is building to get bids from local places in Mineola. And so I'm not against it. I definitely would be for it. As far as making deliveries, it's local. It would be less expensive.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Are there any plans to use the current building for anything while you're waiting for the construction to finish or --

MR. PUCCIO: That's an interesting question.

I purchased the building in late 2015 with the intent of developing it. So this has been a very long process. It's been almost three years now that I've been going back and forth on what to build on this property.

And so during the summer I approached Mr. Fox because, of course, he was right there and I said: If this building, if the application is going to be postponed, would you be interested in leasing it temporarily until I'm able to build a building there? So this conversation happened.

And he said he would be interested and he would renovate it for up to three years. And so I approached it for this purpose.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Any other comments from the Board?

MR. PEREIRA: The historical society questions.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Yes, about the historical feel

to the building.

MR. PUCCIO: Yes. Someone called, maybe about a year ago. I mentioned to them -- they said that there was an old staircase in the building. I said: Look in the window. There's nothing there. It was completely ripped out. The previous owner ripped everything out, even the so-called mezzanine level. They stripped everything to brick. There's absolutely nothing of the original building.

I won't let him in because the interior is not insured. The exterior is insured, but the interior is not insured because it's not used. So I would have to insure it to have him inside the building.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Anything else you would like to mention?

MR. PUCCIO: As far as the facade of the building, I understand -- I appreciate traditional architecture. I go back and forth on it in everyday life. Main Street is a mix of everything. It's not one style like you would go to the city and you would find a whole block land-marked because they were all built by a certain architect. Main Street doesn't have that architecture. And what I was bringing into this town, into Mineola, was a clean traditional look that I would hope the other buildings would incorporate into theirs.

1.1

Some character. The brickwork is really complicated. I don't know if you really looked into that photo, but the brick around the doors are three-dimensional and they're very special bricks. They're not just everyday red brick that you buy at a masons. It's a glazed brick. So it has a little bit of translucency. It gives it character. It gives the building character.

So adding a cornice to the building similar to the one next door would throw the whole architecture off. Maybe we could add a little something with color, but to ask us to make it a more traditional building, in that area, I don't think is the right direction. I think you want everyone else to update their buildings. Take away all of those ugly canopies. And that's why I don't have a canopy on this building, because they get old, they get dirty, and most of the time they don't clean them or repair them until they start ripping. You know.

And so I'd like to bring a little bit more, I want to say, New York City attitude, even though it's not a New York City building, but an attitude because it's a study on what a good building should be. And that is the reason why I kind of incorporated both modern and a little traditional into this building.

So we're willing to modify it maybe, to bring it down a little, tone it down a little bit, tone down

the modernness of it, but to introduce cornices, like some buildings have which, are deteriorating, which the only thing that they would do is tear them down. There's no one here that would rebuild those cornices. It's very expensive to rebuild them as they are. So what they do in Brooklyn and Queens is they cover them with aluminum just to show that it looks a little different.

So I'm against doing something like that. I'm more for giving a character to the building, and hopefully to the whole area. Hopefully others in the area will be enticed by this and improve their buildings, which they will see then they will have their storefronts filled. There have been many storefronts on the next block that are empty, completely empty, and they're even more an eyesore than mine.

Thank you.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Anything else, Mr. Silva?

MR. SILVA: Some questions I left out.

So when Mr. Fox was speaking he was talking about maintaining the status quo, and I would just like to point out that that is actually contrary to the Master Plan. If we maintain the status quo, then we keep the zigzag of the heights, and we keep the emptiness between the spaces, and we leave that little alleyway.

We don't want it, whether it's an alleyway or parking area that you have to back up out of. When you're trying to create a walkable downtown, you don't want anybody pulling their cars out, especially if they're backing out of a narrow area like that. You just don't want it.

I think that might be . . . I don't think I

I think that might be . . . I don't think I forgot any questions. If I did, just let me know. But I think I got everything.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.

Any other questions from the Board?

MR. PEREIRA: Mayor, if I may.

MAYOR STRAUSS: Sure.

MR. PEREIRA: A couple of comments.

First of all, independent of the decision, I want to thank Mr. Puccio, who just admitted to us that he purchased this in 2015. So he's been carrying this building for three years, and I appreciate your patience. And, you know, we're asking you to be patient just a little bit longer. And I'm sure it's frustrating. If you can imagine the investment that had to go into purchase the property, now hold the property, and there's obviously a lot of uncertainty. So I appreciate that you didn't walk away because --

MR. PUCCIO: Thank you.

MR. PEREIRA: -- you know, if you walked away,
who knows who would be here or what would be here. And
that doesn't mean it will get approved.

Also to your point, as I've been noticing, and I'm looking at the pictures, although we're talking about the cornices in the buildings to the south, the building to the north does not have a cornice. So the building on one side of it does, and the other side doesn't. So I'm not convinced that we have to have a cornice. I would like the modernness approach to be scaled down a little bit --

MR. PUCCIO: Which we can.

MR. PEREIRA: -- and look a little more traditional. But the building to the left of it, as you look, does not have a cornice. It does have some details with the brick, but you've got that incorporated as well.

In terms of the height, the three floors, I think for me you've met the standard of keeping that straight line. We're not going to see that fourth floor. And, as Mr. Silva mentioned, immediately behind it we're going to have a parking garage that is going to be significantly taller than this.

So -- and I'm sorry that Judge Fairgrieve left because, you know, this is on Main Street. We're talking about a three-story and a four-story building. We have

buildings up on Mineola Boulevard, between Grant and Lincoln, on the west side of the street there are five and six stories, OK, next to residential and next to commercial that are only one or two stories that were approved by previous Village Boards, perhaps even a Board that he was on when he served on this Board. I'm not 100

We're doing this in the downtown. No single family homes. And yes, we have the interests of the business here and we have to balance those things, but this is not the equivalent of building a six-story building on Mineola Boulevard between Grant and Lincoln.

So I don't quite get where putting a three or four-story building on Main Street, where a three-story building exists on either side of it, is making this any different than what it currently is, other than improving the neighborhood. And it's too bad that he left, but I just wanted to say that.

Last question is basement. Is there any basement in this building?

MR. SILVA: There is.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PEREIRA: In the current building.

MR. SILVA: In the current building there is.

MR. PEREIRA: Will there be a basement in the new building?

1	MR. SILVA: Yes.
2	MR. PUCCIO: Slightly more to allow the
3	elevator.
4	MR. PEREIRA: OK. So it will be 50
5	MR. PUCCIO: No. It will be very small.
6	MR. ZAMBRANO: If I can.
7	MAYOR STRAUSS: If you please approach the
8	podium.
9	MR. ZAMBRANO: Right now we have a very low
10	partial basement. It's not fully to the rear of the
11	building. And what we're trying to do is just enlarge it
12	a little bit with the proper height, have access to it
13	from one stair, the elevator, and also for a refuse room
14	for the tenants.
15	MR. PEREIRA: OK. Well that leads me to the
16	next question. What are we going to be doing with the
17	refuse? Are you going to be using the Village's?
18	MR. PUCCIO: It will be private, and there's a
19	special area in the back of the building for containers.
20	MR. PEREIRA: Beautiful.
21	MR. PUCCIO: Inside. Indoors.
22	MR. PEREIRA: OK.
23	MR. ZAMBRANO: And just to mention, the retail
24	area will also have it's own refuse area.
25	MR. PEREIRA: OK. Excellent. So the garbage

1.	will not be on Main Street.
2	MR. ZAMBRANO: No.
3	MR. PEREIRA: It will be from the rear.
4	MR. ZAMBRANO: Correct.
5	MR. PEREIRA: Private carter. Excellent.
6	Washer/dryers for the tenants?
7	MR. PUCCIO: Yes.
8	MR. ZAMBRANO: Yes.
9	MR. PEREIRA: In the basement, or in each unit?
10	MR. PUCCIO: No, in each unit.
11	MR. ZAMBRANO: Each unit.
12	MR. PEREIRA: Beautiful. Thank you.
13	MAYOR STRAUSS: Thank you.
14	Any other comments from the Board?
15	Mr. Silva, anything?
16	MR. SILVA: Nothing more. Thank you.
17	MAYOR STRAUSS: We're waiting on some
18	information from Nassau County. There's other things
19	that need to be looked at and reviewed.
20	So since we're waiting on those items, we're
21	going to adjourn this hearing until January 9th of 2019
22	at 6:30 p.m. January 9, 2019 which I can't believe is
23	only two months away at 6:30 p.m.
24	I'll entertain a motion to close.
25	MR. WALSH: Motion.

	-	
1		MAYOR STRAUSS: Motion by Trustee Walsh.
2		Second?
3		MR. PEREIRA: Second.
4		MAYOR STRAUSS: Trustee Pereira.
5		All in favor?
6		MR. DURHAM: Aye.
7		MR. PEREIRA: Aye.
8		MR. CUSATO: Aye.
9		MR. WALSH: Aye.
10		MAYOR STRAUSS: Aye.
11		Any opposed?
12		Carried.
13		Folks, thank you very, very much for coming out
14	tonight.	We appreciate all of your comments, and
15	certainly	all of your time.
16		Have a happy Thanksgiving to everybody.
17	mbia ia ta	* * * *
18	This is to certify that the within and foregoing is true and accurate transcript of the stenographic notes recorded by the undersigned Official Court Reporter.	
19	recorded by the	* * *
20		Ation a Comment
21		PATRICIA A. TAUBER, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
22		OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
23		
24		
25		

- Board of Trustees Hearing of 11/14/2018 -

## $\overline{\mathbf{I}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$

SUBJECT	PAGE
Emily Cunha 270 Jericho Turnpike	2
Zambrano Architectural Design 86-88 Main Street	22